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## INTRODUCTION

This report is published annually, and summarizes Western North Pacific and Central North Pacific tropical cyclones. During 1960, no tropical cyclones were reported in the Central North Pacific.

Effective on 1 May 1959, CINCPAC, through CINCPACFLT, redesignated Fleet Weather Central, Guam as Fleet Weather Central/Joint Typhoon Warning Center (FWC/JTWC), Guam with the following additional responsibilities:

1. To provide warnings to U.S. Government agencies for all tropical cyclones west of 180 degrees longitude.
2. To determine tropical cyclone reconnaissance requirements and priorities.
3. To conduct investigative and post analysis programs including the preparation of annual typhoon summaries.
4. To conduct forecasting and detection research as practicable.

Fuchu Air Force Weather Central, assisted as necessary by Fleet Weather Facility Yokosuka, was designated as alternate JTWC in case of failure of FWC/JTWC, Guam. Responsible for the issuance of tropical warnings for the Central North Pacific, east of 180 degrees and west of 140 degrees, is the Joint Hurricane Warning Center in Hawaii, a coordinated agency composed of the U.S. Weather Bureau, Honolulu, the Air Force Kunia Weather Center, and Fleet Weather Central, Pearl Harbor.

The JTWC, which is an integral section of FWC/JTWC, Guam, is staffed by two Air Force and two Navy meteorologists, and three enlisted men from each service. The senior Air Force Officer has been designated as the Director, JTWC.

The background for the cover of this report is the $1200 Z$ surface chart on 22 August 1960.
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## CHAPTER I

## SUMMARY OF TROPICAL CYCLONES OF 1960

A. GENERAL

During 1960, in that area of the Pacific west of $140^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ and $N$ of the equator, 56 tropical disturbances were numbered as cyclones. Of this number, 3 had warnings issued as tropical depressions only, 8 had warnings issued as tropical storms, and 19 achieved full typhoon intensity. The term "tropical cyclone or "cyclone", as used herein, is defined as a suspected tropical cyclonic circulation which appears capable of intensification. A cyclone is assigned a number for purposes of reconnaissance and to assure that records regarding it are not confused with those of another circulation. A tropical depression is a tropical cyclone with a confirmed cyclonic circulation, usually small in area, for which warnings are being issued, and whose surface wind speeds do not exceed $33 \mathrm{kts}$. The numbering of cyclones is not related to the numbering of tropical depressions.

The typhoons were KAREN, MARY, OLIVE, POLLY, SHIRLEY, TRIX, VIRGINIA, WENDY, BESS, CARMEN, DELLA, ELAINE, FAYE, KIT, LOLA, MAMIE, NINA, OPHELIA and PHYLLIS. The tropical storms were LUCILLE, NADINE, ROSE, AGNES, GLORIA, HESTER, IRMA and JUDY.

Warnings were issued on 157 calendar days, and a total of 776 warnings were issued which compares with a total of 583 warnings issued during 1959. After the Season began with Typhoon KAREN, the greatest interval between tropical disturbances was 30 days (between KAREN and T.S. LUCILLE).

Perhaps one of the most interesting features of the 1960 Season was the unusual monthly distribution of typhoons. During August there were 8 typhoons, while in September there were none. Long period climatological records reveal that only during two other years since 1884 were there 8 or more typhoons reported in August (1940, 1942). The same records also show that only in two other years were there no typhoons reported in September (1885, 1904).

The tracks of all typhoons and those of Tropical Storms LUCILLE and NADINE are contained in this chapter. The two tropical storms are included because of reference to them in the press as typhoons. Typhoon tracks for months having one or more typhoons are also included in this chapter. Individual best tracks of all typhoons will be found in Chapter V.

## B. AREAS OF FORMATION AND DEVELOPMENT

During 1960, in the area of responsibility of the Joint

Hurricane Warning Center, Hawaii, there were no tropical disturbances for which names, tropical depression numbers or cyclone numbers were assigned.

The typhoons of 1960 occurring within the FWC/JTWC area of responsibility developed south of 25 N , west of 161 E and north of 6 N . Typhoons KAREN, MARY and ELAINE became typhoons in the South China Sea, however KAREN originated in the Pacific and moved across the southern Philippines before becoming a typhoon. While at tropical storm intensity, Typhoons VIRGINIA, DELLA, OPHELIA and PHYLLIS passed within 500 mi of Guam, and Typhoon MAMIE, the largest of the Season, became a typhoon within 250 mi of Guam.

The majority of typhoons were initially detected by surface analyses, and before reaching typhoon strength a period of intensification took place which lasted from one to seven days. It is generally accepted that an initially developed cyclonic circulation must exist under an area of substantial divergence alof before the circulation can intensify to typhoon strength. During 1960 this statement was substantiated except for the formation of Typhoon WENDY, which appeared to have formed and reached typhoon intensity during a period when its surface position was near an upper level cyclone.
C. SIZE AND INTENSITY

The typhoons of 1960 were definitely less intense than those of 1959. The Table, "1960 Typhoon Data Summary" is provided in this chapter for comparison of typhoons. Data contained in the Table and other information clearly show that the typhoons of 1960 were of weak to moderate intensity. Certainly there were no typhoons during 1960 which compared in intensity to Typhoons JOAN and VERA of 1959. Typhoon MAMIE was the largest of the 1960 Season with the radius of 50 kt surface winds extending 350 mi . Typhoons DELLA, NINA and OPHELIA all had a radius of 50 kt surface winds of 250 mi . Typhoon KAREN, the smallest, had a radius of 50 kt surface winds of only 30 mi . The lowest central surface pressure reported by reconnaissance was 918 mb , reported on both Typhoons TRIX and DELLA. This contrasts with the 1959 season when 6 typhoons had central surface pressures of less than 915 mb , and Typhoons JOAN and VERA had central pressures of 891 and 896 mb , respectively.

When the western Pacific high at the $200-300 \mathrm{mb}$ level is primarily one large cell, it appears that typhoons are
more intense and that there is a greater frequency of large typhoons. The reverse appears to be true when the western Pacific high consists of several small cells at the 200-300 mb levels, i.e., the typhoons are of weak or moderate intensity, and few large typhoons occur. The ideas expressed in the foregoing statements are considered worthy of further investigation and research.

## D. MOVEMENT

The 1960 Typhoon Season was one of unusual tracks. From a perusal of the chart showing all tracks of 1960, it is easy to understand why the chart is called a "plate of worms". Typhoons BESS, DELLA and POLLY looped, and the tracks of BESS, ELAINE, and LOLA were such as to give ulcers to any Typhoon Duty Officer. Although unusual, the track of ELAINE was not unique, and was found to be quite similar to that of a typhoon of July 1924 (see chart this chapter). Few typhoons approach Luzon in the Philippines from the NE as LOLA did. Examination of the track chart reveals that typhoons of 1960 initially moved along a track between $W$ and $N$ with the exceptions of ELAINE and FAYE.

The speed of movement of typhoons varied considerably from typhoon to typhoon, as did the speed of movement within the life cycle of individual typhoons. For example: POLLY moved at an average speed of 6 kts while NINA moved at an average speed of 19 kts ; POLLY moved at a speed of only 2 to 3 kts for 4 days before accelerating to 17 kts north of $30 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and, during the early stages of development, OPHELIA, moved at less than 10 kts , but as she passed to the east of Japan, she moved at an average speed of 53 kts for a 24 hour period.










| 01. | Investigation | 03 Jan - 06 Jan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02. | Tropical Depression IVY (T.D. 1) | 30 Jan - 01 Feb |
| 03. | Tropical Depression JEAN (T.D. 2) | 06 Mar - 08 Mar |
| 04. | Investigation | 30 Mar - Ol Apr |
| 05. | Investigation | 12 Apr - 18 Apr |
| 06. | Typhoon KAREN | 22 Apr - 25 Apr |
| 07. | Tropical Storm LUCILLE | 25 May - 01 Jun |
| 08. | Typhoon MARY | 03 Jun - 12 Jun |
| 09. | Tropical Storm NADINE | 03 Jun - 10 Jun |
| 10. | Investigation | 17 Jun - 18 Jun |
| 11. | Investigation | 20 Jun - 21 Jun |
| 12. | Typhoon OLIVE | 23 Jun - 30 Jun |
| 13. | Investigation | 28 Jun - 29 Jun |
| 14. | Investigation | 30 Jun - 01 Jul |
| 15. | Investigation | Ol Jul - 02 Jul |
| 16. | Investigation | 07 Jul - 08 Jul |
| 17. | Investigation | 12 Jul - 13 Jul |
| 18. | Investigation | 14 Jul-16 Jul |
| 19. | Typhoon POLLY | 17 Jul - 29 Jul |
| 20. | Investigation | 23 Jul - 24 Jul |
| 21. | Tropical Storm ROSE | 25 Jul - 28 Jul |
| 22. | Typhoon SHIRLEY | 28 Jul - 06 Aug |
| 23. | Investigation | 31 Jul - Ol Aug |
| 24. | Typhoon TRIX | 01 Aug - 10 Aug |
| 25. | Investigation | 04 Aug - 05 Aug |
| 26. | Investigation | 06 Aug - 08 Aug |
| 27. | Typhoon VIRGINIA | 07 Aug - 12 Aug |
| 28. | Typhoon WENDY | 10 Aug - 13 Aug |
| 29. | Tropical Storm AGNES | 11 Aug - 16 Aug |
| 30. | Typhoon BESS | 13 Aug - 25 Aug |
| 31. | Typhoon CARMEN | 15 Aug - 24 Aug |
| 32. | Typhoon DELLA | 16 Aug - 31 Aug |
| 33. | Typhoon ELAINE | 19 Aug - 25 Aug |
| 34. | Typhoon FAYE | 22 Aug - Ol Sep |
| 35. | Tropical Storm GLORIA | 30 Aug - 04 Sep |
| 36. | Tropical Storm HESTER | 04 Sep - 10 Sep |
| 37. | Investigation | 08 Sep - 09 Sep |
| 38. | Tropical Storm IRMA | 10 Sep - 19 Sep- |
| 39. | Investigation | 11 Sep - 13 Sep |
| 40. | Investigation | 13 Sep - 14 Sep |

## TROPICAL CYCLONES OF 1960 - (CONT ${ }^{1}$ D)

CYCLONE
41. Investigation
42. Investigation
43. Investigation
44. Tropical Storm JUDY
45. Investigation
46. Tropical Depression 19
47. Typhoon KIT
48. Typhoon LOLA
49. Investigation
50. Typhoon MAMIE
51. Typhoon NINA
52. Investigation
53. Typhoon OPHELIA
54. Investigation
55. Investigation
56. Typhoon PHYLLIS

* The period shown covers the period from the date the cyclone was first assigned a cyclone number, until the final warning was issued, or if no warnings were issued, the date the cyclone dissipated.

1960 TYPHOON DATA SUMMARY




## CHAPTER II

## OPERATIONAL PROCEDURES

A. - DETECTION OF TROPICAL CYCLONES

Surface and upper air analyses, supported by the Stidd Diagram and time cross-sections of winds aloft for the Trust Territory Islands, were the primary means by which tropical cyclones were initially detected. Normally, when reconnaissance aircraft are routinely available for investigative flights and a doubt exists as to whether a circulation is actually closed, the initial warning is not issued until an investigation has been made which confirms the existence of a vortex. Due to the fact that reconnaissance aircraft were frequently not available for investigative flights during 1960, it was often necessary to issue an initial warning based on only a few surface and/or upper air observations which indicated the possible existence of a tropical cyclone. Of the 19 typhoons and 2 tropical storms described in detail in this Report, initial warnings were based primarily on ship reports in 11 cases, on the Stidd Diagram and time cross-sections of the winds aloft in 6 cases, aircraft reports (other than reconnaissance) in 2 cases, land reports in 1 case, and observations from a scheduled reconnaissance flight in l case. Because of the increased availability of reconnaissance aircraft during 1961, the detection of tropical cyclones should take place earlier in the formative stages of their development, and initial warnings will, in most cases, be based on reconnaissance.

## B. WARNINGS

Warnings are filed and transmitted every 6 hours at synoptic times ( $00002,0600 Z$, etc.), the present position of the tropical cyclone, as contained in the warning, being valid for the scheduled transmission time. Therefore, the "present position" of a tropical cyclone is actually a short range forecast position. The position may be based on a reconnaissance fix 30 minutes to perhaps 6 hours old, on surface abservations as much as 6 hours old, etc. It is for this reason that the $0600 Z$ warning, for example, may not, on occasions, agree with the position of the tropical cyclone as indicated by the $0600 Z$ analysis. Amendments are issued when the difference is significant. The numbers of tropical warnings run consecutively when the cyclone is upgraded or downgraded, and if warnings are discontinued and the circulation regenerates, the new series of warnings are numbered consecutively from the number of the last warning of the previous series. When necessary, amendments and corrections are issued, and these are numbered the same
as the warning which they amend or correct.
C. COORDINATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES

Coordination with other agencies is on a scheduled and unscheduled basis. When a circulation, for which warnings are being issued, is $N$ of approximately 20N, Fuchu Air Force Weather Central transmits scheduled coordination forecasts twice daily to FWC/JTWC. These forecasts are based on the 500 mb space mean technique. Coordination with other Air Force and Navy activities is on an unscheduled basis depending upon the existing situation.

## D. VERIFICATION OF 24 AND 48 HOUR FORECASTS

All 24 and 48 hour forecasts, made when a tropical cyclone is of tropical storm or typhoon intensity, are verified when the verifying position, based on the best track, is at or $S$ of 35 N .

A table is included in Chapter I of this Report showing the average error for each 1960 typhoon and for Tropical Storms LUCILLE AND NADINE. In addition, Chapter $V$ contains a Table of "Position and Forecast Verification Data", in each individual typhoon summary. In each of these Tables the 24 and 48 hour forecast errors are the errors of the forecasts which were made 24 and 48 hours previous to the date-time group. For example, the 24 and 48 hour forecast errors shown for 2112002 are the errors of the forecasts made at $201200 Z$ and 191200Z, respectively. Also included in each individual typhoon summary is a chart showing the 24 hour forecast position in relation to the best track position.

## CHAPTER III

## RECONNAISSANCE

## AIRCRAFT WEATHER RECONNAISSANCE

Typhoon forecasting and tropical weather reconnaissance go hand in hand and, in the forseeable future, it is believed unlikely that good forecasts will be made without aircraft reconnaissance.

During 1960 the most significant change that took place with respect to reconnaissance units in the western Pacific was the deactivation of the 54th WRS in March. The 54th arrived at Andersen AFB, Guam in the summer of 1947, and flew tropical cyclone reconnaissance for a period of 13 years. Upon the deactivation of the 54 th , the mission of tropical cyclone reconnaissance was assigned to the 56th WRS at Yokota AB, Japan. The 56th is presently under the command of LT COL E.D. Wallace. Simultaneously with the deactivation of the 54 th, Detachment 1 of the 56 th was activated at Andersen AFB.

The only significant problem (and it was truly a major problem), having to do with reconnaissance during 1960, was the grounding in early May of all except one of the WB-50 aircraft of the 56th. The grounding was ordered so as to make a complete inspection of all fuel cells and to effect necessary repairs and/or replacement. To provide for tropical cyclone reconnaissance during the interim the WB-50s were to be grounded, the Commander lst Weather Wing requested the assistance of PACAF. CINCPAC in turn approved PACAF's request for 970 flying hours for tropical storm and typhoon reconnaissance. The aircraft selected for use was the $C-130$, a cargo type aircraft adaptable to this task. The aircraft were provided by the 315th Air Division with Headquarters at Tachikawa AB, Japan. The 56th WRS furnished a crew member with dual qualifications of navigator - weather observer to supplement the 3l5th AD crews for each mission. During the period 11 June through 13 September the 315 AD flew a total of 38 tropical cyclone sorties. By mid-September the 56th WRS had in-commission aircraft in a number sufficient to justify the relief of the 315 th AD from any further tropical cyclone reconnaissance. The fine support provided by the 315 th $A D$ was commendable, particularly since few, if any, of the crews had prior experience in tropical cyclone reconnaissance.

Filling in the breech throughout the Typhoon Season, and doing an outstanding job, was the VW-1 Squadron, Agana NAS, Guam, commanded by Captain C.G. Strum. In addition to making many night radar fixes on typhoons, VW-l also flew a number of investigations on suspect areas which
could not be flown by the 56th due to the shortage of aircraft (discussed in the foregoing paragraph). During the year VW-1 flew 57 sorties, while other Seventh Fleet units flew an additional 10 sorties.

In spite of the critical shortage of in-commission aircraft during the Typhoon Season, the reconnaissance provided by the 56th WRS was considered excellent. This is evidenced by the fact that for the 6 month period beginning l July, the 56th (augmented by the 315th AD until mid-September) met 90 percent of all tropical storm and typhoon requirements leveled by the JTWC. In order to satisfy these requirements, with the limited resources available, the 56th was able to provide only limited reconnaissance on suspect areas and tropical depressions.

The Table, "Sortie-Fix/Investigation Data", in this chapter provides considerable information concerning reconnaissance during the 1960 Season. It should be noted that, with but one exception, the data is for the period 1 July through 31 December. This is because "Requirements versus Fulfilments" data for the period prior to l July would have little meaning, since it was not until early July that the 56th WRS had the capability of partially meeting normal tropical cyclone reconnaissance requirements. VW-1 requirements were fulfiled in each case, however no requests were made when aircraft were not available for weather reconnaissance, a situation that existed on several occasions due to other commitments. For this reason "Levied" and "Made/Levied" figures were not presented for USN aircraft.

The 56 WRS normally performed all tropical cyclone reconnaissance at the 700 mb level. The C-130 aircraft usually flew to the tropical cyclone at best cruising altitude $(18,000$ to $25,000 \mathrm{ft})$, descended to the 700 mb level, made the fix, and then returned to best cruising altitude. VW-1 aircraft flew most investigations at 500 to $1,500 \mathrm{ft}$ and made most radar fixes on typhoons at 6,000 ft. It is planned that most flights and fixes during 1961 will be made at the 700 mb level.

During 1960, as in the past, the $W B-50$ aircraft were instrumented with sensitive altimeters, thermometers, radar wind measuring equipment and other meteorological devices. This same equipment will continue to be used in 1961. The $\mathrm{C}-130$ aircraft were not specifically instrumented for weather reconnaissance. Although radio altimeters and radar were available aboard the aircraft, accurate radar wind measuring equipment was not available. The WV-2 aircraft flown by VW-1 were especially well adapted
for fixing typhoons by radar. These aircraft are being equipped for the 1961 Season with the Aerograph Set, AN/AMQ-8, containing temperature, relative humidity, and pressure measuring instruments, the Aircraft Reconnaissance Aneroid Barometer, ML-401/U, and the Aircraft Psychrometer, ML-313/AM.

The TIROS Project promises many advances in the field of tropical meteorology in coming years. The satellite is now capable of initially detecting tropical cyclones, and also of positioning such circulations with sufficient accuracy so that reconnaissance aircraft can be sent directly to the cyclone. At this time, however, it does not appear that the intensity of tropical cyclones can be determined from TIROS photographs with the degree of accuracy required to meet existing operational requirements. Since meteorological satellites will cover areas of the western Pacific which are at present often void of weather observations, earlier detection of tropical cyclones can be expected in the future.

The out look with regard to tropical cyclone reconnaissance during the 1961 Typhoon Season is bright. All aircraft of the 56th WRS are again flyable, and the crews are "standing by" for the first typhoon of the year. The VW-1 Squadron will provide considerably more reconnaissance than during the past several years. In addition to making night radar fixes on all fully developed typhoons, VW-l will also make tropical cyclone investigative flights in the area west of Guam and south of 20 N .

1. TROPICAL CYCLONE SORTIES BY SERVICE:

|  | 1959 | 1960 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| USAF | $320(98 \%)$ | $241(78 \%)$ |
| USN | $\frac{6}{(2 \%)}$ | $667(22 \%)$ |
| TOTAL | 326 |  |

2. TROPICAL CYCLONE SORTIES BY UNIT (O1 JULY - 31 DEC 1960)

| 56TH WEARON | $182(67 \%)$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| (*) 315 TH ( 12 R DIV | $33(12 \%)$ |
| VW-1 |  |
| OTHER USN | $53(20 \%)$ |
|  | TOTAL |
|  | $270(1 \%)$ |

3. FIX/INVESTIGATION REQUIREMENTS VS FULFILMENT (OI JULY31 DEC 1960)
a. ALL CYCLONES

|  | USAF | USN |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| LEVIED | 328 |  |
| MADE | 262 | 49 |
| MADE/LEVIED | $80 \%$ |  |

b. TYPHOONS \& TROPICAL STORMS ONLY •

|  | USAF | USN |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LEVIED | $\frac{267}{}$ | MADE |
| MADE/LEVIED | 239 | 35 |

c. INVESTIGATIONS \& TROPICAL DEPRESSIONS ONLY
LEVIED
MADE/
MADE/LEVIED

| USAF | USN |
| :--- | ---: |
| 61 | 14 |
| $38 \%$ |  |

(*) LAST CYCLONE MISSION BY 315TH AIR DIV FLOWN ON 13 SEPT 1960

## CHAPTER IV

FORECAST TECHNIQUES
A. GENERAL

The question, "How do you forecast typhoons?", is frequently asked by personnel who make operational decisions based on our warnings, as well as by meteorologists who have had little or no experience in tropical cyclone forecasting. The simplest answer is that all pertinent data, including that gleaned from current and prognostic surface and upper air charts and differential analyses, is combined subjectively to produce each warning. This would indicate that the art of tropical forecasting is perhaps less advanced than the art of forcasting in temperate or northern latitudes.

After the initial detection of a tropical cyclone, the forecast problems are: direction of movement, speed of movement, intensification, and weakening. In the case of weakening, the problem usually relates to whether the cyclone will weaken and become extratropical, or weaken and dissipate.

As a tool in preparing our forecasts, a basic chart (from the Pacific Airways Plotting Chart series) plus 3 acetate overlays are used. All fixes are plotted on the basic chart. Twenty-four hour forecast positions are plotted on the bottom overlay, warning positions (later modified when necessary) are plotted on the second overlay, and the top overlay is utilized as a work sheet.

## B. FORECASTING MOVEMENT

Once a tropical cyclone has been detected, the first step in preparing to issue the initial warning is to lay out a track based on climatology. This track is laid out on the top acetate so as to extend 4 or 5 days at the speed indicated by climatology. Next, the track is modified in accordance with the existing and forecast upper air pattern, after which the initial warning is prepared and issued. The forecast track is extended and modified with time, as reconnaissance fixes are received and the upper air pattern changes.

Once a typhoon has reached typhoon intensity, reconnaissance fixes are the primary data used in preparing forecasts for the subsequent 24 hours. At this stage of development, prior reconnaissance fixes have usually established a fairly well-defined track, and acceleration or deceleration trends can be determined from an evaluation of the fixes received during the previous 24 hours.

Used as supplementary tools in preparing the 12 and 24 hour forecasts are the Miller-Moore objective method, surface and upper air analyses and prognoses; differential analyses, and height and pressure change charts.

Forecasts for the second 24 hour period (the 48 hour forecast), for which we admittedly have a low level of skill, are based to a large degree on upper air prognoses and differential analyses.

The large triangle formed by Guam, Manila and Tokyo describes the preferred area for tropical cyclone recurvature. The sparsity of upper air data in this area frequently precludes accurate analyses. This of course makes it extremely difficult to determine, within desirable limits of accuracy, the latitude of recurvature, or the shape of the recurvature pattern. The single and double 500 mb space mean charts are sometimes an aid in determining the forecast direction of movement of a typhoon during the critical period of recurvature.

After recurvature, a typhoon or tropical storm behaves in a manner similar to an extratropical cyclone regarding movement, and it is therefore necessary to carefully consider the movement, slope and change in shape of the major upper air systems during this period. After recurvature, reconnaissance fixes continue to be the most important forecasting tool. In addition, the 500 mb double space mean plus M2 field has been found to be very useful.

As typhoons approach land masses, direction of movement is frequently modified. At times, ridging develops between the typhoon and terrain and, in the case of Japan, this causes a typhoon $S$ of Japan and moving to the NE, to move slightly more easterly. Typhoons approaching and passing over Taiwan undergo complex changes in movement, configuration and intensity.

## C. INTENSIFICATION AND WEAKENING

Those tropical cyclones which subsequently reach typhoon intensity, usually intensify from a tropical depression, with surface winds of 20 to 25 kts , to typhoon strength in a period of about 3 days. The development of wall clouds appears to be the critical factor involved. A tropical cyclone frequently develops to storm intensity with a fairly haphazard cloud pattern, i.e., no well developed spiral bands exist, and unstable clouds are frequently found near the center but are not organized.

The key to intensification to typhoon strength appears to be the organization of a wall cloud system along with spiral bands. Once this occurs, the cyclone appears to be an energy generator, and is limited in intensity only by the raw material source (warm moist air from over an extensive warm water surface) and by the ability of the external environment to dispose of this energy.

Forecasting changes in intensity is accomplished by use of reconnaissance observations to determine existing conditions, followed by an evaluation of the high level pattern to determine whether intensification or weakening is indicated. Needless to say, the passage of a typhoon over a large land mass or cold water, or the transport of cold air in the lower levels into a typhoon circulation, will cause the system to weaken. The typical sequence of intensification - weakening is essentially as follows: intensification to typhoon strength, continued intensification until recurvature is completed, then slow weakening as the system passes through a less favorable environment until it becomes extratropical.

## CHAPTER V

INDIVIDUAL 1960 TYPHOONS

On 13 April, 9 days before the first warning was issued, TIROS I indicated an area of cloudiness in the vicinity of 5 N 195E. Subsequent surface charts confirmed the existence of a cyclonic circulation in this area. This cyclone moved slowly W, passed S of Koror, and approached the Philippines. Insufficient data made accurate analysis difficult, but center pressures were believed to be no lower than 1005 MB and maximum winds not greater than 20 kts . By 2112002 the cyclone was moving over the Philippines, and reports indicated that it was intensifying. Warning number 1 was issued at 220000 Z on T. D. KAREN, at which time the cyclone was located slightly east of Cebu in the Southern Philippines.

KAREN intensified, moved NW, and passed 120 miles $S W$ of Manila. The diameter of the storm remained very small, and sparse surface reports did not indicate that KAREN was of typhoon intensity. However, on the basis of reconnaissance, KAREN was upgraded to a typhoon at 2418002. The typhoon then weakened as it recurved; and at 2512002 it was downgraded to a tropical storm and 6 hours later the final warning was issued.

Typhoon KAREN will probably be known in meteorological history as a "baby" typhoon since it had an eye diameter averaging only 10 mi . The radius of 50 kt surface winds never exceeded 30 mi , and the radius of 30 kt winds did not exceed 75 mi . This fact probably accounts for the rapid demise in spite of the large area of warm air surrounding the typhoon. This type of typhoon is characteristic of those intensifying off the $W$ coast of the Philippines, but seldom are they tracked for such a distance to the Ebefore intensifying into a typhoon. Without reconnaissance, it is quite probable that KAREN would never have been identified as a tropical circulation of typhoon intensity. Available surface reports show maximum surface winds of only $35 \mathrm{kts}$.

Sixteen warnings were issued covering a period of 3 days and 18 hours. KAREN traveled 800 mi at an average speed of 9 kts or $2 l l \mathrm{mi}$ per day. The minimum speed was 7 kts on 24 April, and the maximum speed of 16 kts was achieved on 25 April.

Based on the winds aloft at Clark $A B$, the typhoon extended through the 300 mb level as a closed circulation when NW of that station at 241200Z.


RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON KAREN

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TTME | LAT. | LONG. | UNIT METHOD <br> \& ACCY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | MAX <br> WND | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \text { HGT } \end{gathered}$ | MAX <br> 700MB <br> WND | $\begin{gathered} 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \mathrm{TT} / \mathrm{Td} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 240100Z | 14.2N | 118.78 | 56-P-05 | 996 | 45 | $10080^{\text {qrip }}$ | 45 | 15/08 | CIRC DIA 10 MI |
| 2 | 2423002 | 16.7N | 118.8E | 56-P-05 | 991 | 75 | $9980{ }^{90.5}$ | 60 | 18/10 | CIRC DIA 08 MI |
| 3 | 2503002 | 17.3 N | 119.0 E | 56-P-05 | 988 | 75 | $99400^{993}$ | 70 | 16/09 | CLRC DIA 08 MI |
| 4 | 2510102 | 18.5N | 119.7E | 56-P- U | 998 | 60 | 10140 | 50 | 17/11 | SEMI-CIRC DLA 18 MI OPE |

TYPYOON KAREN 22-25 APRIL 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG | STORM IAT. | SITION LOMG. | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2200002 | 09.80 | 123.8E | - - - - | - - - - |
| 2206002 | 10.10 | 123.2E | --- - | --- |
| 2212002 | 10.5N | 122.4E | --- | - -- - |
| 2218002 | 11.0 N | 121.8E | - - - - | --- |
| 2300002 | 11.5 N | 121.1E | --- | --- |
| 2306002 | 12.1N | 120.4E | ---- | - -- |
| 2312002 | 12.7N | 119.7E | --- | --- |
| 2318002 | 13.4 N | 119.2E | --- | --- |
| 2400002 | 14.10 | 118.8E | --- - | ---- |
| 2406002 | 14.7 N | 118.6E | ---- | --- |
| 2412002 | 15.4 N | 118.5E | ---7 | - - - - |
| 2418002 | 16.1 N | 118.6E | 250-77 | ---- |
| 2500002 | 16.9 N | 118.8E | 253-65 | --- |
| 2506002 | 17.7 N | 119.2E | 252-105 | ---- |
| 2512002 | 18.8N | 119.9E | 250-157 |  |
| 2518002 | 20.0 N | 121.0E | 245-168 | 242-284 |
| AVERAGE 24 HOUR ERROR 114 MI AVERAGE 48 HOUR ERROR 284 MI |  |  |  |  |


B. TROPICAL STORM LUCILLE (950600Z NAY-010600Z JUNE 1960)

The 2406002 surface chart indicated the possible existence of a cyclonic circulation $W$ of Koror. Twentyfour hours later the first warning was issued on T.D. LUCILLE. Also, at this time an elongated, unnamed low developed NW of Manila. LUCILLE moved $W$ at 7 kts for the first 12 hours, but then turned $N W$ and began to accelerate. At 270000 the final warning was issued because the maximum winds around this depression had decreased to only 15 kts. During this time the low over NW Luzon had remained quasistationary.

The unnamed low began to move NE at 2800002, and the Clark $A B$ rawin indicated that this system was a closed cyclonic circulation at 500 mb . As this low crossed the Philippines the highest reported surface winds were 34 kts , reported in the Manila area. This unnamed low merged with the circulation that had been T.D. LUCILLE, and the merged system moved NE. At 3000002, warnings on LUCILLE were renewed, this time as a tropical storm. LUCILLE, with center wind speeds of 45 kts , accelerated as it moved NE and passed $40 \mathrm{mi} W$ of Iwo Jima at 3117002 . The strongest surface winds at Iwo Jima were 30 kts with gusts to 45 kts . The storm then passed within 10 mi of Peel Island at 3123302. This island experienced a minimum SLP of 992 mb and winds of 50 kts with gusts to 70 kts , which caused the USS Cayuga County (LST) to broach in the harbor. The high wind speeds experienced at Peel Island are not considered representative, and are believed to be 30 to 40 percent higher than representative winds due to the "funneling" effect of the terrain to the SSW of the harbor. The winds abruptly decreased once LUCILLE passed the island. As the storm continued to move NE it accelerated and rapidly became extratropical. The fanal tropical warning was issued at. 010600Z。

Eighteen warnings were issued on LUCILLE cnvering two periods. During the first period (2506002-2700.3Z) LUCILLE traveled 350 mi in 1 day and 18 hours, averaging 8 kts or 199 mi per day. During the second period (300000Z-0106002) LUCILLE traveled $1,050 \mathrm{mi}$ in 2 days and 6 hours, averaging 19 kts or 459 mi per day. The minimum speed was 7 kts on 25 May, and the maximum speed was 25 kts on 1 June.


TROPICAL STORM LUCILLE 25 MAY-OI JUNE 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM } \\ \text { IAT. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OSITION } \\ & \text { LONG. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR . ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2506002 | 08.4N | 131.3E | --- | ---- |
| 2512002 | 08.7N | 130.7E | - - - - |  |
| 2518002 | 09.1N | 130.1E | - - - - | ---- |
| 2600002 | 09.5N | 129.4E | --- - | --- - |
| 2606002 | 10.0N | 128.8E | ---- | - - - - |
| 2612002 | 10.5 N | 128.1E | - - - - |  |
| 2618002 | 11.1 N | 127.4E | - -- | --- - |
| 2700002 | 11.9 N | 126.7E | - | - - - - |

$270000 Z$ TO $300000 Z$ NO WARNINGS ISSUED

| 3000002 | 18.7N | 129.5E | - - - - | --- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3006002 | 19.2 N | 130.7E |  |  |
| 3012002 | 19.8N | 132.0E | - - - - |  |
| 3018002 | 20.7 N | 133.5E | ---- |  |
| 3100002 | 21.9N | 135.2 E | 225-264 |  |
| 3106002 | 23.0 N | 137.1E | 221-295 |  |
| 3112002 | 24.0 N | 139.1E | 223-319 |  |
| 3118002 | 25.3N | 140.9E | 218-168 |  |
| 0100002 | 27.2N | 142.18 | 162-116 | 221-582 |
| 0106002 | 29.5N | 143.3 E | 067-077 | 211.606 |

AVERAGE 24 HOUR ERROR 206 MI AVERAGE 48 HOUR ERROR 594 MI


## C. TYPIIOON MMRY (031300Z-120600Z JUNE 1960)

MARY, better known as "Bloody hary", performed in a typically feminine manner; however, not as a typical typhoon. A trough of low pressure, oriented NE to SW, lay off the E coast of Taiwan for several days, and slowly extended into the South China Sea. By 0200002 a weak circulation was evident at the extreme $S W$ portion of this trough in the South China Sea, about $200 \mathrm{mi} W$ of northern Luzon. During this time a wind maximum of 30 kts had formed at 3000 ft at 9 N , from a point $W$ of 100 E , to 115 E . By $031200 Z$ this wind maximum had moved to a position such that it appeared to be feeding into MARY from the $S$ and W. The maximum winds then appeared to be 150 to 200 mi to the $S$ amd $W$ of the low center. The first warning was issued on MARY as a storm at 0318002. Its position was near 15 N ll4E, with maximum winds of 35 kts from the E through the $\mathrm{SW}, 150$ to 250 mi from the center, and with an observed low pressure of 996 mb . MARY appeared to be moving $W$ at 6 kts. The low continued to intensify and turn slowly to the NW, and then N after 041800Z. From 041800Z to 061800Z the average speed was only 2 or 3 kts and the wind speeds increased to 60 kts . After 0618002 the low moved $N$ toward Hong Kong at an average speed of 7 kts with surface winds of 60 kts or more. It probably became a typhoon between 0700002 and 071200Z. Typhoon MARY passed less than 20 mi to the $W$ of Hong Kong between $081200 Z$ and 0818002 . It was at this time that the appellation "Bloody" was attached (see damage report in Chapter 6). Over land this typhoon rapidly decreased in intensity to 50 kts , increased in speed from an average of 7 to 26 kts by 100600 z , and moved in a NE direction from OSO600Z to 101800Z. Between $101200 Z$ and 1018002 the low intensified into a typhoon again with winds of 70 kts or more. Now moving E, MARY passed 170 mi $N$ of Okinawa, $70 \mathrm{mi} S$ of Kyushu and continued $E$, increasing to a speed of 36 kts by 111800 Z . The typhoon decreased to tropical storm intensity by 111800 Z and it became extratropical by $120600 Z$ when the final warning was issued.

MARY traveled 2400 mi during the 8 and one half days that warnings were issued, at an average speed of 12 kts or 284 mi per day. The minimum speed was $2 \mathrm{kts} 5-6$ June, and the maximum speed was 36 kts on 12 June. The typhoon extended through the 200 mb level while in the vicinity of Hong Kong, and moved through the 200 mb ridge from the $S$ to $N$ in that area.

Only 3 reconnaissance fixcs were made on MARY, none of the 3 being made in the South China Sea. Therefore, in the interest of a more accurate and complete postanalysis, the following parameters, normally obtained by means of reconnaissance fixes, were computed: minimum sea level pressure, maximum surface wind, minimum 700 mb height, and in some instances, maximum 700 mb wind. The computed values, which are contained in the "Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes" table, were computed for 1800Z, 3 through 11 June and for 0600Z, 12 June. Surface pressures for MARY as a storm were secured by graphing pressure against distance through two or more stations or ship reports near the low center. At least two such graphs were made for each pressure presented. This presumes a linear pressure decrease toward the center of the storm. Tests of this system on storms with known center values indicated an accuracy of 2 mb . This procedure cannot be used for typhoons. The 700 mb height values during the life of MARY as a storm were computed by the use of tables and WBAN-3IA, using the estimated surface temperature and dew point, and the calculated center pressure. Data for that period MARY was a typhoon was secured from the Wachholz graph, discussed in Chapter VII.



RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON MARY

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TIME | LAT. | LONG. | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNIT } \\ \text { METHOD } \\ \& \text { ACCY } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { HGT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAX } \\ 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \mathrm{WND} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 700MB IT/Td $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | * | 0318002 | 15.2N | 114.0E | CALC | 994 | 35 | 9928 | - - | - - | - - - - - - |
|  | * | 0418002 | 16.2N | 112.3E | CALC | 990 | 40 | 9859 | - - | - - - | - - - - - |
|  | * | 0518002 | 16.9N | 112.4E | CALC | 992 | 50 | 9957 | - - | - | - - |
|  | * | 0618002 | 17.7N | 112.9E | CALC | 993 | 60 | 9964 | 55 | - - - | - - - - - - - - - |
|  | * | 0718002 | 20.3N | 113.9E | calc | 981 | 75 | 9650 | 70 | - - | -------- |
| $\stackrel{s}{s}$ | * | 0818002 | 22.5N | 114.0E | CALC | 975 | 80 | 9500 | 70 | - - - | - - - - - - |
|  | * | 0918002 | 25.6N | 117.2E | calc | 991 | 50 | 9879 | - | - - - | - - - - |
|  | 1 | 1014162 | 28.3N | 126.3E | USN---- | -- | 60 | - - - | - | - - - | - - - - - - - - - |
|  | * | 1018002 | 29.3N | 126.1E | CALC | 985 | 70 | 9525 | 65 | - - | - . . . . . . . . - |
|  | 2 | 1021032 | 30.2N | 127.1E | 56-P-05 | 988 | 65 | 9590 | 78 | 16/10 | CIRC No Wall clds |
|  | * | 1118002 | 29.8N | 138.7E | CALC | 992 | 55 | 9787 | 55 | - - - | - - - - - - - - - - |
|  | 3 | 1123302 | 29.9 N | 142.5E | 56-P-05 | 995 | 35 | -. - | -- | - - - | NO WALL CLDS |
|  | * | 1206002 | 29.9N | 146.9E | CALC | 996 | 45 | 9829 | - - | - - - | - |
|  | * | VARIOUS | RaMETE | S CALCU | ATED DUE | LA | OF R | CONNAIS | ANCE. |  |  |

TYPHOON MARY 03-12 JUNE 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG. | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM } \\ \text { IAT. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OSITION } \\ & \text { LONG. } \end{aligned}$ | 24 HR . ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0318002 | 15.2N | 114.0E | - - - - | - |
| 0400002 | 15.3N | 113.4E | - - - | ---- |
| 0406002 | 15.5 N | 113.0E | - - - . | ---- |
| 0412002 | 15.8 N | 172.6E | - - - |  |
| 0418002 | 16.1 N | 112.3E | 233-109 | --- |
| 0500002 | 16.4N | 112.2E | 257-96 | - - - - |
| 0506002 | 16.6 N | 112.2E | 251-98 | ---- |
| 0512002 | 16.8 N | 112.3E | 308-134 | ---- |
| 0518002 | 16.9N | 112.3E | 299-143 | 258-280 |
| 0600002 | 17.1N | 112.3E | 261-149 | 262-240 |
| 0606002 | 17.3N | 112.5E | 262-157 | 257-228 |
| 0612002 | 17.5N | 112.7E | 264-118 | 292-265 |
| 0618002 | 17.7N | 112.9E | 260-165 | 281-274 |
| 0700002 | 17.9N | 113.1E | 238-72 | 260-315 |
| 0706002 | 18.5N | 113.4 E | 199-68 | 257-334 |
| 0712002 | 19.3N | 113.7E | 218-122 | 256-302 |
| 0718002 | $20.3 N$ | 113.9E | 216-176 | 244-382 |
| 0800002 | 20.9N | 113.9 E | 212-218 | 208-394 |
| 0806002 | 21.4 N | 113.9E | 127-93 | 210-280 |
| 0812002 | 21.9N | 113.9E | 127-94 | 221-305 |
| 0818002 | 22.4N | 113.9E | 252-126 | 214-330 |
| 0900002 | 22.9N | 114.1E | 273-68 | 205-333 |
| 0906002 | 23.6 N | 114.6E | 265-93 | 137-167 |
| 0912002 | 24.6 N | 115.6 E | 240-93 | 134-118 |
| 0918002 | 25.6 N | 117.2E | 232-133 | 244-347 |
| 1000002 | 26.5N | 119.4E | 253-228 | 251-377 |
| 1006002 | 27.6 N | 122.0E | 257-292 | 252-515 |
| 1012002 | 28.6 N | 124.2E | 244-283 | 250-535 |
| 1018002 | 29.3N | 126.1E | 236-179 | 251-505 |
| 1100002 | 29.7 N | 128.5E | 225-35 | 259-475 |
| 1106002 | 29.8 N | 131.4E | 058-120 | 267-468 |
| 1112002 | 29.8N | 134.8E | 048-100 | 265-525 |
| 1118002 | 29.8N | 138.7 E | 340-321 | 268-473 |
| 120000Z | 29.9 N | 142.8E | 336-231 | 292-345 |

TYPHOON MARY 03-12 JUNE 1960
POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA (CONTID)

|  | STORM POSITION |  | 24 HR. ERROR | 48 HR. ERROR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DTG | LAT. | LONG. | DEG. DISTANCE | DEG. DISTANGE |
| 120600Z | $29.9 N$ | 146.9 E | $327-290$ | $002-315$ |
| AVERAGE 24 | HOUR ERROR | 148 MI |  |  |
| AVERAGE 48 |  |  |  |  |


D. TROPICAL STORM NADINE (031800Z-100000Z JUNE 1960)

On 2 June at 1800 Z a definite tropical cyclonic circulation was evident on the surface chart in the vicinity of 15 N 131E. This low remained quasi-stationary for the next 18 hours while successive ship weather reports indicated a gradual decrease in pressure. At 031800Z the first warning was issued on T.D. 5 , which later became T.S. NADINE.

For the first 30 hours NADINE moved $N$ at an average speed of 5 kts . By 040600 Z the central pressure of the depression appeared to be 1000 mb ; one ship reported 25 kt surface winds, and two other ships reported 20 kts . The depression was then 400 mi E of northern Luzon, moving toward Okinawa. NADINE appeared to be intensifying at this time, although the first tropical storm warning was not issued until 050600 Z . At 050000 Z a ship very close to the center of the storm had a pressure of 992.3 mb. The central pressure was probably 990 mb , and NADINE was undoubtedly of tropical storm intensity at this time. The $050430 Z \mathrm{fix}$ indicated the maximum surface winds to be 55 kts , and the 050606 Z fix indicated maximum winds of 45 kts. A ship on the 050600 Z chart reported 45 kt surface winds as did another ship at $060000 Z$. After $051200 Z$ the storm appeared to be moving slightly $E$ of due N. A P2V (Neptune) reconnaissance aircraft reported maximum surface winds of 63 kts and 76 kts at 060220 Z and 0603102 respectively. This plane also reported heavy weather in the NE quadrant of the storm. These two fixes definitely indicated that NADINE was moving NE at 060600 Z and not towards Okinawa. The three fixes that were made on 7 June reported winds of 60,60 and 65 kts , respectively. These fixes further confirmed that the storm was moving NE, and it may well have been of typhoon intensity at that time. As NADINE approached 30 N , it began to accelerate. By 0912002 the storm showed signs of weakening and of becoming extratropical. The final warning was issued at 100000 .

NADINE's existence aloft was first indicated by a cyclonic circulation at the 700 mb level between Koror and Guam at 0100002. Successive maps indicated that the system was becoming more intense as the 700 mb heights decreased. At the 500 mb level the heights were below normal at $011200 Z$ but it was not until 0500002 that it could be definitely established that NADINE was closed through the 500 mb level. NADINE followed the 300 mb flow as it moved around the western side of a high. By 100000 Z when the final warning was issued NADINE's height extended to less than $10,000 \mathrm{ft}$.

A tot'al of 26 warnings were issued covering a period of 6 days 6 hours. During this period, NADINE traveled 1450 mi at an average speed of 10 kts or 232 mi per day; its slowest speed was 4 kts on 4 June and its maximum speed was 26 kts on 9 June.


RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TROPICAL STORM NADINE

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TIME | LAT. | LONG. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { METHOD } \end{aligned}$ $\& A C C Y$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { 700MB } \end{gathered}$ HGT | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{MAX} \\ 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \text { WND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \mathrm{TT} / \mathrm{Td} \\ \left.\mathrm{O}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0504302 | 18.4N | 129.1E | VW1-R--- | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - - | WEAK CIRC BANDS, OPEN NW |
|  | 2 | 0506062 | 19.4 N | 128.7E | USN-P--. | 989 | 45 | - - | - - | - - - | DIA 18 MI FAIRLY WELL DEFINED |
|  | 3 | 060220z | 21.7N | 130.0E | USN-P--- | 1000 | 63 | - - | - - | - - - | CIRC DIA 140 MI |
|  | 4 | 0603102 | 22.1N | 130,6E | USN-P--- | 1000 | 76 | - - | - - | - - - | - - - - - |
|  | 5 | 0700452 | 23.5N | 131.0E | USN-P-10 | 967 | 60 | - - | - - | - - - | DIA 60 MI WALL CLDS EAST SEMI-CIR |
|  | 6 | 0709152 | 24.7N | 131.8 E | VW1-P-05 | -- | 60 | - - | *20 | - - - | - - - - - - - - - - |
| N | 7 | 0710002 | 24.7N | 131.8E | USN-P-10 | 996 | 65 | - - | - - | - - - | - . . - - . - . - - |
|  | 8 | 0804592 | 26.7N | 132.8 E | USN-R-20 | - - | 40 | - - | - ${ }^{-}$ | 21/20 | OTR |
|  | 9 | 0821182 | 28.9N | 136.3E | 56-P-03 | 994 | 40 | - - | 34 | 21/20 | CIRC |

* MAX 850 MB WND

TROPICAL STORM NADINE 03-10 JUNE 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG | STORM POSITIONLAT. LONG. |  | 24 HR . ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR . ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0318002 | 17.0N | 129.6E | - - - - | - - - |
| 0400002 | 17.5N | 129.4E | - -- | - - - |
| 0406002 | 17.9N | 129.3E | --- | - - - - |
| 0412002 | 18.2N | 129.2E |  | --- |
| 0418002 | 18.6 N | 129.1E | --- | --- - |
| 0500002 | 19.0N | 129.0E | - - - | --- |
| 0506002 | 19.4 N | 129.0E | --- | - - - |
| 0512002 | 19.9N | 129.1E | --- | - - - |
| 0518002 | 20.4 N | 129.3E | --- | --- - |
| 060000Z | 21.110 | 129.6E | --- | --- - |
| 0606002 | 21.7 N | 129.9E | 112-78 | --- |
| 0612002 | 22.3N | 130.4E | 072-75 | - - - - |
| 0618002 | 22.9N | 130.7E | 087-88 | - - - - |
| 0700002 | 23.5N | 131.1E | 063-78 | - - - |
| 0706002 | 24.2N | 131.5E | 075-134 | 105-223 |
| 071200Z | 25.0 N | 132.0E | 095-123 | 087-256 |
| 0718002 | 25.6 N | 132.5E | 095-56 | 095-246 |
| 0800002 | 26.3N | 133.0E | 145-71 | 075-195 |
| 0806002 | 26.9N | 133.5E | 072-41 | 074-275 |
| 0812002 | 27.7 N | 134.4E | 076-58 | 087-210 |
| 0818002 | 28.4 N | 135.4E | 090-84 | 094-260 |
| 0900002 | 29.3N | 136.9E | 219-130 | 134-153 |
| 0906002 | 30.3N | 138.9E | 236-154 | 114-60 |
| 0912002 | 31.2 N | 141.3E | 237-223 | 183-48 |
| 0918002 | 31.9N | 144.1E | 320-97 | 250-39 |
| 1000002 | 32.6N | 147.1E | 320-178 | 243-390 |
| AVERAGE | HOUR ERRO | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { R } & 104 \\ \mathrm{R} & 196\end{array}$ |  |  |



## E. TYPHOON OLIVE (231800Z-300000Z JUNE 1960)

A weak circulation that was later to be named OLIVE appeared on 16 June between Woleai Atoll and Yap. It moved slowly $W$ to the vicinity of Yap, then seemed to stagnate in that area from 18 to 21 June; again commencing a slow but steady movement WNW toward Manila, and intensifying enroute. At $231800 z$ the first warning was issued on T.D. OLIVE with surface winds of 30 kts . OLIVE reached storm intensity by 240000 Z and typhoon intensity by 241200Z. Surface winds increased to 125 kts by 250600Z, but the typhoon rapidly weakened at the surface and later at upper levels as it passed inland over the Republic of the Philippines. It passed only 25 mi NE of Manila at 261800Z. Upon return to water surface, it intensified again into a typhoon, only to weaken as it moved inland near Fort Bayard, 228 mi WSW of Hong Kong at 292100Z.

OLIVE developed and intensified in a well developed band of surface easterlies SW of a large Pacific high centered near 32N 168E, which was extensively elongated E-W. This belt of easterlies extended through 30 to 35 degrees of latitude. In relation to the 40,000 ft streamline chart, OLIVE appeared to have originated beneath the SW end of the mid-Pacific trough, and then to have moved from beneath this trough into an area of divergence. The Clark AB upper winds indicate that OLIVE extended through the 40,000 it level.

As OLIVE approached the Philippines from the E, a low commenced forming to the leeward side of the Philippines in the South China Sea. This position was near 16N and 114E at 260000Z. This low intensified as OLIVE passed over the Philippines, and by the time that OLIVE was also in the South China Sea (271800Z), surface analysis indicated that the low had an intensity comparable to that of OLIVE. Reconnaissance into this low revealed that it lacked the structure or wind speeds associated with typhoons, and by 280600 Z the low existed only as a trough associated with OLIVE.

In view of some of the other tracks of the season, the most unusual feature of OLIVE is its excellent conformity to climatology for storms commencing near Yap and Koror during the month of June. The speeds varied from 8 kts on 26 June to 13 kts on 27 June, and the average direction of movement was 295 degrees. OLIVE traveled 1500 mi from first to last warning at an average speed of 10 kts or 240 mi each day over a period of 6 days and 6 hours.


## RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON OLIVE

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TIME | LAT. | LONG. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { METHOD } \end{aligned}$ $\& \text { ACCY }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ & \text { HGT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAX } \\ 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \text { WND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 700MB <br> TT/Td <br> ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | $250015 Z$ | 13.3N | 127.8E | 56-P-10 | 950 | 100 | 8800 | 110 | 16/-- | DIA 05 MI WALL CLDS ALL QUADS |
|  | 2 | 250830Z | 13.1N | 125.68 | USN-R--- | - - | - - | -- - | - | , | CIRC DIA 20 MI |
|  | 3 | 2523382 | 14.2N | 122.4E | 315-P-18 | - - | - - | - - - | 110 | 12/-- | CIRC DIA 25 MI OPEN SE |
|  | 4 | 2610572 | 13.7N | 121.3E | 315-P-20 | - - | 80 | - - - | 100 | 07/-- | NO VISIBLE EYE |
|  | 5 | 2712472 | 16.1N | 118.8E | 315-P-05 | $\cdots$ | 30 | 10090 | 40 | 11/-- | CIRC DIA 35 MI OPEN NE |
|  | 6 | 2800002 | 17.3N | 117.3E | 56-P-05 | 1000 | - | 10040 | 35 | 09/09 | ELLIP 20X12 MI |
| 9 | 7 | 2805002 | 19.2N | 116.8E | 56-P-08 | 989 | 60 | 9840 | 45 | 16/12 | OPEN N \& NR |
|  | 8 | 2820002 | 19.7N | 114.0E | USN-R--- | - - | -- | - - - | - | - - - | CIRC DIA 20 MI OPEN E |
|  | 9 | 2822242 | 20.0N | 114.9E | 315-P-05 | - - | 75 | - - - | 60 | - | CIRC DIA 35 MI OPEN W |
|  | 10 | 290400z | 20.2N | 113.58 | 56-P-12 | 976 | 50 | 9640 | 50 | 19/14 | OPEN A THRU SE |

TYPHOON OLIVE 23-30 JUNE 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG | STORM <br> LAT. | SITION LONG. | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2318002 | 11.5N | 133.3E | ---- | ---- |
| 2400002 | 11.8 N | 132.2E | ---- | - - - - |
| 2406002 | 12.0N | 131. 1 E | --- - | - - - - |
| 2412002 | 12.3N | 130.0E | ---- | ---- |
| 2418002 | 12.5N | 128.8E | ---- | ---- |
| : |  |  |  |  |
| 2500002 | 12.7N | 127.6E | ---- | --- |
| 2506002 | 13.0N | 126.4E | 310-206 | ---- |
| 2512002 | 13.3N | 125.3E | 062-86 | --- |
| 2518002 | 13.5N | 124.3E | 045-108 | - - - - |
| 2600002 | 13.7N | 123.5E | 335-88 | -- |
| 2606002 | 14.1 N | 122.8E | 260-163 | 308-258 |
| 2612002 | 14.4 N | 122.1E | 258-165 | 032-65 |
| 2618002 | 14.8 N | 121.4E | 223-75 | 013-83 |
| 2700002 | 15.3N | 120.7E | 248-198 | 295-179 |
| 2706002 | 15.8 N | 119.9E | 226-150 | 242-421 |
| 2712002 | 16.5 N | 119.1E | 224-203 | 233-342 |
| 2718002 | 17.4 N | 118.2E | 142-77. | 210-285 |
| 280000Z | 18.3N | 117.4E | 163-83 | 236-430 |
| 2806002 | 19.0 N | 116.5E | 177-88 | 212-348 |
| 2812002 | 19.5N | 115.6E | 208-75 | 215-403 |
| 2818002 | 19.9N | 114.7E | 193-73 | 158-167 |
| 2900002 | 20.2N | 113.7E | 021-108 | 153-102 |
| 2906002 | 20.4N | 112.7E | 013-168 | 168-58 |
| 2912002 | 20.7 N | 111.8 E | 019-180 | 194-31 |
| 2918002 | 20.9N | 110.9E | 020-138 | 284-42 |
| 3000002 | 21.2N | 110.0E | 049-116 | 008-275 |
| AVERAGE 24 HOUR ERROR 127 MI AVERAGE 48 HOUR ERROR 218 MI |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



## F. TYPHQON POLLY (171200Z-290000Z JULY 1960)

It is difficult to accurately determine the origin of POLLY; however, the depression that ultimately became POLLY appeared to have been quasi-stationary in the Yap-Koror area until 14 July, and then it moved NNW. This same depression appears to have passed several hundred mi $S$ of Guam on 3 July. A warning was issued on this low (T.D. 7) at 171200Z, indicating maximum surface winds of 25 kts . Post-analysis indicates that POLLY became a storm at 1718002 and a typhoon at $181200 Z$ with maximum winds of 70 kts near the center. The track of this typhoon until $211800 Z$ was that of an inverted "S" with an average speed of 4 kts . POLLY then moved at an average speed of 2 kts until it reached a point 130 mi S of Naha, Okinawa at 230000 Z. During this time POLLY continued to intensify until the surface winds reached 115 kts . The typhoon then became quasi-stationary until 241200Z, and actually completed a counterclockwise track through 360 degrees with an average movement of 2 kts between 230000 a and 240600Z. During this circuit the surface winds slowly decreased to 75 kts . The typhoon was 115 mi W of Naha, Okinawa at 260200 Z becoming less intense and accelerating as it moved up the Yellow Sea toward Port Arthur. POLLY was moving at 17 kts by 271200 Z and was downgraded to a storm at 281200 Z when it was 270 mi W of Seoul, Korea.

When POLLY became a tropical storm the 1800002 surface chart indicated that easterlies extended from 30 N to 10 S latitude, with only a few troughs or vortices imbedded therein near the equator. This placed POLLY at the W or the downwind end of the casterlies. There was a large thermal low of 992 mb centered near 37 N 103E on the Asiatic mainland. Such a synoptic pattern would suggest that the airflow over the $W$ Pacific would be E-W to near the Asiatic mainland and the Philippines; then flow $N$ or NNE along the $E$ coast of the Asiatic mainland. This indicated a general track to the north for Typhoon POLLY to near $30-35 N$, and then a recurvature to the NE.

The average track of POLLY from first to last warning was 344 degrees. POLLY traveled 1550 mi from first to last warning over a period of 11 and one half days, at an average speed of 6 kts or 135 mi per day. The minimum speed was 2 kts on 22-23 July, and the maximum speed was 17 kts on 27-28 July.

The fact that POLLY "looped" is the only unusual feature associated with this typhoon. The eye diameter varied from 10 to 60 mi , and was reported most frequently as 25 mi in diameter.



RECONNALSSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON POLLY

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TIME | LAT. | LONG. | MNIT \& ACCY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { 7OOMB } \\ \text { HGT } \end{gathered}$ | MAX <br> 700MB <br> WND | 700MB <br> TT/Td <br> ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1807252 | 18.8N | 126.8E | 56-P-5 | 990 | 75 | 10260 | 55 | 13/8 | ELLIP 15X10 MI |
|  | 2 | 1901122 | 20.1N | 126.4E | 315-P-20 |  | 50 |  |  | -/- | 22 MI WIDE WALL CLD NW |
|  | 3 | 1903002 | 19.8 N | 126.5E | 315-P-5 |  | 85 | 9320 | - | 17/- | DIFFUSE 20 MI WIDE |
|  | 4 | 1904002 | 19.9N | 126.2E | VW1-R-5 |  | - - | -- |  | -1- | CIRC DIA 25 MI |
|  | 5 | 1907002 | 20.1N | 126.4E | 315-P-5 |  | 90 | 9280 |  | 18/- | DIFFUSE 20 MI WIDE |
|  | 6 | 1908002 | 20.2N | 126.4E | 56-P-5 | - - | - - |  |  | 16/10 | DIFFUSE OPEN S \& W |
|  | 7 | 1909112 | 20.2N | 126.3E | 56-P-5 |  | 70 | 9410 | - - | 17/11 | DIFFUSE ELLIP |
| a | 8 | 191430Z | 20.2N | 126.1E | VW1-R-10 | - - | - - | - - | - - | - - - | CIRC DIA 35 MI |
| $\omega$ | 9 | 1915002 | 20.3N | 126.3E | VW1-R-05 | - - | - - | - - |  | - - | - - - - - - |
|  | 10 | 1922452 | 20.7N | 126.7E | 56-P-04 | 962 | 90 | 9590 | 65 | 18/13 | CIRC DIA 25 MI |
|  | 11 | 2003302 | 20.9N | 126.9E | 315-P-05 | - - | 100 | - - |  | - - - | CIRC DIA 30 MI |
|  | 12 | 2004002 | 20.9N | 126.9E | 56-P-1/4 | 957 | 95 | 9470 | - - | 15/13 | CIRC DIA 10 MI |
|  | 13 | 2009302 | 21.2N | 127.2E | 56-P-03 | 955 | 90 | $8830{ }^{15}$ | 80 | 17/12 | CIRC DIA 25 MI |
|  | 14 | 2015222 | 21.3N | 127.5E | VW1-R-03 | - - | - - | - - | - | 1712 | CIRC DIA 26 MI |
|  | 15 | 2022452 | 22.0N | 127.8E | 56-P-04 | 954 | 125 | 9120 | 115 | 16/15 | CIRC DIA 25 MI |
|  | 16 | 2103302 | 22.4N | 127.8E | 315-P-05 | - - | 125 | 8630 | 60 | 18/-- | CIRC DIA 20 MI |
|  | 17 | 2109302 | 22.8N | 127.7E | 56-P-02 | 952 | 90 | 8710 | 84 | 17/15 | CIRC DIA 20 MI |
|  | 18 | 2115112 | 23.1N | 127.8E | VW1-R-05 | -- | -- | -- | - - | , | ELONGATED 35 MI DIA |
|  | 19 | 2121412 | 23.3N | 127.5E | 56-P-05 | 953 | 90 | 8860 | 85 | 14/12 | CIRC DIA 40 MI |
|  | 20 | 2204002 | 23.5N | 127.5E | 315-P-05 | - - | 110 | 8830 | - - | 18/-- | CIRC DIA 30 MI |
|  | 21 | 2209262 | 23.7N | 127.2E | 56-P-05 | 950 | 75 | 9370 | 70 | 13/11 | CIRC dia 18 MI OPEN SE |
|  | 22 | 2221002 | 24.0N | 127.8E | 56-P-05 | 952 | 65 | 8980 | 80 | 14/14 | DIFFUSE |

RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON POLLY (CONT'D)


TYPHOON POLLY 17-29 JULY 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG | STORM LAT. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OSITION } \\ & \text { LONG. } \end{aligned}$ | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1712002 | 17.7N | 127.6E | --- | --- |
| 1718002 | 18.1N | 127.4E | --- | --- |
| 1800002 | 18.4N | 127.1E | --- - | - - - - |
| 1806002 | 18.8N | 126.9E | --- | - - - |
| 181200Z | 19.1N | 126.6E | ---- | --- |
| 1818002 | 19.5N | 126.5E | ---- | - - - - |
| 1900002 | 19.7N | 126.4E | 301-278 | --- - |
| 1906002 | 20.0N | 126.3E | 244-46 | --- |
| 1912002 | 20.3N | 126.4E | 248-72 |  |
| 1918002 | 20.6N | 126.5E | 261-93 |  |
| 2000002 | 20.8 N | 126.7E | 263-122 | 340-372 |
| 2006002 | 21.0N | 127.1E | 003-165 | 259-170 |
| 2012002 | 21.3N | 127.3E | $314-67$ | 259-210 |
| 2018002 | 21.7 N | 127.6E | 305-75 | 264-224 |
| 2100002 | 22.1 N | 127.8E | 316-44 | 263-256 |
| 2106002 | 22.5N | 127.8E | 228-20 | 338-85 |
| 2112002 | 23.0N | 127.7E | 108-70 | 028-92 |
| 2118002 | 23.2N | 127.6E | 129-96 | 044-118 |
| 2200002 | 23.4N | 127.4E | 100-155 | 066-155 |
| 2206002 | 23.6 N | 127.3E | 302-70 | 084-194 |
| 2212002 | 23.8 N | 127.2E | 004-74 | 090-296 |
| 2218002 | 23.9N | 127.3E | 358-83 | 097-255 |
| 2300002 | 24.1N | 127.3E | - 027-64 | 090-392 |
| 2306002 | 24.1 N | 127.2E | 010-67 | 336-132 |
| 2312002 | 23.8 N | 127.1E | 351-98 | 353-211 |
| 2318002 | 23.5N | 127.1E | 259-14 | 352-242 |
| 2400002 | 23.7N | 127.2E | 005-16 | 007-223 |
| 2406002 | 24.0 N | 127.1E | 162-17 | 001-217 |
| 2412002 | 24.2N | 127.0E | 159-32 | 005-210 |
| 2418002 | 24.4 N | 126.7E | 160-77 | 172-61 |
| 2500002 | 24.6N | 126.5E | 041-65 | 132-55 |
| 2506002 | 24.9N | 126.2E | 039-86 | 143-88 |
| 2512002 | 25.2N | 125.9E | 060-84 | 146-105 |
| 2518002 | 25.6N | 125.8E | 089-78 | 150-165 |

TYPHOON POLLY 17-29 JULY 1960
POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA (CONT'D)

| DTG | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM P } \\ \text { LAT. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POSITION } \\ & \text { LONG. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR . ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2600002 | 26.0N | 125.6 E | 141-24 | 053-103 |
| 2606002 | 26.6N | 125.5E | 143-35 | 032-163 |
| 2612002 | 27.2N | 125.4E | 168-52 | 078-106 |
| 2618002 | 27.7 N | 125.2E | 173-69 | 119-122 |
| 2700002 | 28.5N | 125.0E | 119-48 | 165-100 |
| 2706002 | 29.4 N | 124.5E | 112-87 | 158-137 |
| 2712002 | 30.7N | 123.9E | 120-156 | 162-209 |
| 2718002 | 32.3N | 123.1E | 115-199 | 162-294 |
| 2800002 | 33.9N | 122.3E | 132-240 | 118-305 |
| 2806002 | 35.5N | 121.6E | - - - | - - - - |
| 2812002 | 37.1N | 121.2 E | ---- | - - - - |
| 2818002 | 38.6N | 121.1E | ---- | ---- |
| 2900002 | 40.1N | 121.4E | ---- | - |
| AVERAGE 24 HOUR ERROR 85 MI AVERAGE 48 HOUR ERROR 184MI |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |




## G. TYPHOON SHIRLEY (291200Z JULY-060000Z AUGUST 1960)

Typhoon SHIRLEY appeared to be waiting for POLLY to move off stage before beginning her performance. At 2512002, when POLLY was about 1150 mi NW of Yap, a circulation rapidly developed near Yap and commenced a NW movement, essentially along a similar but more westerly track than POLLY had followed, traveling at 11 kts for the first 4 days. This circulation was lost for two days due to lack of data and was not detected again until 281200Z. At 2906002 the surface chart provided enough information to indicate that SHIRLEY had become a storm, although the intensity was unknown. The first warning was issued at 2912002 and the first typhoon warning was issued at 300600 Z as SHIRLEY rapidly intensified and decelerated to a speed of $8 \mathrm{kts}$. By 301800Z, when the typhoon was 180 mi SE of the Taipei radio homing beacon, it had intensified to 135 kts. A trough was apparent at the $S$ end of Taiwan on the 3106002 surface chart when SHIRLEY was 60 mi E of Taiwan and 85 mi SE of the Taipei homing beacon. As SHIRLEY approached Taipei, a low developed in the trough, intensified and moved NE from the $S$ tip of Taiwan at 6 kts. Surface wind speeds were reported at 50 kts just $S E$ of this low center. The secondary low dissipated rapidly after SHIRLEY passed over Taiwan. By $311800 Z$ the typhoon was 16 mi W of the Taipei homing beacon, and the secondary low had virtually disappeared. The typhoon continued to weaken after departing Taiwan and was downgraded to a tropical storm at $0112002,12 \mathrm{mi}$ inland of the Asiatic coastline. Warnings were discontinued at $021800 Z$ and were commenced again at $041200 Z$ when the storm was in the Yellow Sea. The last warning was issued at $060000 Z$ when the storm was considered unlikely to create further damage.

The eye of Typhoon. SHIRLEY was well defined and small. The minimum reported diameter was 7 mi , and the maximum 12 mi , and the most frequently reported diameter was 9 mi . Synoptically the situation associated with SHIRLEY was similar to the one associated with POLLY.

Typhoon SHIRLEY traveled 1400 mi over a period of 7 and one half days at an average speed of 8 kts or 189 mi per day. The minimum rate of movement was 2 kts on 5 August, and the maximum rate of movement was 15 kts on 2 August when SHIRLEY was over the Asiatic mainland.

The unusual feature of this typhoon was the formation of the secondary low while in the vicinity of Taiwan. (See the $311200 Z$ July sectional chart herein) This effect occurs because of the modification of the strong winds as sociated with typhoons by the high terrain of the

Central Mountain Range. An excellent discussion entitled "The Problem of Typhoon Forecasting Over Taiwan and Its Vicinity" was presented at the 1960 U.S. - Asian Military Weather Symposium, 9-12 February 1960, by Lt. Colonel Hsu Ying-Chin, Chief, Weather Central, Chinese Air Force, and is available in the official summary published by lst Weather Wing, USAF.



| FIX |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { UNIT } \\ \text { METHOD } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ 700 \mathrm{MB} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{MAX} \\ \text { 700MB } \end{gathered}$ | $700 \mathrm{MB}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NO. | TIME | LAT. | LONG. | \& ACCY | MBS | WND | HGT | WND | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| 1 | 3004022 | 21.8N | 125.0E | VW1-R-10 |  | - - |  |  |  | CIRC DIA 11 MI |
| 2 | 3009002 | 21.9 N | 124.8E | 315-P-03 |  | 85 | 7820 | 65 | 21/-- | WELL DEFINED |
| 3 | 3014102 | 21.9 N | 124.7E | VW1-R-05 |  | - - | - - |  | - - - | CIRC DIA 09MI WELL defined |
| 4 | 3015002 | 22.5 N | 124.0E | VW1-R-10 |  |  |  |  | --- | CIRC DIA 09MI WELL DEFINED |
| 5 | 3016002 | 22.6 N | 123.9E | VW1-R-05 |  |  |  |  |  | CIRC DIA O7MI WELL dEFINED |
| 6 | 3023232 | 23.5N | 123.5E | 315-P-05 |  | 130 | 7510 | 100 | 20/-- | CIRC DIA 10 MI |
| 7 | 3102502 | 24.1N | 123.0E | 315-P-10 | - - | 130 | 7560 | 105 | 20/-- | CIRC DIA 12 ml OPEN S |

TYPHOON SHIRLEY 29 JULY-06 AUGUST 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM } \\ \text { LAT. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PSITION } \\ \text { LONG. } \end{gathered}$ | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR . ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2912002 | 19.6N | 126.9E | --- - | --- |
| 2918002 | 20.2N | 126.2E | - - | --- |
| 3000002 | 20.8N | 125.6E | ---- | ---- |
| 3006002 | 21.6 N | 125.0E | ---- |  |
| 3012002 | 22.2N | 124.3E | 292-188 |  |
| 3018002 | 22.8N | 123.8E | 291-186 | ---- |
| 3100002 | 23.6 N | 123.4E | 271-195 | ---- |
| 3106002 | 24.2N | 122.8E | 308-138 | ---- |
| 3112002 | 24.7N | 122.2E | 354-68 | 334-425 |
| 3118002 | 25.1N | 121.4E | 315-36 | 325-300 |
| 0100002 | 25.5N | 120.7E | 360-33 | 310-289 |
| 0106002 | 26.0N | 120.1E | 037-28 | 332-315 |
| 0112002 | 26.6 N | 119.7E | 330-65 | 011-166 |
| 0118002 | 27.6N | 119.3E | 268-60 | 350-65 |
| 0200002 | 28.9N | 119.3E | 206-96 | 212-52 |
| 0206002 | 30.4 N | 118.9 E | 356-78 | 197-82 |
| 0212002 | 31.4 N | 118.2E | 140-18 | 179-43 |
| 0218002 | 32.3N | 117.9E | 180-248 | 213-116 |

$021800 Z$ TO 0412002 NO WARNINGS ISSUED

| 0412002 | 35.6N | 120.9E | --- - | ---- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0418002 | 36.3N | 121.0E | ---- | - - - - |
| 0500002 | 37.1N | 120.7E | ---- | --- |
| 0506002 | 37.7 N | 120.2E | ---- | --- |
| 0512002 | 38.2 N | 119.7E | - - - - | --- - |
| 0518002 | 38.5N | 119.2E | - - - - |  |
| 0600002 | 38.6 N | 119.0E | --- | --- - |

AVERAGE 24 HOUR ERROR 103 MI AVERAGE 48 HOUR ERROR 185 MI

H. TYPHOUN TRIX (040300Z-100000Z AUGUST 1960)

Typhoon TRIX was the third of a series of typhoons that developed in succession near the Yap-Koror area and intensified after departure from that area. At 300000Z a cyclonic vortex existed in the Yap-Koror area and appeared to have formed not more than 12 to 18 hours prior to that time. This low moved N and then NW toward Okinawa at 5 to 6 kts . A MATS transport aircraft observed the circulation as it flew the Manila-Guam flight track and reported the position to FWC/JTWC. The first warning was issued at $040300 Z$ with 40 kt surface winds near the center and with intensification expected. The first typhoon warning was issued at 051800Z, although post analysis indicated typhoon winds at 050600Z. Surface winds about TRIX intensified to 125 kts by 061200 Z and commenced weakening at 070600 Z . The speed of movement increased from 6 kts at $040300 Z$ to a maximum of 20 kts at 070600 Z when Typhoon TRIX was 85 mi SSW of Naha, Okinawa. The typhoon turned W, passed over the N tip of Taiwan at 080200 Z , and then moved toward the WSW. The last warning was issued at 100000 Z when the last vestiges of TRIX was 105 mi N of Hong Kong.

As Typhoon TRIX approached Taiwan, a trough commenced developing at the $S$ tip of the island at 071200Z. By 080000 Z a closed circulation existed 150 mi S of the Typhoon just off the $E$ coast of Taiwan. The surface winds appear to have reached a maximum speed of 40 kts about this secondary low associated with Typhoon SHIRLEY; this low persisted as a closed circulation until TRIX was near the coast line of the Asiatic mainland at approximately 0818002 .

The eye of TRIX was well defined throughout its life as a typhoon with a minimum reported eye diameter of 10 mi and a maximum diameter of 60 mi . The most frequently reported diameter was 10 mi , although the average diameter was probably 25 to 30 mi in relation to time.

Typhoon TRIX traveled 1500 mi in 5 days and 21 hours at an average speed of 11 kts or 254 mi each day. On 4 August the typhoon moved at a minimum speed of 6 kts , and on 7 August it moved at a maximum speed of 20 kts .


RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT EIXES - TYPHOON TRLX

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TIME | LAT. | LONG. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { METHOD } \\ & \& \text { ACCY } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { HGT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAX } \\ 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \text { WND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 700MB } \\ & \mathrm{TT} / \mathrm{Td} \end{aligned}$ $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0404142 | 13.7N | 138.3E | MATS--- | - - | 30 | -- | -- | -- - | CIRC DIA 40 MI |
|  | 2 | 0407462 | 15.3N | 135.5E | 56-P-02 | 1000 | 50 | 9950.993 | 25 | 10/09 | CIRC DIA 60 MI OPEN W |
|  | 3 | 0421152 | 16.3N | 134.7E | 56-P-05 | 985 | 50 | $9780{ }^{988}$ | 45 | 19/09 | CIRC DIA 25 MI NO WALL Clds |
|  | 4 | 0509002 | 17.4N | 134.1E | 56-P-10 | $975^{\text {x }}$ | 50 | $9700^{985}$ | 60 | 16/10 | SC SPIRAL BANDS IN EYE |
|  | 5 | 0521102 | 19.4N | 133.1E | 56-P-03 | 975 | 100 | $9290{ }^{\circ 97}$ | 75 | 15/09 | CIRC DIA 33 MI |
|  | 6 | 0603002 | 20.3N | 132.7E | 315-P-02 | - | 100 | $9080{ }^{\text {a }}$ | -- | 13/-- | ELLIP NW-SE DIA 16 MI |
|  | 7 | 0608152 | 21.1N | 132.1E | 56-P-05 | 935 | 125 | $8310{ }^{936}$ | 120 | 20/14 | CIRC DIA 20 MI |
|  | 8 | 0615562 | 22.5 N | 130.6E | VW1-R-03 | - | -- |  | - | --- | Slightly Ellif |
| $\infty$ | 9 | 0620502 | 23.4N | 129.8E | 56-P-05 | 918 | 120 | $8130^{\text {930 }}$ | 100 | 21/09 | CIRC DIA 10 MI |
|  | 10 | 0703002 | 24.5N | 127.9E | 315-P-02 |  | 130 | $8210^{293}$ | 70 | 23/-- | CIRC DIA 12 MI |
|  | 11 | 0709102 | 25.2N | 126.0 E | 56-P-03 | -- | 120 | $8440{ }^{\text {9,/1 }}$ | 110 | 19/14 | CIRC DIA 10 MI |
|  | 12 | 0716452 | 25.3N | 123.6E | VW1-R--- | -- | - - | - - - | -- | - - - | POORLY DEFINED |
|  | 13 | 0723452 | 25.2N | 122.1E | 56-P-05 | 958 | - - | - | *65 | - - - | CIRC DIA 30 MI |
|  | 14 | 080730Z | 25.0N | 120.0E | 56-R-10 | - - | - - | - - - | - - | - - | EXE WELL DEFINED |
|  | * | MAX 500 | WND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| DTG | STORM LAT. | $\begin{gathered} \text { OSITION } \\ \text { LONG. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 24. HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0403002 | 15.0 N | 135.8E | ---- | --- |
| 0406002 | 15.2N | 135.6E | ---- | --- |
| 04,12002 | 15.6 N | 135.2E | - - - | - - - - |
| 0418002 | $16.1 N$ | 134.8E | ---- | --- - |
| 0500002 | 16.6 N | 134.5E | 190-89 | --- - |
| 0506002 | 17.2N | 134.2E | 176-103 | --- |
| 0512002 | 17.9N | 133.8E | 218-156 | ---- |
| 0518002 | 18.8N | 133.4E | 217-210 | --- - |
| 0600002 | 19.8N | 132.9E | 203-203 | 199-190 |
| 0606002 | 20.8 N | 132.3E | 202-247 | 206-303 |
| 0612002 | 21.7 N | 131.6E | 133-185 | 203-349 |
| 0618002 | 22.9N | 130.4E | 126-263 | 209-388 |
| 0700002 | 23.9N | 128.9E | 085-183 | 181-357 |
| 0706002 | 24.8N | 127.1E | 097-200 | 174-432 |
| 0712002 | 25.2 N | 124.9E | 086-247 | 105-645 |
| 0718002 | 25.3N | 123.2E | 077-320 | 100-793 |
| 0800002 | 25.2N | 121.9E | 055-273 | 071-717 |
| 0806002 | 25.1N | 120.6E | 342-168 | 069-489 |
| 0812002 | 24.7 N | 119.5 E | 323-180 | 058-509 |
| 0818002 | 24.3 N | 118.5E | 268-133 | 051-601 |
| 0900002 | 24.1N | 117.5E | 272-93 | 037-521 |
| 0906002 | 24.0 N | 116.7E | 352-54 | 304-337 |
| 0912002 | 24.0 N | 115.9 E | 282-109 | 299-400 |
| 0918002 | 24.0 N | 115.2E | 242-78 | 265-211 |
| 1000002 | 24.0N | 114.5E | 226-132 | 257-162 |
| AVERAGE 24 HOUR ERROR 173 MI AVERAGE 48 HOUR ERROR 436 MI |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



## I. TYPHOON VIRGINIA (080000Z-120600Z AUGUST 1960)

The birth of VIRGINIA appeared to be on schedule, for cyclones were developing, intensifying and becoming typhoons at the rate of one every 4 to 6 days. This was to increase to a rate of generation of one every 2 to 3 days, but this was unknown to us at the time. The circulation first appeared near $17 \mathrm{~N} 142 \mathrm{E}, 300 \mathrm{mi} \mathrm{NW}$ of Guam on 7 August. It appeared to be forming in the SE sector of Typhoon TRIX, which was about 20 degrees of latitude to the WNW at that time. The first warning indicating 35 kt surface winds was issued at 080000Z, and VIRGINIA became a typhoon 24 hours later. The typhoon passed 20 mi to the W of Iwo Jima at 091100 Z with 75 kt surface winds near the center, and 30 hours later it was 10 mi from the island of Shikoku, Japan. VIRGINIA passed over southern Japan into the Sea of Japan and then returned over northern Honshu 18 hours later. VIRGINIA weakened as it passed over Japan the first time, then rapidly intensified to typhoon strength again at the surface. The second passage over Japan effectively destroyed the circulation as a typhoon. VIRGINIA became extratropical by 120600 Z , and the last warning was issued at this time.

This circulation was characterized by rapid intensification and a high speed of movement, for the average speed throughout its life was 18 kts or 432 mi per day. VIRGINIA traveled 1850 mi in 4 days and 6 hours. The minimum speed was 7 kts on 8 August, and the maximum speed was 46 kts on 12 August.

Except for its speed of movement and intensification, Typhoon VIRGINIA had no unusual features. The 200 mb wind circulation did not indicate a closed system while VIRGINIA was in the proximity of Japan, but a low may have been closed while VIRGINIA was near Iwo Jima. The 300 mb chart indicated that there was a closed cyclonic circulation through that level while VIRGINIA was near Iwo Jima and as it initially approached Japan.


RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON VIRGINIA

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TIME | Lat. | LONG. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { METHOD } \end{aligned}$ $\& \mathrm{ACCY}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { 700MB } \\ & \text { HGT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAX } \\ 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \text { WND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \mathrm{TT} / \mathrm{Td} \\ \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0803452 | 19.3N | 140.0E | 56-P--- |  | 25 | - 50 | - - | - - - | ELLIP 10X19 MI |
|  | 2 | 0823452 | 21.5N | 141.7E | 56-P-05 | 998 | 110 | 9680 | 55 | 16/10 | U SHAPED 40-50MI DIA WELL DEFINED |
|  | 3 | 0909402 | 24.3N | 141.3E | 56-P-01 | 987 | 70 | 10030 | 60 | 14/09 | CIRC DIA 100 MI |
|  | 4 | 0915352 | 26.4N | 140.3E | VW1-R-05 |  | - - |  |  | - - - | OPEN S |
|  | 5 | 100030 Z 100300 Z | 29.0 N 29.9 N | 137.9 E 137.2 E | $56-\mathrm{P}-05$ $56-\mathrm{P}-03$ | 984 | 75 | $9690{ }^{7 \chi^{14}}$ | 50 | 14/10 | CLRC DIA 20 MI OPEN N |
| $\infty$ | 7 | 1008002 | 31.4 N | 136.3E | 56-P-05 | 981 971 | 100 | 9650 9590 | 85 70 | $14 / 10$ $13 / 10$ | INDEFINITE, 35 MI DIA |
|  | 8 | 1121002 | 39.0 N | 137.6E | 56-P-01 | 999 | 65 | 10040 | 70 | 16/08 | NOT CLEARLY DEFINED |



J. TYPHOON WENDY (101800Z-130600Z AUGUST 1960)

Typhoon WENDY might be considered as an offspring of Typhoon VIRGINIA, for at $100600 Z$ the winds near the edge of VIRGINLA ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s circulation, about $500 \mathrm{mi} S$ of VIRGINIA, did not correspond to the circulation that is expected with a typhoon. The area became suspect and 12 hours later the first warning was issued on T.S. WENDY, located $205 \mathrm{mi} W$ of Iwo Jima, with surface winds of 50 kts . Based on. reconnaissance, the 1100002 warning was issued with 65 kt surface winds. Thus WENDY became a typhoon at that time; however, post analysis indicates that WENDY did not have typhoon winds until 110600Z. Typhoon WENDY intensified to 75 kts and moved rapidly to the island of Shikoku, Japan. This typhoon moved inland at $120800 Z$, just 20 mi E of the point along the coast of Shikoku that VIRGINIA had passed 39 hours before. WENDY remained over land for 10. hours, weakening from 70 to 35 kts at the surface. It again intensified to 45 kts while in the Sea of Japan and then moved inland over northern Honshu at 130300Z. The last warning was issued at $130600 Z$ when it became apparent that WENDY was no longer a hazard.

Examination of the 110000 charts from the surface through the 200 mb level suggests that Typhoon WENDY was almost under a low circulation at time of development into a typhoon. This implies that divergence was slight or non-existent in the levels near 300 and 200 mb . This cyclonic circulation at 300 and 200 mb did not progress along with WENDY but remained near Iwo Jima. Based on available data, the cyclonic circulation of the typhoon never reached the 300 mb level.

WENDY traveled 1050 mi in 2 and one half days at an average speed of 18 kts or 426 mi per day. The typhoon moved at a minimum speed of 12 kts on 10 August, and a maximum speed of 30 kts on 13 August. WENDY was a typhoon for only 30 hours.

The apparent formation of Typhoon WENDY within the circulation of Typhoon VIRGINIA, under what appeared to be an area of non-divergence, represents an unusual feature of typhoon development.


RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON WENDY

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TIME | LAT. | LONG. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { METHOD } \\ & \& \text { ACCI } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{MAX} \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { HGT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{MAX} \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { WND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 700 MB <br> TT/Td <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1100302 | 25.8N | 137.1E | 56-P-08 | 1000 | 75 | $100690^{988}$ | 55 | 15/07 | CIRC DIA 40 MI OPEN NW |
| 2 | 1104002 | 26.4N | 136.5E | 56-P-08 | 986 | 65 | $10030^{\text {Ti }}$ | 50 | 14/09 | CIRC DIA 30 MI |
| 3 | 1110082 | 28.1N | 135.3E | 315-P-02 | - - | 70 | $9960{ }^{\text {and }}$ | - - | 16/-- | CIRC DIA 40 MI POORLY DEFINED |
| 4 | 1203102 | 32.3N | 134.3E | VW1-R--- | - - |  |  | - - | - - - | - - - - - - - - |
| 5 | 1208002 | 40.0N | 140.0E | 315-R--- | -- | - - | - - - | - - | - - - | NO CLOSED CIRCULATION |

TYPHOON WENDY 10-13 AUGUST 1960
POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG | STORM POSITION LAT. LONG. |  | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1018002 | 24.6N | 137.6E | --- | - - - - |
| 1100002 | 25.7N | 137.2E | -- - - | - - - - |
| 1106002 | 26.8N | 136.2E | --- | --- |
| 1112002 | 28.4N | 135.1E |  | - - - |
| 1118008 | 30.3N | 134.5E | 145-265 | --- |
| 1200002 | 31.7 N | 134.4 E | 175-228 | ---- |
| 1206002 | 32.8 N | 134.2E | 210-214 | --- |
| 1212002 | 34.1N | 134.1E | 214-253 | --- - |
| 1218002 | 35.7 N | 134.9E | - - - | - - - - |
| 1300002 | 37.6 N | 137.7E | --- | - -- |
| 1306002 | 38.9N | 141.1E | --- | - - - - |

AVERAGE 24 HOUR ERROR 240 MI


The first indication of Typhoon BESS was a small circulation on the $130600 Z$ surface chart about 750 mi to the ESE of T.S. AGNES and about 375 mi NW of Guam. A second cyclone, later to become Typhoon CARMEN, developed simultaneously with BESS even closer to AGNES. As these two cyclones developed, the trough extending to the $S E$ from AGNES gradually assumed an E-W orientation and by $141200 Z$ extended $3,000 \mathrm{mi}$ to the $E$ (from 100 E to 146E) along latitude 22N. Upon becoming parallel latitudinally the trough began to intensify, and on the $141200 Z$ surface chart the pressure in the trough averaged 1002 mb (an average of all isobars crossing the trough line from 100E to l46E). By $151200 Z$ the trough's pressure averaged 999 mb . During the period 130600 Z to 160600 Z the depression that was to become BESS moved slowly, intensified with the trough, and increased to tropical storm intensity at 1609002 when the first warning was issued. BESS then moved on a track of 310 degrees to a point 115 mi NNE of Iwo Jima at 180600Z, and at $181200 Z$ to a point 30 mi SW of Peel Island. It then curved to the NNW and passed 40 mi WSW of Tori Shima at 190900Z. BESS was upgraded to a typhoon at 200000Z, although post analysis indicates that it reached typhoon intensity at approximately 191800 Z . As a typhoon it passed $25 \mathrm{mi} E$ of Miyake Jima, an island $100 \mathrm{mi} S$ of Tokyo, at 200900 Z , and within 25 mi of the main island of Honshu while moving to the NE. At 37 N 145E BESS commenced moving on a track of 100 degrees. The typhoon continued along this track until 2218002 when it began reversing direction, moving clockwise and forming a loop. The N-S axis of the loop was 50 mi and the $E-W$ axis 175 mi . BESS intersected the original track at 35.8 N 152.OE while moving WNW. Typhoon BESS was downgraded to a tropical storm at 240600Z, and the final warning was issued at 2512002. Post analysis indicates that BESS should have been downgraded to a tropical storm at approximately 2306002. Typhoon BESS moved 2200 mi in 9 days and 3 hours at an average speed of 10 kts or 243 mi per day.

By 1612002, the large surface trough, previously discussed, extended between 20 and 25 degrees $N$ and from approximately 100 to 152E. The ridge line at this time was $N$ of $40 N$ from Japan to Hawaii, and the pressure a long the equator averaged approximately 1010 mb - the contribution of a series of small highs just $N$ of the equator. The easterlies, disturbed more than usual, lacked the normally smooth pattern. From $20 S$ to $30 N$ easterlies existed from E of Hawaii to 155E. From 100 E to 150 E westerlies of substantial strength existed from
near the equator to 20N. During the period that warnings were issued on BESS the following typhoons and tropical storms existed: T.S. AGNES, Typhoon CARMEN, Typhoon ELAINE, Typhoon DELLA, and T.S. FAYE (later to become a typhoon).

There are two features about Typhoon BESS that appear unusual. The first is the loop that occurred. A loop was not uncommon during the 1960 Typhoon Season, however, no typhoons looped in 1959, and only one tropical storm and one typhoon looped during the 1958 season. Coincidental with the arrival of BESS off the E coast of Japan, an upper air trough, best pictured on the 300 mb chart, developed between a high centered over southern Japan and one at 28N 150E. The easternmost high moved further SE and the trough deepened rapidly at a point almost over Typhoon BESS. Between $221200 Z$ and $231200 Z$ a closed circulation formed in this trough at a point $S$ of the surface position of BESS. This circulation then caused BESS to commence moving in a westerly direction. BESS was then influenced by the circulation around a deep low near 45N 128E which caused it to move to the $N$ after 250600Z. The other feature is the continued life of BESS after 201200Z. It is believed that BESS would have become extratropical after $201200 Z$ had it not been for the circulation about T.S. DELLA and later around T.S. FAYE transporting warm air into the vicinity of Typhoon BESS, prolonging its life about 4 days. During this period, there was warm air at the center from the surface through the 500 mb level. Included are 4 surface charts with pressure and temperature analyses portraying the conditions at that time. Limited data precludes a more detailed examination.







RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON BESS

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TTME | Lat. | LONG. |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { HGT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAX } \\ 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \mathrm{WND} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \mathrm{TT} / \mathrm{Td} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | EXE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1700102 | 24.1N | 145.9E | 56-P-20 | - 980 | 45 | $9830{ }^{990}$ | 40 | 12/10 | CIRC DIA 05 MI WELL defined |
|  | 2 | 1706002 | 24.3N | 145.8 E | 56-P-05 | 990 | 55 | $9810{ }^{487}$ | 50 | 13/10 | CIRC DIA 05 MI OPEN W |
|  | 3 | 1719482 | 25.7N | 144.0E | 56-P-08 | 958 | - - | $9780{ }^{188}$ | 30 | 10/08 | CIRC DIA 12 MI |
|  | 4 | 1808002 | 26.9N | 141.6E | 56-P-05 | 990 | 35 | $9850{ }^{\text {990 }}$ | 35 | 10/07 | ELLIT ORIEN N-S DIFFUSE |
|  | 5 | 1820152 | 27.4N | 141.1E | 56-P-05 | 984 | 45 | $9640{ }^{\text {\% }}$ \% ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 35 | 13/10 | CIRC DIA 10 MI OPEN S |
|  | 6 | 1903502 | 29.0N | 140.3E | VW1-R-10 | -- | -- | - - $\operatorname{ciz}^{4}$ | -- | - ${ }^{-1}$ | CIRC DIA 12 MI |
|  | 7 | 1909352 | 30.4 N | 139.6E | 56-P-01 | 942 | 55 | 9670 | 51 | 16/12 | CIRC DIA 16 MI OPEN S |
|  | 8 | 1921552 | 32.4N | 139.1E | 56-P-02 | 980 | 55 | $9650{ }^{\text {ar3 }}$ | 60 | 18/-- | CIRC DIA 15 MI |
|  | 9 | 2002032 | 33.4N | 140.3E | USN-R-01 | -- | -- |  | - ${ }^{-}$ | --- | HORSE SHOE EYE 70 MI DIA |
|  | 10 | 2005152 | 33.3N | 139.9E | 56-P-02 | 978 | 55 | 9720 | 50 | 18/13 | CIRC DIA 20 MI OPEN SE |
|  | 11 | 2008202 | 34.0 N | 140.0E | 315----- | - - | 60 | $9610{ }^{482}$ | 30 | 16/-- | - - - . - - - - - |
|  | 12 | 2105002 | 36.9N | 144.4E | 56------ |  | 60 | $9500{ }^{\text {9]1 }}$ | 40 | 15/-- | NO EYE |
|  | 13 | 2206002 | 35.8N | 152.3E | 315-P-08 | - - | 60 | - - - |  | 14/-- | ---------- |
|  | 14 | 2505092 | 37.7N | 146.4E | 56-P-04 | 986 | 20 | - - - | - - | - - - | EXTRATROPICAL |
|  | * | MAX 500 | WND |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| DTG | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM } \\ \text { LAT. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { POSITION } \\ & \text { IONG. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR . ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1609002 | 23.5N | 146.5E | --- | --- |
| 1612002 | 23.6 N | 146.4 E | - -- |  |
| 1618002 | 23.8N | 146.2E | ---- |  |
| 1700002 | 24.1N | 146.0E | ---- |  |
| 1706002 | 24.3N | 145.8 E | 079-246 | --- |
| 1712002 | 24.8 N | 145.3 E | 082-344 |  |
| 1718002 | 25.5N | 144.3E | 078-403 | --- |
| 1800002 | 26.1N | 143.2 E | 102-257 | --- |
| 180600Z | 26.4N | 142.5E | 097-342 | 081-688 |
| 1812002 | 26.7N | 141.9 E | 142-220 | 079-767 |
| 1818002 | 27.1N | 141.3E | 148-101 | 075-807 |
| 1900002 | 28.1N | 140.6 E | 301-12 | 092-520 |
| 1906002 | 29.4 N | 139.9E | 148-132 | 105-613 |
| 1912002 | 30.9 N | 139.4E | 173-135 | 161-340 |
| 1918002 | 31.8 N | 139.2E | 190-157 | 181-312 |
| 2000002 | 32.7N | 139.2E | 187-212 | 198-181 |
| 2006002 | 33.6 N | 139.7E | 196-254 | 186-273 |
| 2012002 | 34.6 N | 140.5E | 065-51 | 214-298 |
| 2018002 | 35.9 N | 141.9E | ---- | - - - |
| 2100002 | 36.6 N | 143.4 E | ---- | --- |
| 2106002 | 36.9N | 144.6 E | --- - | --- |
| 2112002 | 36.9N | 146.3E | --- |  |
| 2118002 | 36.6 N | 148.5E | ---- | - - - - |
| 220000 Z | 36.1N | 150.5E | --- | ---- |
| $220600 Z$ | 35.8 N | 152.3E | ---- | --- |
| 2212002 | 35.8N | 153.8E | --- | --- |
| 2218002 | 35.7N | 155.0E | ---- | ---- |
| 2300002 | 35.1N | 155.4E | --- | --- |
| 2306002 | 34.9N | 154.5E | --- | --- |
| 2312002 | 35.2N | 153.6E | ---- | - |
| 2318002 | 35.5N | 152.7E | ---- |  |
| 2400002 | 35.9N | 151.6E | - - - - | ---- |
| 2406002 | 36.1N | 150.6E | - - - - | - -- |
| 2412002 | 36.4 N | 149.5E | - - - - | ---- |
| 2418002 | 36.7 N | 148.4E | - - - - | - - - - |

TYPHOON BESS 16-25 AUGUST 1960
POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA (CONT'D)

| DTG | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STORM } \\ & \text { LAT. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | LONG. | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR . ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2500002 | 37.1 N | 147.4E | --- | --- |
| 2506002 | 37.8 N | 146.3E | --- | - - - - |
| 2512002 | 39.0N | 145.7E | - - - - |  |
| AVERAGE 24 HOUR ERROR 205 MI AVERAGE 48 HOUR ERROR 480 MI |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



## L. TYPHOON CARMEN (160000Z-240000Z AUGUST 1960)

A sharp trough was evident to the SE of T.S. AGNES, and when it became apparent that the winds were stronger 500 mi SE of AGNES than near its center, the development of another tropical storm or typhoon was indicated. At 1600002 the first T.D. warning was issued, and 12 hours later the T.D. was upgraded to T.S. CARMEN. CARMEN became a typhoon at $171200 Z$ when it was about 125 mi SSW of Okinawa. The typhoon moved at an average speed of 3 kts along an inverted "S" track until it approached the $S$ end of Okinawa from the SE. When it was 50 mi SE of Okinawa, CARMEN was downgraded to a T.S. The storm then moved NW until reaching $30 N$ where it recurved, accelerated, and moved NNE, passing 140 mi E of Shanghai at $220600 Z$ and $20 \mathrm{mi} W$ of Seoul, Korea at $230200 Z$.

At the time it passed over Korea, CARMEN was moving at 36 kts and carried maximum winds of 45 kts . Typhoon CARMEN was large, about 800 mi in diameter, covering an area of more than 500,000 square mi, and it extended through $45,000 \mathrm{ft}$ on 19 August. Another feature quite unusual about this typhoon was the diameter of its eye. Reconnaissance aircraft frequently reported eye diameters of 100 mi , using as the basis of measurement. surface winds and pressure gradient. However, with respect to wall clouds surrounding the eye, radar photographs taken from the CPS-9 at Kadena AB show quite clearly, that on 20 August, the eye had a diameter of approximately $200 \mathrm{mi}(\mathrm{see}$ photograph this chapter). The eye diameter of CARMEN was probably one of the largest ever reported. When the center of the eye approached the $S$ tip of Okinawa, fog occurred at Naha and Kadena from 2016002 to 202200Z.

For a brief discussion of the surface synoptic situation at the time CARMEN formed, see narrative, Typhoon BESS. While warnings were being issued on CARMEN, warnings were also being issued on Typhoons BESS, DELLA and ELAINE. Also, the final warning on T.S. AGNES was issued at the time the first warning was issued on CARMEN.

The final warning on CARMEN was issued at 240000Z, when the storm was near 50 N . CARMEN traveled a distance of $1,900 \mathrm{mi}$ in 8 days, an average of 240 mi a day or a speed of 10 kts . During the first 5 days it traveled only 360 mi , but on the last day, it traveled 840 mi .




## RECONNAISSANCE ALRCRAFT FIXES - TXPHOON CARMEN

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TIME | LAT. | LONG. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { METHOD } \end{aligned}$ $\& \mathrm{ACCY}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | MAX <br> SFC <br> WND | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { HGT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAX } \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { WND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 700MB TT/Td $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1708002 | 24.5N | 127.1E | 315-P-20 | - - | 60 | $9740{ }^{187}$ | 60 | 14/-- | EYE ILL-DEFINED |
|  | 2 | 180000Z | 24.5N | 127.1E | 315-P-02 | - - | 70 | $9650{ }^{983}$ | 45 | 16/-- | ELLIP N/S 130X80 WALL CLD W |
|  | 3 | 1808002 | 24.5N | 127.2E | 56-P--- | -- | 75 | $9590{ }^{981}$ | -- | 18/-- | ELLIP N/S 100×60 |
|  | 4 | $1822152$ | 23.9N | 127.8E | 56-P-03 | 970 | 50 | $9590{ }^{\circ}$ | 60 | 18/-- | CIRC DIA 100 MI |
|  | 5 | $190330 Z$ | 24.2N | 128.0E | 56-P-03 | 972 | 60 | $9540{ }^{\text {a \% }}$ | 50 | 18/1.2 | CIRC DIA 100 MI |
|  | 6 | 190830Z | 24.1N | 127.9E | 315-P-05 | - - | 65 | $9420{ }^{\text {915 }}$ | - - | 18/-- | CIRC DIA 100 MI |
|  | 7 | $200030 Z$ | 24.8N | 127.9E | 315-P-10 | - - | 65 | 9490 | - - | 18/-- | EYE OPEN 100 MI dLA |
| 0 | 8 | 2003002 | 25.0 N | 128.6E | 56-T-10 | - - | 55 | - - - | 60 | - - - | CENTER NOT DEFINED |
|  | 9 | 2010352 | 25.6N | 128.6E | 56-P-02 | 978 | 60 | 9630 | 40 | 15/13 | CIRC DIA 100 MI WALL CLD NE \& E |
|  | 10 | 202200Z | 25.3N | 127.5E | 315-P-02 |  | 50 | 9600 | - - | 18/-- | - - - - - - - - - - |
|  | 11 | 2104002 | 26.3N | 127.1E | 56-P-02 | 980 | 50 | 9710 | 50 | 15/13 | CIRC DIA 80 MI |
|  | 12 | 2109062 | 26.9N | 126.2E | 56-P-02 | 980 | 60 | 9650 | 80 | 15/14 | HORSE SHOE SHAPE 70X50 MI |
|  | 13 | 2201412 | 29.3N | 124.5E | 56-P-04 | 975 | 45 | 9700 | 36 | 15/-- | UNABLE TO DETERMINE |

TYPHOON CARMEN 16-24 AUGUST 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM PC } \\ \text { IAT. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OSITION } \\ & \text { LONG. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1600002 | 22.9 N | 127.5E | - - - | - - - - |
| 1606002 | 23.0N | 127.2E |  |  |
| 1612002 | 23.2N | 126.9E | - - - - | - - - |
| 1618002 | 23.4N | 126.8E | --- - | --- |
| 1700002 | 23.7N | 126.8E | - - - - | --- - |
| 1706002 | 24.0N | 126.8E | - - - - | --- |
| 1712002 | 24.3N | 126.9E | ---- |  |
| 1718002 | 24.4 N | 127.0E | 274-163 | --- |
| 1800002 | 24.5N | 127.1E | 274-191 | --- |
| 1806002 | 24.5 N | 127.2E | 255-297 | - - - |
| 1812002 | 24.5 N | 127.3E | 275-11 |  |
| 1818002 | 24.3 N | 127.5E | 278-202 | 280-293 |
| 1900002 | 23.9N | 127.9E | 313-84 | 284-342 |
| 1906002 | 24.1N | 128.2E | 303-95 | 262-537 |
| 1912002 | 24.3 N | 128.4E | 281-74 | 278-70 |
| 1918002 | 24.6N | 128.5E | 269-79 | 276-399 |
| 200000Z | 24.8N | 128.6E | 240-76 | 306-167 |
| 2006002 | 25.2 N | 128.6E | 213-67 | 298-152 |
| 2012002 | 25.6 N | 128.4E | 202-93 | 230-97 |
| 2018002 | 25.8N | 128.1E | 190-113 | 212-95 |
| 2100002 | 26.1N | 127.5E | 100-78 | 180-110 |
| 2106002 | 26.5 N | 126.7E | 101-131 | 154-155 |
| 2112002 | 27.4 N | 125.7E | 088-204 | 149-224 |
| 211800Z | 28.5 N | 124.9E | 117-230 | 150-309 |
| 2200002 | 29.8N | 124.3E | 157-260 | 123-312 |
| $220600 Z$ | 31.2N | 124.1E | 157-256 | 131-364 |
| 2212002 | 32.6 N | 124.2E | 210-229 | 122-376 |
| 2218002 | 34.3N | 124.9E | 216-293 | 153-503 |
| 2300002 | 36.7 N | 126. 3 E | --- | --- - |
| 2306002 | 40.1N | 128.0E | - - - - | --- |
| 2312002 | 43.6N | 130.5E | --- - |  |
| 2318002 | 46.6 N | 132.9E | --- | --- |
| 2400002 | 49.4 N | 134.7E | - - | - - |
| AVERAGE 24 HOUR ERROR 154 MI AVERAGE 48 HOUR ERROR 265 MI |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |




## M. TYPHOON DELLA (170900Z-310000Z AUGUST 1960)

The first indication of Typhoon DELLA was a weak cyclonic circulation, between Kwajalein and Eniwetok, on the 120000 Z surface chart. The circulation had moved quite close to Eniwetok by 1406002, and by $170600 Z$ it appeared to be embedded in the strong trough SE of T.S. BESS. A brief description of the general features of the 1612002 surface chart is contained in the narrative of Typhoon BESS.

The initial warning (as a tropical storm) was issued at 170900 Z , and it appeared that DELLA would intensify to full typhoon strength. However, subsequent reconnaissance, on 18 August, indicated that DELLA was no longer a closed circulation, and a final warning was issued at 190000Z. An investigation by an aircraft of $\mathrm{VW}-1$ on 20 August disclosed that DELLA had regenerated, and the issuance of warnings, as a tropical storm, was resumed at 200600Z. DELLA moved on a track to the WNW at ll kts, becoming a typhoon at 221200Z. Shortly after reaching typhoon intensity, DELLA, moving in a counterclockwise direction, followed a path which gradually described an ellipse. The ellipse was centered near 22 N 137E, and the major axis was oriented ENE. DELLA moved along the 175 mi circumference of the ellipse at an initial speed of 6 kts , slowly decelerating to 2 kts . After completing the ellipse, DELLA moved to the NNW and then to the $N_{1}$ gradually accelerating to 16 kts at 290500Z, when it reached the Japanese island of Shikoku. At that time, the maximum winds had decreased from 95 to 75 kts , and passage over the island of Honshu further reduced the maximum wind speed to 45 kts . Miho (743), a city on the N coast of Honshu, was less than $20 \mathrm{mi} W$ of DELLA's position between $291000 Z$ and $291100 Z$, and reported maximum sustained winds of only 18 kts with gusts to 27 kts . DELLA accelerated to 30 kts after entering the Sea of Japan where the maximum winds, associated with the storm, reached only 55 kts . The final warning was issued at 310000Z, at which time DELLA was in the Gulf of Tatary, just $E$ of Sakhalin Island.

DELLA traveled 3150 mi during the 13 days and 15 hours (first to last warning) that warnings were issued. The average speed of this system was 10 kts or 233 mi a day; the minimum speed was 2 kts while moving along the elliptical track, and the maximum speed was 30 kts during the last day of warnings. During the life of DELLA, warnings were also issued on Typhoons BESS, CARMEN, ELAINE, FAYE, and T.S. GLORIA.

Two unusual features marked DELLA as different: The elliptical track, roughly half way between Guam and Okinawa,
on 22,23 and 24 August, and a double eye reported at 280914 Z , the position of which was 29.7 N 133.0 E . The inner eye was oval shaped, 10 by 3 mi , and was oriented such that the longer axis was NE. The outer eye was 50 mi in diameter.



## RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON DELLA

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TTME | Lat. | LONG. | UNIT METHOD \& ACCY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { HGT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ & \text { WND } \end{aligned}$ | 700 MB $\mathrm{TT} / \mathrm{Td}$ ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 170430Z | 13.2N | 154.3E | VW1-P-10 | - - | 45 | - - - | - - | - - - | 30 KT SFC WND 40 MI RAD. |
| 2 | 1722002 | 13.6N | 154.4E | 56-P-10 | - - | 40 | -- - | - - | - - - | EYE TLL-DEFINED |
| 3 | 1803002 | 14.7N | 154.8E | USAF--.- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1807282 | 14.3N | 151.1E | VW1-P-10 | - - | 35 | - - |  | - - - | CIRC DIA 42 MI |
| 5 | 1808302 | 13.7N | 153.6E | 56-P-05 | 1012 | - - | 10210 | 30 | 09/09 | UNDEFINED 25 MI DIA |
| 6 | 2004402 | 17.2N | 145.0E | VW1-P-10 | -- | 38 | - - - |  | - - - | CIRC DIA 35 MI |
| 7 | 2021002 | 19.4N | 143.1E | 56-P---- |  | - - |  | - - | - - - | INDEF CLOSED CIR |
| 8 | 2122002 | 20.3N | 139.3E | 56-P-10 | 1000 | 40 | $10080{ }^{\text {998 }}$ | 40 | 13/10 | DEFINED ONLY BY SPIRAL SC |
| 9 | 2208202 | 21.4N | 138.0E | 56-P-05 | 994 | 60 | $9920{ }^{143}$ | 50 | 14/09 | ILL-DEFINED |
| 10 | 2309202 | 21.8N | 136.2E | 56-P-05 | 972 | 75 | $9530{ }^{9.9}$ | 60 | 17/14 | CIRC DIA 15 MI WALL CLD NE-NW |
| 11 | 2323482 | 22.0N | 135.3E | 315-P-04 | - - | 85 | $9680{ }^{884}$ | 80 | 15/-- | CIRC DIA 40 MI |
| 12 | 2403152 | 21.7N | 136.7E | 56-P-05 | 962 | 55 | $9460{ }^{\text {9776 }}$ | 55 | 15/-- | EYE NOT DEFINED |
| 13 | 2408302 | 21.8 N | 136.8E | 56-P-05 | 966 | 75 | $9430{ }^{975}$ | 78 | 16/10 | ELLIP NE/SSW 30X45 |
| 14 | 2423202 | 21.2N | 137.0E | 315-P-10 | - - | 70 | $9260{ }^{461}$ | 55 | - - | WALL CLD N QUAD ONLY |
| 15 | 2507452 | 22.1N | 137.2E | 56-P-25 | 969 | 65 | $9360{ }^{97 / 3}$ | -- | - - - | ELLIP NW/SE 40x20 |
| 16 | 2521402 | 23.1N | 137.0E | 56-P-05 | 966 | 75 | $9360{ }^{973}$ | 80 | 14/12 | IRREGULAR 30 MI dia |
| 17 | 260300 Z | 23.7N | 136.4E | 56-P-10 | 970 | 100 | 9330 | -- | 14/12 | ELLIP N/S 50x30 |
| 18 | 2608002 | 23.7N | 136.3E | 56-P-03 | 918 | 85 | 9320 | 75 | 15/11 | EYE FILLED WITH CLDS |


|  |  |  |  | RECONNA | SSANCE A | RAF | IXES | - TYPHO | N DELL | A (CONT' ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TIME | Lat. | LONG. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { METHOD } \end{aligned}$ $\& \mathrm{ACCY}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { HGT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \max \\ 700 \mathrm{MB} \end{gathered}$ WND | $\begin{aligned} & 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ & \mathrm{TT} / \mathrm{Td} \\ & \text { (OC) } \end{aligned}$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
|  | 19 | 2616002 | 25.2N | 136.3E | VW1-R-05 | - - | - | - - - | - - | - | $19 \times 4$ ORIENTED $325^{\circ}$ OPEN SE |
|  | 20 | 2621352 | 25.4N | 135.7E | 56-P-10 | 976 | 60 | 9210 | - - | 13/13 | EYE VERY DIFFUSED |
|  | 21 | 270230 Z | 25.8N | 135.0E | 56-P-15 | 980 | 85 | $9210^{91 / 8}$ | 78 | 14/12 | EYE 75\% FILLED WITH CLDS |
|  | 22 | 2708002 | 26.9 N | 134.6E | 56-P-05 | 970 | 95 | 9290 | 70 | 14/09 | EYE NOT WELL DEFINED |
|  | 23 | 2706302 | 26.6N | 134.8E | VW1---01 | - - | - - | - - - | - - | - - - | CIRC DIA 20 MI |
|  | 24 | 2708302 | 27.0N | 134.7E | VW1--01 | - | - - | -- - | - - | - - - | - - - - - - |
|  | 25 | 2714252 | 27.3N | 134.1E | VW1-R-05 | -- | - - | - | - - | --. | CIRC DIA 50 MI |
|  | 26 | 2723302 | 28.4N | 134.0E | 56-P-06 | 970 | 80 | 9240 | 70 | (16/16) | CIRC DIA 40 MI OPEN N |
| こ | 27 | 2803302 | 29.1N | 133.3E | 56-P-15 | 968 | 80 | 9290 | 80 | 15/14 | NO WALL CLDS ON RADAR |
| $\sigma$ | 28 | 2809142 | 29.7N | 133.0E | 56-P-05 | 970 | 75 | 9250 | 80 | 16/15 | EYE DOUBLE \& ELLIP |
|  | 29 | 2813152 | 29.5N | 134.1E | VW1-R-20 | -- | -- | - - | - | -- | CIRC DIA 58 MI |
|  | 30 | 2823152 | 31.7 N | 134.0E | 56-P-05 | 974 | 75 | 9580 | 85 | 17/17 | ELLIP 10X25 MI |
|  | 31 | 2823552 | 31.8N | 133.1E | 56-P-02 | 971 | 75 | 9170 | 80 | 16/16 | CIRC DIA 12 MI |
|  | 32 | $290325 Z$ | 32.4N | 133.8E | 56-P-01 | - - | 90 | 9240 | 65 | 17/17 | CLRC DIA 10 MI |
|  | 33 | 2922302 | 40.1N | 135.3E | 315-P-02 | - - | 50 | 9530 | - - | 18/-- | EYE NOT DEFINED |

TYPHOON DELLA 17-31 AUGUST 1960
POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM POSITION } \\ \text { LAT. LONG. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR . ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1709002 | 13.4N | 153.8E | - - - - | ---- |
| 1712002 | 13.5 N | 153.4E | --- | --- |
| 1718002 | 13.7 N | 152.7E | --- | - - - - |
| 1800002 | 14.0 N | 152.1E | --- | --- |
| 1806002 | 14.2 N | 151.3E | 249-57 | --- |
| 1812002 | 14.6 N | 150.5E | 242-67 |  |
| 181800Z | 14.9 N | 149.7E | 234-72 | - - - - |
| 1900002 | 15.3 N | 148.9E | 108-335 | - - - - |

190000 Z TO 200600 Z NO WARNINGS ISSUED

| 2006002 | 17.3N | 144.8E | --- - | ---- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012002 | 17.7 N | 143.7E | - - | - |
| 2018002 | 18.1N | 142.6E | --- - | --- - |
| 210000 Z | 18.4N | 14.6 E |  |  |
| 2106002 | 18.8N | 140.5E | 087-150 | --- |
| 2112002 | 19.3N | 139.4E | 087-184 | - - - - |
| 2118002 | 19.8N | 138.7E | 090-211 | - |
| 2200002 | 20.6 N | 138.2E | 034-223 | - 09. |
| 220600Z | 21.2 N | 138.18 | 055-187 | 095-195 |
| 2212002 | 21.7N | 137.8E | 031-218 | 095-193 |
| 221800 Z | 22.1N | 137.4E | 030-255 | 097-206 |
| 2300002 | 22.1N | 136.7E | 290-66 | 022-384 |
| 2306002 | 22.0 N | 136.3E | 317-108 | 040-293 |
| 2312002 | 21.6 N | 136.3E | 321-168 | 024-400 |
| 2318002 | 21.5N | 136.4E | 332-390 | 019-495 |
| 2400002 | 21.5 N | 136.7E | 333-486 | 307-340 |
| 2406002 | 21.6 N | 136.9E | 329-572 | 321-405 |
| 2412002 | 21.6 N | 137.1E | 292-180 | 331-528 |
| 2418002 | 21.7N | 137.3E | 282-225 | 344-720 |
| 2500002 | 21.8 N | 137.4 E | 279-262 | 341-819 |
| 2506002 | 22.0 N | 137.5E | 250-49 | 335-914 |
| 2512002 | 22.4 N | 137.4E | 248-48 | 281-313 |
| 2518002 | 22.8 N | 137.1E | 203-73 | 271-375 |
| $260000 Z$ | 23.2N | 136.9E | 188-90 | 267-345 |

POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA (CONT'D)

| DTG | STORM POSITIONLAT. LONG. |  | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2606007 | 23.7N | 136.5E | 161-181 | 174-122 |
| 2612002 | 24.21 N | 136.1E | 154-142 | 168-150 |
| 2618002 | 24.9N | 135.6E | 145-203 | 163-195 |
| 2700002 | 25.7 N | 135.2E | 147-254 | 160-247 |
| 2706002 | 26.6 N | 134.7E | 119-122 | 153-395 |
| 2712002 | 27.5N | 134.3E | 132-94 | 153-364 |
| 2718002 | 28.1N | 133.9E | 066-200 | 150-417 |
| 2800002 | 28.8N | 133.6 E | 093-83 | 150-458 |
| 2806002 | 29.4N | 133.3E | 088-55 | 095-190 |
| 2812002 | 30.1 N | 133.0E | 043-173 | 087-182 |
| 2818002 | 30.9 N | 132.8E | 140-66 | 079-402 |
| 2900002 | 32.2N | 133.0E | 123-82 | 080-211 |
| 2906002 | 33.8 N | 133.3E | 190-81 | 100-201 |
| 2912002 | 36.2 N | 133.5E | - - - - | - - - - |
| 2918002 | 38.4N | . 134.4 E | --- | - - - - |
| 3000002 | 40.7N | 135.7E | - - - - | - - - - |
| 3006002 | 43.3 N | 137.5E | - - - - | - - - - |
| 3012002 | 46.0 N | 139.5E |  |  |
| 3018002 | 48.8 N | 141.2E | --- | --- |
| 3100002 | 51.3N | 142.0E | ---- | - - - - |
| AVERAGE <br> AVERAGE | HOUR ERRO | R 173 N |  |  |




## N. TYPHOON ELAINE (192200Z-250600Z AUGUST 1960)

At $200000 \mathrm{Z}, 2$ hours after the first warning was issued on T.D. 13, later to become Typhoon ELAINE, the surface chart indicated that the trough (161200Z chart discussed in BESS narrative) had become oriented NE, from $W$ of 18 N 100 E to 34 N 143E. An average of the isobars through this trough equalled 995 mb . This represented an area of more than $1,000,000$ square mi of poor weather, for embedded in it were Typhoons BESS and CARMEN as well as T.D. 13. At the time of the first warning the tropical depression, located 210 mi SE of Hong Kong, was moving ENE at 6 kts along the trough. A tropical storm warning was issued at $201200 Z$ and ELAINE was classified as a typhoon at $211800 Z, 110 \mathrm{mi}$ W of Batan Island, although post analysis indicates that ELAINE was of tropical storm intensity at the time of the first warning and of typhoon intensity at 210600Z. ELAINE then moved NE to NNE, roughly parallel to and about 50 mi off the E coast of Taiwan to 24 N before reversing direction. The typhoon was downgraded to a tropical storm at $221800 Z$, and by $230600 Z$ had reversed direction and moved onto Taiwan. ELAINE "jumped" across the island between $230600 Z$ and 231400Z. The speed of ELAINE was 10 kts when it touched land, 12 kts over land and then 4 kts after moving over the water area of Taiwan Strait. The storm moved WNW after departing Taiwan, passing the coastline of the Asiatic mainland at 250000Z. The final warning was issued at $250600 Z$.

It appears that ELAINE was "steered" by the circulation associated with Typhoon CARMEN until 221800Z, and then by the circulation, above the 700 mb level, of the high over the Asiatic mainland. Windwise, ELAINE had a closed circulation through the 300 mb level for part of the period that it was a typhoon, but was never closed at the 200 mb level.

During its "warning life", ELAINE traveled 850 mi over a period of 5 days and 8 hours , at an average speed of 7 kts or 158 mi a day. The minimum speed was 4 kts on 20, 21, 23 and 24 August, and the maximum speed was 12 kts on 23 August. Warnings were also issued on Typhoons BESS, CARMEN, DELLA and FAYE during this period.

ELAINE's track was quite unusual, but very similar to that of a typhoon that occurred during 1924 between 31 July and 6 August. The 1924 typhoon track was extracted from "Tropical Cyclones in the Western Pacific and China Sea area, 1884 to 1953", published by the Royal Observatory, Hong Kong.


RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON ELAINE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TTME | LAT. | LONG. | UNIT METHOD <br> $\&$ ACCY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \mathrm{HGT} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { 700MB } \\ & \text { WND } \end{aligned}$ | 700MB <br> TT/Td <br> ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2201302 | 21.2N | 121.0E | 315-P-05 | - | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2205152 | 21.7N | 121.3E | 56-P-02 | 976 | 60 | $9610{ }^{9} 9$ | 50 | 17/-- | ILL DEFINED, OPEN N \& NE ORIEN NE-SW |
| 3 | 220820Z | 22.1 N | 121.7E | 56-P-02 | 988 | 80 | $9690{ }^{18}$ | 60 | 15/-- | ORIEN NE-SW |
| 4 | 2215312 | 22.6 N | 121.6E | VW1-R-05 |  |  |  |  | -. - | D |
| 5 | 2216532 | 22.9 N | 121.5E | VW1-R-10 |  | - - |  |  |  | CIRC dia 06mi ill defined |
| 6 | 2301002 | 24.0N | 122.3E | 56-P-01 | 994 | 45 | $9980{ }^{\text {99 }}$ | 39 | 17/-- | POORLY DEFINED OPEN SE-N |

TYPHOON ELAINE 19-25 AUGUST 1960
POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG | STORM POSITION LAT. LONG. |  | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1922002 | 19.3N | 116.5E | - - - - | - - - - |
| 2000002 | 19.4 N | 116.6E | --- - | --- - |
| 2006002 | 19.7N | 117.2E | - - - - | --- - |
| 2012002 | 19.9N | 177.8E | --- - | ---- |
| 2018002 | 20.1N | 118.1E | ---- | ---- |
| 2100002 | 20.2N | 118.6E | ---- | - - - - |
| 2106002 | 20.3 N | 179.0E | --- | --- - |
| 2112002 | 20.3N | 119.5E | 340-44 | - - - - |
| 2118002 | 20.4 N | 120.1E | 015-75 | - - - - |
| 2200002 | 21.0N | 120.9E | 262-33 | - - - - |
| 2206002 | 21.7N | 121.5E | 229-91 | ---- |
| $221200 Z$ | 22.6 N | 122.1E | 222-132 | 238-94 |
| 221800 Z | 23.5N | 122.5E | 206-203 | 192-53 |
| 2300002 | 24.0N | 122.4E | 139-98 | 198-160 |
| 2306002 | 23.5N | 121.4E | 112-138 | 180-146 |
| 2312002 | 22.8N | 120.3E | 041-316 | 138-110 |
| 2318002 | 22.9N | 120.0E | 044-352 | 135-180 |
| 2400002 | 23.2N | 119.6E | 052-308 | 076-388 |
| 2406002 | 23.4N | 119.2E | 030-380 | 080-384 |
| 2412002 | 23.5N | 118.8E | 233-15 | 033-633 |
| 2418002 | 23.5N | 118.1E | 222-82 | 041-604 |
| 2500002 | 23.5N | 217.2E | 175-64 | 052-566 |
| 2506002 | 23.8N | 116.5E | 130-38 | 037-562 |
| AVERAGE 24AVERAGE48HOUR ERRORERROR148323 MI |  |  |  |  |


0. TYPHOON FAYE (221200Z AUGUST-010600Z SEPTEMBER 1960)

At $220300 Z$ an aircraft, enroute from Guam to Wake, found what was estimated to be a tropical cyclone of storm intensity at 16.ON 158.OE. This information was not received by JTWC until some 4 or 5 hours later. Other than a weak circulation analyzed on the surface charts; this was our first indication of FAYE. As a result of the report, the initial warning, as a tropical storm, was issued on FAYE at 221200 Z .

The storm moved $N$ at 9 kts for the first 54 hours, steered by the elongated western portion of a high at 300 mb. During this period, warnings were being issued on four additional tropical disturbances (BESS, CARMEN, DELLA and ELAINE), greatly limiting the availability of reconnaissance aircraft to investigate FAYE. When ${ }^{\circ}$ FAYE reached 25 N 160E, it became quasi-stationary and intensified to typhoon strength. It then began to move with the 200 mb flow, causing it to discontinue its movement to the $N$ and to begin moving SW. The first typhoon warning was issued at 251800Z, although postmanalysis indicates FAYE was of typhoon intensity at 2512002 . FAYE passed about $75 \mathrm{mi} S$ of Marcus Island at $270000 Z$ as it began to move W. However, the maximum sustained surface winds at Marcus were only 45 kts . At 280600 Z a ship 150 mi SW of FAYE reported only 20 kt surface winds, while the reconnaissance fix reported maximum surface winds of 135 kts . This confirmed the fact that FAYE was a small but intense typhoon. An E-W elongated high at 200 mb , centered to the N of the typhoon, caused it to move $W$ and then NW, and FAYE passed midway between Iwo Jima and Peel Island at approximately 290600Z. The maximum winds reported at Iwo Jima were 30 kts with gusts to 40 kts , and at Peel Island, 42 kts with gusts to 62 kts . It was here that FAYE commenced recurving $N$.

As FAYE recurved around the western edge of the anticyclone at 200 mb , it passed 35 mi to the WSW of Peel Island at 291100 Z and 20 mi E of Tori Shima at 300330Z. The maximum surface winds at Tori Shima were 45 kts with a minimum sea level pressure of 991 mb . By 300000 Z FAYE had begun to weaken, and 300 mbs appeared to become the dominant steering level, causing the storm to move NNE instead of $N$, thus eliminating any threat to Japan. FAYE was downgraded to a tropical storm at 310000Z, although post-analysis indicates FAYE weakened to tropical storm intensity at 3018002. By 0100002 it was evident that the storm had weakened and filled, and the final tropical warning was issued at $010600 Z$, by which time FAYE had become extratropical.

A total of 40 warnings were issued, covering a period of 9 days and 18 hours. FAYE traveled 2800 mi and moved at an average speed of 12 kts or 286 mi per day during its "life". The range of its speed was from lo 22 kts .


## RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT ELXES - TYPHOON FAYE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TTME | Lat. | LONG. | UNIT METHOD <br> \& ACCY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { 700MB } \\ & \text { HGT } \end{aligned}$ | MAX <br> 700MB <br> WND | 700MB TT/Td ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2203002 | 16.0N | 158.0E | USN-R--- |  |  |  | - - | --- | - - - - - - - - - - - - |
| 2 | 2215002 | 16.1N | 156.0E | USN---.-- |  |  | - - | - - |  |  |
| 2A | 2301152 | 18.5N | 158.0E | USAF---- |  |  |  |  | - - - | ---.-.-.-.-. |
| 3 | 2306122 | 21.5N | 158.1E | VW1-P--- | - - | 50 | - - - | - - | -- - | CIRC DIA 25 MI |
| 4 | 2410102 | 24.1N | 159.4E | 56---05 |  |  | - | -- | --- | ----- |
| 5 | 2420002 | 25.3N | 159.8E | 56-P-10 | 994 | 45 | 9950 | 60 | 11/09 | CIRC DLA 08 MI |
| 6 | 2520002 | 25.7N | 159.2E | 56-P=05 | 975 | 115 | $9720^{\text {93\% }}$ | 60 | 14/10 | CIRC DIA 20 MI |
| 7 | 2606402 | 25.0N | 157.2E | 56-P-05 | 968 . | 65 | 2. 9470 | 70 | 16/11 | CIRC DIA 20 MI WALL glds SOLID |
| 8 | 2618202 | 23.8 N | 155.5E | PAN AM | -- | - | - ${ }^{-7}{ }^{\text {P }}$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | - | ---------- |
| 8A | 2620152 | 23.3N | 154.9E | 56-P-01 | 960 | 120 | 9080 | 80 | 15/12 | CIRC DIA 08 MI |
| 9 | 2706452 | 22.9N | 152.3E | . $56-\mathrm{P}-10$ | 953 | 110 | ---4 | 70 | 16/12 | CIRC DIA 05 MI |
| 10 | 2721002 | 22.6N | 149.0E | $\mathrm{56-P}-05^{2}$ | 950 | 125 | 9020 | 90 | 15/10 | CIRC DIA 12 MI WELL DEFINED WALL CLDS |
| 11 | 2802302 | 23.1N | 147.6E | 56-P-05 | 941 | 135 | $8760{ }^{9052}$ | 100 | 16/11 | CIRC DIA 17 MI |
| 12 | 2807302 | 22.8 N | 146.4E | 56-P-05 | 940 |  | 8570 | 85 | 17/12 | CIRC DIA 30 MI |
| 13 | 2809452 | 23.3N | 145.7E | VW1-R-10 | -- | -- | --- | -- | --- | CIRC DIA 26 MI |
| 14 | 2821252 | 24.5N | 143.4E | 56-P-05 | 952 | 120 | 8920 | 80 | 14/11 | CIRC DIA 12 MI |
| 15 | 290230Z | 25.6N | 142.5E | 56-P-02 | 948 | 120 | 8930 | 70 | 14/12 | CIRC DIA 20 MI |
| 16 | 2902352 | 25.811 | 143.0E | 315-R-05 | -- | - - | --- | -- | --- | CIRC DIA 25 MI |
| 17 | 2908002 | 26.4N | 141.9E | 56-P-01 | 962 | 120 | 8920 | 90 | 12/12 | CIRC DIA 20 MI |



TYPHOON FAYE 22 AUGUST-01 SEPTEMBER 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM } \\ \text { LAT. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OSITION } \\ & \text { LONG. } \end{aligned}$ | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR . ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 221200 Z | 17.5 N | 157.9E |  | ---- |
| 2218002 | . 18.5 N | 158.0E | ---- | --- - |
| 2300002 | 19.5N | 158.3E | ---- | ---- |
| 2306002 | 20.6 N | 158.7E | - - - - |  |
| 2312002 | 21.4 N | 159.0E | 237-450 | --- - |
| 2318002 | 22.3N | 159.2E | 242-550 | ---- |
| 2400002 | 23.0 N | 159.5E | 239-588 | - - - - |
| 2406002 | 23.6 N | 159.7E | 247-401 | --- |
| $241200 Z$ | 24.4N | 159.8E | 120-137 | 244-736 |
| 2418002 | 25.1 N | 159.8E | 200-225 | 247-800 |
| 2500002 | 25.4 N | 159.7E | 155-111 | 245-795 |
| 2506002 | 25.5N | 159.6E. | 140-94 | 257-603 |
| 2512002 | 25.6 N | 159.5E | 009-130 | 110-313 |
| 2518002 | 25.7 N | 159.4E | 013-170 | 192-250 |
| 2600002 | 25.6N | 158.6E | 030-229 | 095-165 |
| 2606002 | 25.1N | 157.4E | 036-336 | 079-252 |
| 2612002 | 24.3 N | 156.4E | 037-457 | 030-440 |
| 2618002 | 23.5N | 155.3E | 051-286 | 031-547 |
| 2700002 | 23.0N | 154. 0 E | 053-366 | 041-687 |
| 2706002 | 22.9N | 152.5E | 065-407 | 044-798 |
| 2712002 | 22.8 N | 151. 1 E | 070-485 | 048-913 |
| 2718002 | 22.8 N | 149.8E | 099-255 | 063-594 |
| 2800002 | 22.9N | 148.3 E | 153-138 | 065-665 |
| 2806002 | 23.0N | 146.8E | 302-57 | 068-737 |
| 281200Z | 23.5 N | 145.3 E | 080-52 | 081-775 |
| 2818002 | 24.2N | 144.0E | 124-153 | 110-449 |
| 290000Z | 25.2N | 142.8E | 180-185 | 146-260 |
| 2906002 | 26.1N | 142.15 | 210-153 | 143-70 |
| 2912002 | 27.0N | 141.5E | 216-175 | 143-175 |
| 2918002 | 28.1N | 140.9E | 219-212 | 163-340 |
| 3000002 | 29.5N | 140.5E | 229-133 | 196-467 |
| 3006002 | 31.2 N | 140.8 E | 268-110 | 230-292 |
| 3012002 | 33.1 N | 141.4 E | 228-160 | 223-458 |
| 3018002 | 35.0N | 142.3E | 218-191 | 224-541 |

TYPHOON FAYE 22 AUGUST-O1 SEPTEMBER 1960
POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA (CONT'D)

| DTG | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM } \\ \text { IAT. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OSITION } \\ & \text { LONG. } \end{aligned}$ | 24 HR . ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3100002 | 36.6 N | 143.5E | - --- | ---- |
| 3106002 | 37.9N | 145.8E | ---- |  |
| 3112002 | 38.5 N | 148.1E | --- | ---- |
| 3118002 | 38.8 N | 150.3E | - - - - | ---- |
| 0100002 | 39.2N | 152.3E | ---- | - -- |
| 0106002 | 39.5 N | 154.1E |  |  |
| AVERAGE 24 HOUR ERROR 246 MI AVERAGE 48 HOUR ERROR 505 MI |  |  |  |  |



## P. TYPHOON KIT (021200Z-130000Z OCTOBER 1960)

The birth of KIT as T.D. 20 was not a surprising event. The circulation had gradually increased in size over a period of several days to an immense cyclone, whose E-W length was more than 1500 mi , extending from the Philippines to $E$ of Guam, and whose $N-S$ length was more than 600 mi . The surface winds were no more than 25 kts, and the central pressure was no lower than 1001 mb at 0212002, the time of the first warning. The cyclone grew smaller in area and more intense as it developed into a typhoon. Storm intensity winds were reached by $031200 Z$ and KIT achieved typhoon strength by 041800Z. From the first warning KIT followed a course to the $W$ moving 7 to 12 kts , roughly along 13 N , passed between Samar and Catanduanes Islands and moved onto Legaspi Island, 200 mi SE of Manila at 060900Z. It became somewhat weaker while over land, but accelerated slightly. The typhoon entered the South China Sea at 071000 Z and commenced intensifying again as it moved NW, finally achieving a speed of 12 kts . KIT was 200 mi SSW of Hong Kong at 101200Z, at which time it began turning $W$ again. The wind speeds about KIT steadily decreased from 90 kts at 101200 Z to 60 kts at 111200 Z , the same time that it passed the coast line of Hainan Island. The last warning was issued on T.S. KIT at 130000Z, 140 mi SSE of Hanoi, North Vietnam.

Typhoon KIT followed the track of climatology very well, and is one of the few of the season that did. Warnings were issued for 10 and one half days over a distance of 1900 mi . The cyclone traveled at the average rate of 7 to 8 kts or 181 mi per day. Circulationwise, Typhoon KIT appears to have extended through the 300 mb level, but did not extend to the 200 mb level as a closed circulation.

Warnings were also issued on Typhoon LOLA during the warning life of KIT.

There were no unusual features associated with Typhoon KIT.


|  | RECONDAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON KIT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TTME | LAT. | LONG. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { METHOD } \end{aligned}$ $\& A C C Y$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \text { HGT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAX } \\ 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ \text { WND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 700 MB TT/Td ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
|  | 1 | 0423162 | 12.7N | 127.5E | 56-P-05 | 980 | 75 | $9910{ }^{192}$ | 60 | 15/09 | 35 MI DIA |
|  | 2 | 0509252 | 13.0N | 126.6E | 56-P-05 | 976 | 75 | $9940{ }^{\text {993 }}$ | 58 | 14/08 | CIRC DIA 08 MI |
|  | 3 | 060030Z | 12.8N | 125.3E | 56-P-02 | 972 | 80 | $9200{ }^{963}$ | 70 | 15/-- | CIRC DIA 15 MI |
|  | 4 | 0604002 | 12.8N | 124.6E | 56-P-02 | 966 | 100 | - | *60 | *-3/-6 | CIRC DIA 18 ML |
|  | 5 | 0608202 | 12.9 N | 124.0E | 56-P-02 | 968 | - - | - - .- | *72 | *-2/-2 | POORLY DEFINED DIA 12 MI |
|  | 6 | 062250Z | 13.0N | 122.0E | 56-P-02 | - - | - - | - | *80 | *-2/-2 | CIRC DIA 40 MI |
|  | 7 | 070730Z | 13.3N | 120.8E | 56-P-00 | - - | -- | - | *55 | *-4/-- | CIRC |
| ¢ | 8 | 070920Z | 13.4 N | 120.6E | 56-P-00 | -- | 45 | - - - | *50 | *-2/-- | CIRC Wall clds diffuse |
|  | 9 | 072255Z | 13.8N | 119.2E | 56-P-05 | 992 | 35 | 9930 | 30 | 07/05. | NO DEFINED EYE |
|  | 10 | 0803452 | 14.1N | 118.7E | 56-P-05 | ¢996 | - - | 9910 | 45 | 07/-- | POORLY DEFINED EYE |
|  | 11 | 0808302 | 14.8 N | 118.6E | 56-P-01 | 987 | 75 | 9980 ${ }^{\text {\%15 }}$ | 50 | 10/08 | CIRC DIA 40 MI OPEN N |
|  | 12 | 0815002 | 15.4 N | 118.3E | VP40-R-- | - - | - - | - - . | - - | - - - | - - - . - . . - - |
|  | 13 | 0904402 | 17.4 N | 115.9E | 56-P-05 | 976 | 85 | 9630 | 60 | 18/-- | CIRC DIA 40 MI OPEN E-S |
|  | 14 | 0909002 | 17.5 N | 115.5E | 56-P-05 | 978 | 75 | 9480 | 65 | 16/-- | CIRC DIA 40 MI |
|  | 15 | 0923332 | 18.1N | 113.9E | 56-P-05 | -975 | 90 | $9380{ }^{774}$ | 85 | 15/07 | OPEN ALL QUADS |
|  | 16 | 1004352 | 18.6N | 113.9E | 56-P-15 | 978 | 65 | 9520 | 70 | 14/10 | CIRC DIA 60 MI |
|  | 17 | 1008002 | 19.1N | 113.4E | 56-P-10 | 970 | 80 | $9470{ }^{976}$ | 87 | 14/08 | CIRC DIA 60 MI WALL CLDS ALL QUADS |
|  | * | MAX 500 | WND | EMP AND | DEN PT |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TYPHOON KIT O2-13 OCTOBER 1960
POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA.

| DTG | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM } \\ \text { LART. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PSITION } \\ & \text { LONG. } \end{aligned}$ | 24 HR . ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0212002 | 13.7 N | 137.0E | - - - | --- |
| 0218002 | 13.8 N | 136.3E | - - - - |  |
| 0300002 | 13.7N | 135.6E | ---- | - - - - |
| 0306002 | 13.6 N | 134.7E |  |  |
| 0312002 | 13.5 N | 133.9E | --- - |  |
| 0318002 | 13.3N | 133.0E | - - - - | - - |
| 0400002 | 13.2N | 132.1E | ---- |  |
| 0406002 | 13.1N | 130.8 E | --- |  |
| 0412002 | 12.9 N | 129.6 E | --- |  |
| 0418002 | 12.8N | 128.5E | ---- |  |
| 0500002 | 12.8N | 127.6E | --- |  |
| 0506002 | 12.8 N | 126.8E | 102-152 |  |
| 0512002 | 12.8N | 126.3E | 274-154 |  |
| 0518002 | 12.8 N | 125.8E | 285-155 | - - - - |
| 0600002 | 12.8 N | 125.3E | 264-70 | ---- |
| 0606002 | 12.8 N | 124.5E | 263-73 | 087-147 |
| 0612002 | 12.9 N | 123.7E | 046-29 | 270-142 |
| 061800Z | 13.0N | 122.8E | 321-53 | 285-120 |
| 0700002 | 13.1 N | 121.9E | 058-93 | 251-69 |
| 0706002 | 13.2N | 121.0E | 100-65 | 246-71 |
| 0712002 | 13.3N | 120.2E | 127-38 | 079-88 |
| 0718002 | 13.6 N | 119.7E | 209-40 | 278-91 |
| 0800002 | 13.9 N | 119.2 E | 219-76 | 114-136 |
| 0806002 | 14.5 N | 118.7E | 209-112 | 151-110 |
| 0812002 | 15.2N | 118.4E | 213-149 | 190-150 |
| 0818002 | 16.1N | 117.8E | 213-184 | 212-248 |
| 090000 Z | 16.8N | 116.9E | 201-173 | 201-284 |
| 0906002 | 17.3N | 115.9E | 187-137 | 195-309 |
| 0912002 | 17.6N | 115.2E | 094-98 | 196-310 |
| 0918002 | 18.0N | 114.6E | 102-142 | 205-297 |
| 1000002 | 18.4 N | 114.0E | 166-78 | 199-271 |
| 1006002 | 18.80 N | 113.4 E | 273-99 | 196-204 |
| 1012002 | 19.1N | 112.9E | 272-137 | 078-247 |
| 1018002 | 19.3N | 112.3E | 286-125 | 095-148 |
| 1100002 | 19.4N | 111.8E | 225-60 | 188-150 |

TYPHOON KIT 02-13 OCTOBER 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA (CONT'D)

| DTG | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM I } \\ \text { LAT. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { OSITION } \\ & \text { LONG. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1106002 | 19.4N | 111.2E | 283-29 | 276-204 |
| 1112002 | 19.4 N | 110.6E | 360-98 | 274-214 |
| 1118002 | 19.3N | 110.1E | 015-113 | 289-176 |
| 1200002 | 19.1N | 109.5E | 023-116 | 258-36 |
| 1206002 | 19.0N | 109.0E | 041-173 | 331-83 |
| 1212002 | 18.9N | 108.4E | 035-100 | 003-117 |
| 1218002 | 18.8N | 107.6E | 025-65 | 202-245 |
| 1300002 | 18.7N | 106.7E | 049-64 | 200-195 |
| average 24 HOUR ERROR 102 MI AVERAGE 48 HOUR ERROR 174 MI |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


Q. TYPHOON LOLA (O81200Z-170600Z OCTOBER 1960)

After Typhoon KIT moved over the South China Sea, a small circulation began to develop in the trough behind and about 700 mi NE of it, near 20 N 130E. It was first noted at 080000 Z , and by 0812002 the circulation was intense enough to be classified as T.S. LOLA.

LOLA initially moved toward Taiwan, but abruptly turned $S$ during the 12 hours subsequent to $090600 Z$ and accelerated from 4 to 15 kts . The storm was upgraded to a typhoon at 110600 Z , about 340 mi ENE of Manila. Shortly thereafter, LOLA turned $W$, and it appeared to be headed toward Manila. The typhoon moved onto the coast of Luzon Island 80 mi NNE of Manila at 130800 Z . LOLA passed about 20 mi N of Clark AB just before 1312002. It appears that the typhoon circulation, within the lower few thousand feet, was weakened by the terrain, and after passing beyond Luzon Island over the South China Sea, reformed as a result of the sustained upper air circulation. This created the appearance of the typhoon "jumping" across the island of Luzon. The reader is referred to "The Problem of Typhoon Forecasting Over Taiwan and its Vicinity", by Lt. Colonel Hsu Ying-Chin, published in the Record of Proceedings, U.S.-Asian Military Weather Symposium, 9-12 February 1960, for further discussion of this phenomena. LOLA moved over the South China Sea after $131800 Z$ and the surface winds intensified to 70 kts by 141200 Z . The typhoon decreased to tropical storm intensity by 151200Z, and passed 20 mi S of Hainan Island at $161200 Z_{\text {, t }}$ then onto the North Vietnam coastline, 20 mi SE of Vinh at 170300 Z . The last warning was issued at 170600 Z .

Thirty-six warnings were issued on LOLA during 8 days and 18 hours over a distance of 1800 mi . The tropical circulation moved at an average speed of 9 kts or 208 mi per day. The minimum speed of movement was 4 kts and the maximum was 15 kts . The typhoon extended through the 500 mb level as a closed circulation while in the vicinity of Clark $A B$, and certainly influenced the circulation through 35000 ft . Lack of data again precludes a more definitive measurement of intensity at higher levels.

LOLA moved toward Typhoon KIT throughout its life, except for the first 24 hours. This track appears to have been along the southern side of the upper air anticyclone that was over the Asiatic continent. Tracks from N to $S$ seldom appear to the E of the Philippines, and for this reason the track may be considered the most unusual feature of Typhoon LOLA.



TYPHOON LOLA O8-17 OCTOBER 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA


TYPHOON LOLA 08-17 OCTOBER 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA (CONT'D)

|  | STORM POSITION |  | 24 HR. ERROR | 48 HR. ERROR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DTG | LAT. | LONG. | DEG. DISTANCE | DEG. DISTANCE |
| 1706002 | $18.6 N$ | 105.2 E | $103-53$ | $226-100$ |
| AVERAGE 24 | HOUR ERROR | 148 MI |  |  |
| AVERAGE 48 HOUR ERROR | 284 MI |  |  |  |



## R. TYPHOON MAMIE (132200Z-210600Z OCTOBER 1960)

The first closed isobar was transcribed around the depression, that was to become the largest typhoon of the season, at $101800 Z$ near Kwajalein. By the time the first warning was issued on T.D. 2l, it was more than 1300 mi in diameter, encompassing an area of more than $1,300,000$ square mi. At 171800 the approximate area within the greatest closed isobar of this fully developed typhoon was $1,200,000$ square $m i$, and the area of cyclonic circulation was twice that total. When the last warning was issued at 210600Z, Typhoon MAMIE enclosed an area of only 324,000 square mi.

The first warning was issued on MAMIE 370 mi E of Guam at 132200Z, when the maximum wind circulation about the depression was 25 kts . MAMIE moved along a WNW track at 11 kts, passing 175 mi NE of Guam at 150000 Z with surface winds of 50 kts near the center. It became a typhoon at 151200Z, about 220 mi NNE of Guam. The typhoon continued to a point near 20 N 141 E , slowed to 3 kts , turned just E of $N$, and then accelerated rapidly to 24 kts over a distance of 370 mi in a period of 36 hours. MAMIE was 70 mi E of Iwo Jima at 190000 Z and about $50 \mathrm{mi} E$ of Peel Island at 190700Z. The typhoon passed nearest Japan at 200600Z, 275 mi ESE of Tokyo. The last warning was issued 24 hours later, after which MAMIE became extratropical. The surface winds were 60 kts at that time.

MAMIE traveled about 1950 mi from the first to last warning, and lasted 8 hours longer than one week. The minimum speed was 3 kts on 17 October; the maximum speed was 24 kts on 19 October; the average speed was 11 kts or 267 mi per day. Warnings were being issued simultaneously on Typhoons LOLA and MAMIE.

MAMIE was probably intense enough to be a closed circulation at the 200 mb level. The Iwo Jima 200 mb winds turned with the approach of MAMIE; however, the last report was received ait 180600 Z , due to equipment failure when the typhoon was 180 mi to the $S$. Consequently, reports with $W$ wind components are not available. This was the only station along the track of MAMIE that could have provided this information.

MAMIE was the largest typhoon of the 1960 season, comparable in size to the large ones of other years. To picture the area influenced by this typhoon, consider that the surface circulation was cyclonic, covering an area bounded by Japan, the Philippines, Truk, Marcus, and then Japan.


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | TIME | LAT. | LONG. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { UNIT } \\ & \text { METHOD } \\ & \text { \& ACCY } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { 7OOMB } \\ \text { HGT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAX } \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { WND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 700 MB TT/Td ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | EYE CBARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1406302 | 14.0N | 150.0E | VW1---.-- | - - | - - | - - - | -- |  | ---------- |
|  | 2 | 1422042 | 15.3N | 147.1E | 56-P-08 | 996 | 50 | 10150 | 54 | 09/05 | CIRC DIA 40 MI |
|  | 3 | 1501002 | 14.8N | 145.5E | VW1-R-05 | -- | - - | - - - | - - | -- | ELONG EYE DIA 40 MI |
|  | 4 | 1507152 | 16.4 N | 146.2E | 56-P-02 | 985 | 35 | $9980{ }^{995}$ | 40 | 11/07 | NOT DEFINED ON RADAR |
|  | 5 | 1521302 | 18.4N | 144.5E | 56-P-05 | 980 | 70 | $9740{ }^{\text {2x }}$ | 60 | 12/09 | NOT DEFINED |
|  | 6 | 1608002 | 19.3N | 142.88 | 56-P-20 | 979 | 75 | 9540 | 65 | 17/11 | CIRC DIA 40 MI OREN W |
|  | 7 | 1622502 | 20.1N | 141.2E | 56-P-05 | 976 | $60$ | $9490{ }^{978}$ | 55 | 12/10 | CIRC DIA 20 MI |
| 颪 | 8 | 1702582 | 20.0N | 140.8E | 56-P-08 | 962 | 65 | 9410 | 57 | 12/10 | CIRC DIA 35 MI |
|  | 9 | 1704392 | 20.5N | 141.5E | VW1-R-10 | - | - ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | - ${ }^{\text {7-0. }}$ | -- | -- | DIA 50 MI |
|  | 10 | 1708152 | 20.4N | 140.8E | 56-P-10 | 950 | 55 | 8940 | 70 | 15/06 | CIRC DIA 40 MI |
|  | 11 | 1721302 | 21.1N | 140.9E | 56-P-05 | 948 | 80 | 8860 | 85 | 16/10 | CIRC DIA 20 MI WELL DEFINED |
|  | 12 | 1802302 | 21.1N | 141.1E | 56-P-10 | 946 | 80 | 8630 | 90 | 17/11 | CIRC DIA 15 MI |
|  | 13 | 1807202 | 21.9N | 141.4E | 56-P-10 | 940 | 80 | $8420{ }^{94 / 3}$ | 95 | 17/10 | CIRC DIA 40 MI |
|  | 14 | 180522 Z | 21.7N | 141.4E | VW1-R-05 | -- | - | - | -- | - - | CIRC DIA 30 MI |
|  | 15 | 1821202 | 24.7N | 142.4E | 56-P-15 | 946 | 40 | 8650 | 85 | 16/12 | DIFFUSE DIA 40 MI |
|  | 16 | 1902152 | 25.3N | 143.0E | 56-P-05 | 960 | -- | 8630 | 90 | 16/16 | CIRC DIA 35 MI |
|  | 17 | 1906152 | 26.9N | 143.1E | 56-P-05 | 958 | 150 | 8720 | 90 | 17/17 | SEMICIRC DIA 30 MI |
|  | 18 | 1922002 | 32.4N | 143.8E | 56-P-05 | 966 | 90 | 9340 | 60 | 20/02 | NOT DEFINED |
|  | 19 | 2001002 | 33.7N | 143.7E | 56-P-11 | 972 | 85 | 9530 | 80 | 23/03 | NOT DEFINED |
|  | 20 | 2002452 | 33.7N | 144.2E | 56-P-20 | - - | 70 | 9530 | 30 | 21/03 | NOT DEFINED |

## RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON MAMIE (CONT'D)



TYPHOON MAMIE 13-21 OCTOBER 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA

| DTG | STORM POSITION LAT. LONG. |  | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1322002 | 13.3N | 151.2E | - | - |
| 1400002 | 13.5N | 150.9E | ---- | ---- |
| 1406002 | 13.8 N | 150.0E | - - - - |  |
| 1412002 | 14.1N | 148.9E | -- -- | ---- |
| 14,18002 | 14.6 N | 147.9E | ---- | --- - - |
| 1500002 | 15.3N | 147.1E | - - - - | - - - - |
| 1506002 | 16.2N | 146.3E | - - - - | --- - |
| 1512002 | 17.1N | 145.7 E | --- - |  |
| $151800 Z$ | 18.0 N | 145.0E | 204-205 | --- - |
| 1600002 | 18.7N | 144. 1 E | 213-240 | --- - |
| 1606002 | 19.2N | 143.15 | 119-40 | - - - |
| 1612002 | 19.5N | 142.2E | 093-46 | ---- |
| 1618002 | 19.7 N | $141.5 E$ | 055-86 | 205-226 |
| 1700002 | 20.0N | 141.18 | 009-145 | 221-366 |
| 1706002 | 20.2N | 140.8E | 001-179 | 007-70 |
| 1712002 | 20.6 N | 140.8 E | 336-185 | 344-87 |
| 1718002 | 20.9 N | 140.9E | 326-200 | 351-151 |
| 1800002 | 21.3N | 141.1E | 271-114 | 351-275 |
| 1806002 | 21.8 N | 141.3E | 252-144 | 353-295 |
| 1812002 | 22.5N | 141.7E | 237-151 | 323-311 |
| 1818002 | 23.5 N | 142.2E | 232-220 | 312-325 |
| 1900002 | 24.8N | 142.7E | 223-183 | 240-326 |
| $190600 Z$ | 26.8 N | 143.1E | 191-151 | 220-422 |
| 1912002 | 29.2N | 143.3 E | 185-261 | 210-525 |
| 1918002 | 31.3 N | 143.5 E | 179-307 | 208-631 |
| 2000002 | 32.8 N | $143.9 \pm$ | 153-122 | 200-512 |
| 2006002 | 34.1N | 144.5 E | 143-153 | 178-383 |
| 2012002 | 35.4N | 145.3 E | - - - | - - - |
| 2018002 | 36.5N | 146.1E | - - - - | ---- |
| 2100002 | 37.6N | 147.1E | --- | - - - - |
| 2106002 | 38.8N | 148.6E | ---- | - - - - |

AVERAGE 24 HOUR ERROR 165 MI AVERAGE 48 HOUR ERROR 327 MI


## S. TYPHOON NINA (230000Z-271800Z OCTOBER 1960)

In the wake of Typhoon MAMIE there was a collection of debris in the form of small vortices between the Philippine Islands and Guam. Before 200000 Z these vortices appeared to form and dissipate frequently; however, at this time a low appeared and ultimately became Typhoon NINA.

The first warning on T.D. 23 was issued at 230000 Z after the depression had moved slowly to the $W$ and NW for several days. The low had just entered into recurvature and was about 600 mi E of Clark AB, Philippines at the time of the first warning. Recurvature was completed 24 hours later; at that time NINA reached typhoon intensity. After $240000 Z$ NINA traveled in an almost straight line, along a track of about 030 degrees. The surface winds near the eye of NINA continued to steadily increase in speed at the rate of 5 to 10 kts each 6 hours, until a maximum of 110 kts was reached at 260000 Z when the typhoon was 320 mi $W$ of Minami Io Jima, an island just $S$ of Iwo Jima. NINA passed to the $W$ and within 20 mi of Tori Shima between 261700 Z and 261800 Z , moving at 30 kts . The surface winds reached 40 kts and the pressure dropped to 954 mb or less at that station. The Tori Shima weather station is well protected against high winds from a southerly direction; hence no higher winds were reported. The typhoon passed 200 mi SE of Tokyo at 270000 Z and continued parallel to the Japanese Archipelago until $271800 Z$ when the last warning was issued. NINA was moving at 56 kts and had 70 kt surface winds at this time.

The "warning life" of NINA was 4 days and 18 hours, during which time the typhoon traveled 2200 mi at an average speed of 19 kts or 460 mi per day. The minimum speed was 5 kts on 24 and 25 October, and the maximum speed was 56 kts on 27 October.

The winds aloft at Tori Shima(47963) and Hachijo Jima (47678) are interesting because of the effect of Typhoon NINA on them. Hachijo Jima's 261800Z winds at $25,000 \mathrm{ft}$ strongly suggest a.closed circulation; however, the 30,000 ft winds, which were 230 degrees 54 kts at 260600 Z , became 220 degrees 17 kts at 2618002, and then became 250 degrees 68 kts at 270000 Z when the influence of NINA no longer existed. The winds at Tori Shima were modified from $251800 Z$ until after the passage of the typhoon there. Prior to that time the $30,000 \mathrm{ft}$ wind was 260 degrees with speeds ranging from 60 to 75 kts; by 260600 Z the winds were only 220 degrecs 25 kts. The speed increased to 64 kts just before the typhoon passed and the direction changed to 200 degrees. Shortly after passage the winds returned to the prevailing flow ( 260 degrees 49 kts ) at the 300 mb level. The typhoon
in effect decreased the prevailing westerly wind speed at $30,000 \mathrm{ft}$ as it approached that area from the S. NINA appeared to be a closed cyclonic circulation at 20-25,000 $f t$ when in the vicinity of Tori Shima and Hachijo Jima.

The typhoon was not unusual in behavior. Typhoon NINA was the second "fastest" typhoon of the season, averaging 56 kts for the last 6 hours of its "warning life".


RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON NINA


| DTG | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM } \\ \text { LAT. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | OSITION LONG. | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2300002 | 15.4N | 131.0E | - - - - | -- - |
| 2306002 | 16.0 N | 130.8E | ---- |  |
| 2312002 | 16.6 N | 130.7E |  |  |
| 2318002 | 17.2N | 130.9E | ---- |  |
| 2400002 | 17.9N | 131.2E | ---- |  |
| 2406002 | 18.8N | 131.8E |  |  |
| 2412002 | 19.5N | 132.3E | --- |  |
| 2418002 | 19.9N | 132.6E |  |  |
| 2500002 | 20.3N | 133.0E | 266-257 | ---- |
| 2506002 | 20.7 N | 133.4E | 311-74 |  |
| 251200Z | 21.4 N | 133.9E | 300-81 |  |
| 2518002 | 22.4 N | 134.6E | 292-82 |  |
| 2600002 | 24.1N | 135.5E | 225-80 | 251-358 |
| 2606002 | 26.1 N | 136.7E | 196-148 | 232-77 |
| 2612002 | 28.4N | 138.2E | 202-318 | 210-184 |
| 261800Z | 30.8N | 140.2E | 205-458 | 203-153 |
| 2700002 | 33.4 N | 142.9E | 196-392 | 208-463 |
| 2706002 | 36.8N | 146.3E | - - - - | 208-463 |
| 2712002 | 40.8 N | 150.2E | - - - - |  |
| 2718002 | 45.0 N | 155.3E | ---- | ---- |

[^0]

A shift of the surface wind at Kwajalein and the development of a small low just $S$ of that station presaged Typhoon OPHELIA. The depression intensified as it moved W to a point 165 mi SW of Eniwetok, the position of the first warning at $211200 Z$ on T.D. 24. As is often the case, quirks of nature confuse the situation. Shortly after the first warning was issued the system began to weaken and it appeared that the low would lose its identity. Warnings were discontinued at 240600Z, by which time the depression had reversed direction twice and was moving $W$ in the vicinity of Ponape. Although warnings were not being issued, this circulation was carefully surveyed as it moved $W$, passed Truk, then turned NW and intensified again. At 270000Z, when the depression was 290 mi SE of Guam, the issuance of warnings was resumed. The depression increased to tropical storm intensity at 271800 z and to typhoon intensity at 290000Z. The speed of movement increased from 2 kts at 270000 Z to 17 kts at 290600 Z , at which time it was 240 mi S of Guam. The track followed a semi-sinusoidal pattern, creating a difficult forecast problem. Typhoon OPHELIA passed directly over Ulithi Atoll at 300300Z. The pressure was reported to be a minimum of 939.4 mb , and the winds were on the order of 125 kts . A facsimile of the barograph trace is shown here, and a photograph of damage is reproduced in another section. Ulithi Atoll was the only island or land mass over which the eye passed while warnings were being issued. Typhoon OPHELIA moved WNW to a point about 500 mi E of Catanduanes Island, Philippines at 011200 Z , and then began to turn N . The change in direction was completed within 12 hours. The typhoon continued on this $N$ track for about 2 days and traveled approximately 400 mi before completing the final turn of recurvature. OPHELIA moved NE and accelerated rapidly as it was influenced by very strong $S W$ winds above the 500 mb level. On 5 December Typhoon OPHELIA moved about $1,275 \mathrm{mi}$ at an average speed of 53 kts . The typhoon turned to the ENE at O51200Z, about 500 mi E of Tokyo. OPHELIA was classified as extratropical at 0606002 near 41 N 169 E , and the last warning was issued at this time.

Typhoon OPHELIA traveled $5,000 \mathrm{mi}$ at an average speed of 13 kts or 318 mi per day. The minimum speed was 2 kts on 22, 27 and 28 November, and the maximum speed was 65 kts on 5 December. Warnings were issued over a period of 15 days and 18 hours; however, no warnings were issued from 240600 Z to 270000 Z , which is included in this overall period of time.

Between 050600Z and 051200Z OPHELIA's average speed of movement was 65 kts , which is faster than any other typhoon of the Season.
$691$





RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TXPHOON OPHELIA



| DTG | STORM POSITION LAT. LONG. |  | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2112002 | 09.2N | 160.5E |  | ---- |
| 2118002 | 09.4 N | 159.5E | ---- | ---- |
| 2200002 | 09.5N | 158.7E | - -- - | ---- |
| 2206002 | 09.4 N | 158.1E | ---- | ---- |
| 2212002 | 09.2N | 158.2E | - - - - | ---- |
| 2218002 | 08.9N | 158.7E | ---- | ---- |
| 2300002 | 08.6N | 159.6E | --- | --- |
| 2306002 | 08.2N | 160.6E | - - - - | - - - - |
| 2312002 | 07.5N | 161. 1 E | ---- |  |
| 2318002 | 07. 3 N | 160.6 E | --- | - - - - |
| 2400002 | 07.0N | 159.5E | ---- | - - - - |
| 2406002 | 07.0N | 157.9E | - - - - | - - - - |

$240600 Z$ TO 2700002 NO WARNINGS ISSUED

| 2700002 | 10.0N | 148.3E | --- - | ---- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2706002 | 09.9N | 148.2E | --- - | - - - - |
| 2712002 | 09.8N | 148.0E |  | - - - - |
| 2718002 | 09.7N | 147.8 E | --- | --- - |
| 2800002 | 09.5N | 147.6E | 317-71 | - - - - |
| 2806002 | 09.4N | 147.5E | 312-107 | --- |
| 2812002 | 09.3N | 147.2 E | 316-150 | --- |
| 2818002 | 09.2N | 147.0E | 323-145 | - - - - |
| 2900002 | 09.1N | 146.5E | 010-67 | 314-191 |
| 2906002 | 09.6 N | 145.4 E | 036-65 | 315-165 |
| 2912002 | 10.4 N | 144.0E | 115-131 | 325-119 |
| 2918002 | 10.4 N | 142.2E | 105-226 | 031-101 |
| 3000002 | 10.1N | 140.5 E | 099-251 | 074-245 |
| 3006002 | 10.3 N | 139.0E | 078-157 | 077-298 |
| 3012002 | 11.1 N | 137.4E | 331-66 | 103-440 |
| 3018002 | 11.6 N | 136.0E | 320-75 | 105-517 |
| 0100002 | 12.0N | 134.8E | 234-47 | 105-450 |
| 0106002 | 12.3N | 133.6E | 222-116 | 096-235 |
| 0112002 | 12.8 N | 132.9E | 200-97 | 292-188 |
| 0118002 | 13.5N | 132.4E | 202-103 | 284-270 |
| 0200002 | 14.3 N | 132.4E | 254-213 | 259-268 |

TYPHOON OPHELIA 21 NOVEMBER-06 DECEMBER 1960 POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA (CONT'D)



## U. TYPHOON PHYLLIS (110000Z-200000Z DECEMBER 1960)

A cyclonic circulation was evident about 100 mi W of Truk on the $090600 Z$ surface chart. This system moved W, and at 1100002 the initial warning was issued on T.D. 25 in the vicinity of 7 N l48E. This cyclone moved WNW to $W$ for the first 60 hours that warnings were issued; the depression was upgraded to T.S. PHYLLIS at 120000 Z near 10N 142E. The average speed of movement of PHYLLIS for the first 60 hours that warings were issued was 15 kts . This is a relatively fast speed for a tropical disturbance in low latitudes, but the 300 mb charts from 101200 Z to $131200 Z$ indicated a stronger than normal gradient throughout this region, which undoubtedly had an effect on the speed.

The storm passed $40 \mathrm{mi} N$ of Ulithi at 120730Z. The maximum reported surface wind speed at this atoll was 20 $k t s$, and the minimum sea level pressure was 998.3 mb . The sea level pressure at Yap and Koror did not fall below $1,000 \mathrm{mb}$, which indicated that PHYLLIS was still a small storm. After it passed Ulithi it rapidly intensified, reaching typhoon strength by 130000Z near 12N 136E. At this time the 200 mb chart showed an elongated high just $N$ of PHYLLIS, extending from $S$ of Marcus to the Philippines. This high split into two separate cells, and the typhoon began to move $N$ around the western edge of the anticyclone which was $E$ of PHYLLIS. It then moved into a col area between the two highs; this slowed its speed of movement to 3 kts . The 200 mb high, which was E of PHYLLIS, began to spread its influence over PHYLLIS again. This resulted in PHYLLIS turning to the $W$ and accelerating to $l l \mathrm{kts}$ by 160000Z. After 161200Z PHYLLIS began to turn slowly toward the NW and its speed of movement decreased. From $171200 Z$ to $181800 Z$ the typhoon changed its direction of movement from 300 to 080 degrees as it rapidly recurved. Its speed during recurvature slowed to 4 kts , and the maximum surface wind speed increased to 105 kts by 180600 Z .

A cold front was located about 300 mi N of PHYLLIS at 1812002, and it moved $S$ as the typhoon moved E. This front brought cold air into the typhoon, causing it to rapidly weaken and to become extratropical. At $182330 Z$ a reconnaissance fix indicated maximum sustained surface winds of 110 kts , and at 192325 Z a reconnaissance fix indicated winds of only 15 kts and a poorly defined center. The final warning was issued at 200000 Z .

A total of 37 warnings were issued, covering a period of 9 days. PHYLLIS traveled 1850 mi , averaging 9 kts or 207 mi per day. The minimum speed of movement was 2 kts on 14 Dec., and the maximum speed was 23 kts on ll Dec.


RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON PHYLLIS

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TIME | LAT. | LONG. | $\xrightarrow[\text { METHOD }]{\text { UNIT }}$ <br> \& ACCY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \end{aligned}$ | MAX <br> SFC <br> WND | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { HGT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ & \text { WND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 700 \mathrm{MB} \\ & \mathrm{TT} / \mathrm{Td} \end{aligned}$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 1215172 | 11.1N | 138.4E | USAF-R-- | - - | - - | - - - | - - | - - - | - - - - - - - - - |
|  | 2 | 1300302 | 11.8 N | 135.5E | 56-P-03 | ¢995 | 65 | $9980{ }^{945}$ | 40 | 15/09 | ELLIP N-S 10MI E-W 25MI |
|  | 3 | 1306302 | 11.7 N | 134.4E | 56-P-03 | 982 | 75 | $9680{ }^{984}$ | 55 | 15/10 | CIRC DIA 20 MI OPEN N |
|  | 4 | 1314552 | 12.2 N | 133.2E | VW1-R-08 | - - | - - | --- | - - | - - - | CIRC DIA 40 MI |
|  | 5 | 1323002 | 13.0 N | 133.3E | 56-P-05 | 977 | 60 | $9550{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 55 | 14/-- | DIFFUSE |
|  | 6 | 1403002 | 13.3N | 132.9 E | 56-P-05 | 984 | 60 | $9520{ }^{177}$ | 70 | 13/-- | DIFFUSE, DIA 40 MI |
|  | 7 | 1407302 | 13.5 N | 132.9 E | 56-P-10 | - 980 | 70 | $9510{ }^{799}$ | 70 | 16/15 | CIRE DIA 40 MI OPEN N \& NE |
|  | 8 | 1415002 | 14.1N | 132.5E | VW1-R-20 |  |  |  | - - | - - - | OPEN DIA 25 MI |
| - | 9 | 1500302 | 14.0 N | 132.6E | 56-P-05 | 979 | 50 | $9820{ }^{\text {9\% }} 1$ | 50 | 20/16 | DIFFUSE, WALL CLDS E \& S |
|  | 10 | 1503152 | 14.6 N | 132.3E | 56-P-15 | 990 | 60 | $9850{ }^{990}$ | 50 | 19/16 | POORLY DEFINED \& DIFFUSE |
|  | 11 | 1507002 | 14.7 N | 132.9E | 56-P-05 | 982 | 65 | $9750{ }^{987}$ | 60 | 16/12 | POORLY DEFINED \& DIFFUSE |
|  | 12 | 1523152 | 14.8 N | 130.7E | 56-P-05 | 984 | 55 | $9740{ }^{\text {[8] } 7}$ | 60 | 16/13 | CIRC POORLY DEFINED |
|  | 13 | 1603082 | 15.9N | 129.7E | VW1-R-15 | -- | -- | --- | - - | - - | CIRC DIA 33 MI |
|  | 14 | 1603302 | 15.1N | 129.7E | 56-P-07 | 973 | 55 | $9650{ }^{973}$ | 60 | 17/16 | CIRC DIA 50 MI OPEN $\mathrm{S} \& \mathrm{~W}$ |
|  | 15 | 1607002 | 15.3N | 129.2E | 56-P-05 | 964 | . 70 | $9530{ }^{179}$ | 65 | 16/15 | CIRC DLA 40 MI Wall clds |
|  | 16 | 1621452 | 15.5N | 126.5E | 56-P-04 | 985 | - - | $9350{ }^{973}$ | 75 | 15/-- | S\&W |
|  | 17 | 1702302 | 15.7N | 125.9E | 56-P-02 | 971 | 60 | $9400{ }^{914}$ | 75 | 15/-- | CIRC DIA 20 MI |
|  | 18 | 1710152 | 16.3 N | 125.2E | 56-P-05 | - - | - - | $9360{ }^{\text {¹3 }}$ | 60 | 14/11 | CIRC DIA 15 MI |
|  | 19 | 1711262 | 16.2 N | 124.7E | VW1-R-10 |  |  | - - - | - - | - - - | -....-......... |
|  | 20 | 1714572 | 16.6N | 124.6E | VW1-R-10 |  |  |  |  |  | CIRC DIA 20 MI |

RECONNAISSANCE AIRCRAFT FIXES - TYPHOON PHYLLIS (CONT'D)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FIX } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | TIME | Lat. | LONG. | UNIT METHOD <br> \& ACCY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { SLP } \\ & \text { MBS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MAX } \\ & \text { SFC } \\ & \text { WND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MIN } \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { HGT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { MAX } \\ \text { 700MB } \\ \text { WND } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 700MB TT/Td ( $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | EYE CHARACTERISTICS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 21 | 1722302 | 17.4N | 124.2E | 56-P-08 | 972 | - - | $9330{ }^{972}$ | 70 | 14/11 | CIRC DIA 50 MI |
|  | 22 | 1803302 | 17.2N | 124.3E | 56-P-05 | 962 | 65 | $9230{ }^{9769}$ | 85 | 20/-- | CIRC DIA 50 MI |
|  | 23 | 1809002 | 17.6 N | 124.2E | 56-P-02 | 967 | 110 | $9110{ }^{6 / 5}$ | 90 | 19/-- | CIRC DIA 25 MI |
|  | 24 | 1814302 | 17.7N | 124.3E | VW1-R-02 | -- | -- |  | -- | --- | CIRC DIA 20 MI |
|  | 25 | 1823302 | 17.8N | 125.3E | 56-P-05 | 979 | 110 | $9780{ }^{788}$ | - - | 21/14 | WALL CLDS NW |
|  | 26 | 1905002 | 17.7N | 125.5E | 56-P-02 | 987 | 65 | $9880{ }^{\text {9411 }}$ |  | 19/09 | OPEN S SEMMICIRCLE |
|  | 27 | 1908002 | 17.7N | 125.7E | 56-P-03 | 994 | 75 | $10000{ }^{176}$ | - - | 18/10 | ELLIP CTR TO W 12 MI CTR TO N 20 MI |
| $コ$ | 28 | 1916072 | 17.8N | 127.4E | VW1-R-10 | - - | -- |  |  |  | NOT WELL DEFINED |
|  | 29 | 1923252 | 18.3N | 129.2E | 56------ | 1011 | 15 | - - - | - - | - - - | - - - - - - - - |
|  | 30 | 2003452 | 18.9N | 128.0E | 56------ | - - | c | - - - | - | - - | no Closed circulation |


| DTG | $\begin{gathered} \text { STORM } \\ \text { LAT. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | OSITION LONG. | 24 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE | 48 HR. ERROR DEG. DISTANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1100002 | 07.4N | 148.3 E | --- - |  |
| 1106002 | 07.6N | 147.6E | - - - - |  |
| 1112002 | 08.0N | 146.2 E | - - - - |  |
| 1118002 | 08.7N | 144.2E | ---- |  |
| 1200002 | 09.7N | 142.1E | ---- | ---- |
| 120600Z | 10.7 N | 140.1 E | --- - |  |
| 1212002 | 11.1N | 138.9E | ---- |  |
| 1218002 | 11.4N | 137.5E | - - - - |  |
| 1300002 | 11.6N | 135.9E | ---- |  |
| 1306002 | 11.9N | 134.5E | 116-302 | - - - - |
| 1312002 | 12.2 N | 133.7 E | 294-140 |  |
| 1318002 | 12.6 N | 133.2E | 292-109 | - - - - |
| 1400002 | 13.1 N | 133.0E | 289-150 | - - |
| 1406002 | 13.5 N | 132.9 E | 287-206 | 140-205 |
| 1412002 | 13.8 N | 132.8E | 282-200 | 322-222 |
| 1418002 | 14.0 N | 132.7E | 269-159 | 287-276 |
| 1500002 | 14.2 N | 132.6E | 293-67 | 308-304 |
| 1506002 | 14.6 N | 132.2E | 015-125 | 317-294 |
| 1512002 | 14.8 N | $131.6 \pm$ | 035-102 | 324-281 |
| 1518002 | 14.9 N | 131.1E | 037-175 | 310-179 |
| 1600002 | 15.0N | 130.4E | 087-112 | 013-171 |
| 1606002 | 15.2N | 129.3E | 068-165 | 047-454 |
| 1612002 | 15.3 N | 128.2E | 070-333 | 053-480 |
| 1618002 | 15.4 N | 127.1E | 070-405 | 057-561 |
| 1700002 | 15.7 N | 126.2E | 110-117 | 073-381 |
| 1706002 | 15.9 N | 125.4 E | 161-44 | 067-464 |
| 1712002 | 16.2N | 124.8E | 180-52 | 066-698 |
| 1718002 | $16.6 \mathbb{N}$ | 124.3E | 208-64 | 065-759 |
| 1800002 | 17.0N | 124.7E | 226-174 | 145-148 |
| 1806002 | 17.3N | 124.1E | 238-273 | 225-182 |
| 1812002 | 17.7N | 124.4E | 332-80 | 234-237 |
| 1818002 | 17.8N | 124.8E | 336-127 | 237-264 |
| 1900002 | 17.8N | 125.3E | 338-161 | 241-445 |
| 1906002 | 17.9N | 125.9 E | 285-127 | 243-510 |
| 1912002 | 18.0N | 126.6 E | 309-147 | 334-219 |
| 1918002 | 18.1N | 127.5E | 301-183 | 347-276 |

TYPHOON PHYLLIS 11-20 DECEMBER 1960
POSITION AND FORECAST VERIFICATION DATA. (CONTDD)



## CHAPTER VI

DESTRUCTIVE EFFECTS OF TYPHOONS

Of the 19 typhoons and 2 severe tropical storms of 1960, 12 hit heavily populated areas leaving a trail of death and destruction behind them. Reports from the Philippine Islands, the Trust Territory Islands of the U.S., Hong Kong, Ryukyu Islands, Japan, and the Republic of Korea place the total known fatalities at 926. Thousands more were reported missing or injured, and hundreds of thousands of persons were left homeless.

The five typhoons which caused the greatest destruction were: MARY, which struck Hong Kong; SHIRLEY, which struck Taiwan; OLIVE, KIT and LOLA, which struck the Philippines. Information regarding the damage and loss of life caused by each destructive typhoon is presented in the following paragraphs; however, detailed records of the destructive effects of typhoons are not maintained by JTWC. Most of the data regarding damage was obtained from newspaper articles which appeared in the "PACIFIC STARS AND STRIPES" and the "GUAM DAILY NEWS".

In available data, there were no reports of damage by Tropical Storm NADINE or Typhoons FAYE, NINA and PHYLLIS. These cyclones remained over open water and could have caused damage only to shipping and/or isolated islands.
B. KAREN. Area Affected: Philippine Islands.

As KAREN moved across the Philippines, it left at least 56 persons dead and many others missing. Several fishing boats were reported sunk by the typhoon and it was feared that all the fishermen drowned. In one of the hardest hit regions, the central Philippines, all the crops were destroyed and the people, temporarily, faced starvation. At least 7,000 persons were homeless, and the damage to crops and property was estimated at two million dollars.
C. TROPICAL STOKM LUCILLE. Areas Affected: Philippine

Islands, Peel Island.
Philippine Islands: LUCILLE caused flash floods that killed nearly 300 Eilipinos in the Manila area.

Peel Island: Peel Island experienced winds of 50 kts with gusts to 70 kts as T.S. LUCILLE passed the island. At this time the USS Cayuga County was anchored in the harbor which is open to the SW. The harbor possesses a bottle-necked shape, and winds from the SW quadrant undergo an increase in speed due to the "channeling effect" of the terrain. Thus, the harbor area will experience stronger,
but unrepresentative, winds. The Cayuga County experienced winds of 75 kts which caused the ship to broach.
D. MARY. Areas Affected: Hong Kong, Communist China, Taiwan.

Hong Kong: Typhoon MARY, or "Bloody MARY" as it is sometimes called, was the worst typhoon to hit Hong Kong in 23 years. MARY passed through the colony on 8-9 June, and maximum gusts of 105 kts were reported. During one 24 hour period 14.12 inches of rain fell, and damage to roads, homes, public and private facilities, and communication systems was extensive. Two ocean freighters went aground on the Kai Tak airstrip, and another was driven aground on a reef 160 mi SE of Hong Kong.

The strong winds and heavy rains caused hundreds of refugee shacks to collaspe and roads and streets were blocked with fallen trees and debris. Stores and shops were closed, and all public transportation was at a complete standstill. Numerous landslides took the lives of many people. MARY left 18,200 homeless and more than 100 dead, missing, or injured in the refugee crowded colony of Hong Kong. Worst hit were the 300,000 refugees who live in the tin and tarpaper shacks that cling to the rocky hillsides of Hong Kong. Harbor police said that more than 50 fishing vessels capsized and sank in anchorages around Hong Kong at the height of the storm. The only compensation that this typhoon disaster brought to the colony was that MARY's rains brought relief to the local water situation. The reservoirs gained 3 billion gallons of water during the typhoon, which put them at about $2 / 3$ capacity.

Communist China: A dispatch from Communist China stated that the typhoon brought heavy rains and strong winds to the provinces of Fuklein and Kwantung. Dikes and dams were damaged, causing severe flooding, but no figures pertaining to the number of casualties were given. Thousands of people worked night and day reenforcing the dikes against crests of rising waters caused by the typhoon rains. Manpower was also mobilized to gather in the already ripened early rice and other crops.

Taiwan: MARY brought heavy rains to Taiwan, flooding
some of the downtown Taipei areas and damaging some of the rice crops on the $S$ part of the island. The typhoon took the lives of 4 fishermen off the coast of Taiwan, but no fatalities were reported on the island.
E. OLIVE. Areas Affected: Philippine Islands, Hong Kong, Communist China.

Philippine Islands: Passing within 12 miles of Manila, Typhoon OLIVE dumped torrential rains on the city and its suburbs, and partially paralyzed the metropolis of Manila. Many power and telephone lines and advertising signs were blown down in this area, and huge trees were uprooted. However, ample warning gave many residents time to flee to higher ground thus preventing more fatalities. Low-lying areas were flooded by raging rivers and streams that gushed over their banks. During the typhoon virtually all of Manila*s roads were impassable and intercoastal shipping was at a standstill. Rainfall at Cubi Point for one 24 hour period during Typhoon OLIVE was 14.96 inches.

OLIVE brought death to 104 persons in the Philippines, and over 500 persons, mostly fishermen, were reported missing. The typhoon left some 60,000 persons homeless in SE Luzon. Reports from the Philippine Government said that over 80 percent of the southern and central Luzon crops, which included coconut trees, rice.crops, fishponds, and abaca plantations, were destroyed. Property damage rose to millions of dollars as wide spread destruction of roads, bridges, railroad tracks, and communication lines was reported. The water rose 6 to 8 ft in the low-lying areas of Manila, and a Panamanian freighter and an Italian steamer were reported sunk off the coast of the Bicol Region of southern Luzon.

Hong Kong: No deaths were caused as OLIVE passed $S$ of Hong Kong, but heavy rains flooded the street and did millions of dollars of damage to farmlands.

Communist China: Peiping radio said that Communist Authorities mobilized coastal inhabitants to build dikes and dams against rising waters and to harvest as much rice as possible before the floods and winds destroyed it.
F. POLLY. Areas Affected: Ryukyu Islands, Communist China.

Ryukyu Islands: Okinawa experienced winds of 50 kts and torrential rains as POLLY passed $W$ of the island. The typhoon brought no damage to military installations, and only minor damage was reported to private homes in Okinawan communities. Farmers praised the rain which POLLY brought, because it virtually guaranteed a good rice crop.

Communist China: Peiping radio said that the typhoon brought strong gale to typhoon force winds and torrential rain to parts of the coast. Reports said that a few houses collasped, a quantity of high stalk crops were flattened, and fruit trees suffered some damage.
G. SHIRLEY. Areas Affected: Ryukyu Islands, Taiwan.

Ryukyu Islands: The typhoon took two lives on the island of Miyako Jima.

Taiwan: Typhoon SHIRLEY passed over Taiwan with maximum sustained winds of 125 kts . It passed almost directly over Taipei, but the mountain ranges which encircle the city shielded it from the fulf force of the typhoon. Torrential rains sent flood waters raging down Taiwan's rivers, forcing thousands of persons to abandon their homes. People in some communities were isolated by the floods.

The typhoon killed 104 people, destroyed or damaged 9,890 houses and left 50,194 homeless in Taiwan. Rail and highway communications were disrupted and 132 fishing boats were damaged. The islands two main hydro-electric power plants at Sun-Moon Lake were put out of commission by landslides. In the Taichung area, 11.8 inches of rain fell during one 12 hour period. The only bright spot in the situation was the fact that the damage to crops was negligible, because almost all of the year's first crop had been harvested before SHIRLEY struck.
H. TRIX. Areas Affected: Ryukyu Islands, Taiwan, Communist China.

Ryukyu Islands: The crew of the U.S. Coast Guard cutter Ironwood will long remember typhoon TRIX as will the

- crew of the Army seagoing tug which was sent to the cutter's aid. The abrupt and radical shift in TRIX's course out-
witted the Ironwood as well as the weathermen. The ship had sailed SW from Okinawa in an attempt to escape the typhoon, but the abrupt change in TRIX's track placed the ship in the direct path of the typhoon. The weary 50man crew of the Ironwood battled the 40 ft seas and 140 $k t$ winds without rest or food for 48 hours. TRIX hit the ship with its strongest winds, and the typhoon's eye passed within a few miles of the Ironwood. A mountainous wave crashed over the vessel, flooded the engine room, and shortcircuited the electrical system. Working in total darkness, the crew was able to restore enough power to enable them to start the engines, bring the rudder under control and radio the Army at Naha, Okinawa for help. An Army tug, which was sent to the cutter's rescue, battled heavy seas for 12 hours before reaching the Ironwood. As the two ships headed back for Naha, the tug's overstrained steering system failed. Hasty repairs were made, and the battered ships crept into port together.

TRIX passed within 120 mi of Okinawa. Kadena AB reported heavy rains and winds of 45 kts , but there was no damage to U.S. military installations on the island, however, four Okinawan fishermen were reported missing.

Taiwan: As it moved across northern Taiwan, typhoon TRIX took the lives of 4 persons and left thousands homeless. The typhoon passed about $30 \mathrm{mi} N$ of Taipei and brought heavy rains to the already flooded island of Taiwan. Reports said that 400 homes were destroyed or damaged by flood waters. One hundred and five small trawlers and 6 larger fishing vessels were sunk, and a 1600-ton steamer ran aground. The mountain ranges again sheltered the heavily populated cities of Taiwan, but winds of 55 kts were recorded. Tidal waves whipped up by TRIX swept over several low-lying villages on Taiwan; however, the villages had been evacuated and no casualties were reported. Many breakwaters were washed out by the waves, and thousands of acres of farmland were flooded.

Communist China: Peiping Radio reported heavy damage in Communist China.
I. VIRGINIA. Area Affected: Japan.

As VIRGINIA moved across the island of Shikoku in S Japan, strong winds and heavy rain affected Nagoya, Kobe, and Osaka. Winds of 80 kts were reported on the island of Shikoku, and 2 persons were killed and 1 was injured.

Nearly 4 inches of rain fell on many parts of $S$ Japan. Reports from the National Police Agency of Japan said that the typhoon caused little property damage although some homes were partially destroyed. Instead, farmers welcomed the heavy rains for their scorched fields. By the time VIRGINIA passed over Honshu, it had weakened considerably thereby causing little damage.
J. WENDY. Area Affected: Japan.

Before it reached Japan, Typhoon WENDY had weakened to tropical storm intensity, and thus caused no significant damage.
K. BESS. Area Affected: Japan.

An abrupt recurvature prevented Typhoon BESS from hitting Tokyo. Veering to the NE just before it got to Tokyo Bay, the typhoon passed to the SE of the city and brought almost continuous rain for 24 hours. During a 21 hour period more than 8.5 inches of rain fell at Choshi, on the southeast coast of Japan, but no serious flooding was reported. Wind damage was negligible.
L. CARMEN. Areas Affected: Ryukyu Islands, Korea.

Ryukyu Islands: CARMEN brought winds of gale strength and heavy rains to Okinawa, disrupting communications between Tokyo and Okinawa. Okinawa was in the eye of CARMEN for over 24 hours, and as the storm moved away, heavy rains and strong winds swept the island. Winds of 50 kts were reported, but wind damage was negligible.

Korea: Along the Korean coast CARMEN, created 50 foot waves which submerged 1,500 houses in Pusan, sank one ship, and caused floods which stranded 2,000 persons. The death toll rose to 24 , and the property damage caused by the storm was estimated at more than two million dollars.
M. DELLA. Area Affected: Japan.

The typhoon took the lives of 55 persons, the greatest single disaster taking place at Nishinomiya, where a huge landslide trapped 78 workmen who had been constructing a toll road. Of these, only 40 were rescued.

No damage was reported at U.S. military installations in Japan. Most of the damage done by the typhoon was reported on Honshu and Shikoku Islands, where about 350 houses were damaged or destroyed and another 26,000 flooded.

The evacuation of thousands of persons from coastal areas before the storm hit southern Japan kept casualty figures down. Seventeen inches of rain was reported in one town on Shikoku Island, and damage to fields and crops was heavy. Several fishing boats were sunk or washed away, and several sea walls were breached.
N. ELAINE. Area Affected: Taiwan.

ELAINE left at least 5 dead and 3 missing as it roared past and then across Taiwan. A record of 8 inches of rain was dumped on parts of Taiwan, causing floods which knocked out communications, wrecked or damaged at least 280 homes, and isolated whole villages. At the height of these floods some 11,591 persons were driven from their homes or stranded in them.
O. KIT. Areas Affected: Philippine Islands, Communist China.

Philippine Islands: The 80 kt winds of Typhoon KIT brought death and destruction to the Philippines. The greatest damage occurred $S$ of Manila in the Bicol Province. The heavy rains associated with KIT knocked out railroad lines, roads and bridges, and the storm's winds cut off communications and caused two boats to capsize, taking the lives of all 10 persons on board. At least 8 additional small boats and a 240-ton ship capsized in southern Philippine waters, and two other Philippine ships ran aground.

KIT took a total of 149 lives in the Philippines, and an equal number of persons, mostly fishermen whose boats sank in the turbulent seas, were reported missing. Seventy five thousand families were rendered homeless in the central and southern regions of Luzon. The damage to crops, public works, communications, and public and private property was estimated at three million dollars. Damage to crops was particularly heavy because the typhoon struck at the height of the rice harvesting season.

Communist China: A Communist Chinese news broadcast reported that the typhoon caused widespread loss of rice crops on the Chinese mainland. Radio Peiping also reported that two thirds of the 250,000 acres of rice on Hainan Island and 25,000 acres of rice on Luichow Peninsula were flattened.
P. LOLA. Area Affected: Philippine Islands.

As LOLA approached the Philippines, the associated strong winds and heavy seas caused a fishing vessel to sink, and 19 of its 20 -man crew were lost. LOLA was the second typhoon to hit central Luzon in a week. Much of Manila was under 3 ft of water, and rising flood waters threatened to break a dike $N$ of Manila and bring about more destruction. Fifty-eight persons were reported killed during the typhoon, and heavy damage was reported to highways; rail lines, and communications and utilities systems. Because of the breakdowns in the transportation systems, many villages and towns would have faced starvation had they not received food supplies quickly. Fortunately, government and volunteer relief workers rushed food supplies to these stricken communities. The rice crops, already heavily damaged by Typhoon KIT, suffered additional severe losses. Damage to property and crops was estimated at 15 million dollars.
Q. MAMIE. Areas Affected: Iwo Jima, Japan.

Iwo Jima: MAMIE brought wind gusts of 90 kts to the island of Iwo Jima, but the amount of damage, if any, is not known.

Japan: As it passed SE of Tokyo, winds of 70 kts were recorded at the island of Hachijo Jima. Powerfulgusts whipped tiles off roofs on the island of Oshima in the mouth of, Tokyo Bay, and churned up waves 18 to 20 ft high.
R. OPHELIA. Area Affected: Caroline Islands.

As it passed over Ulithi, Typhoon OPHELIA killed 2 children, injured 4 other persons, and severely damaged all buildings except the concrete U.S. Coast Guard Loran Station and a church. Winds in excess of 125 kts were experienced, and the atoll was covered by two $f t$ of water. The airfield at Falalop was flooded and covered with debris, as was the rest of the island. Two ships were immediately sent to Ulithi with emergency rations and medical supplies for all of the island's inhabitants.

This typhoon is the second named OPHELIA to cause devastation and suffering on Ulithi. Typhoon OPHELIA of 16 January 1958 was even more destructive.

For damage caused by OPHELIA (1960) on Ulithi, see following page.


## CHAPTER VII

RESEARCH
A. GENERAL

Research related to tropical cyclones is limited due to lack of personnel and time. Once the typhoon season is over, usually in December, the "Annual Typhoon Report" is written and published. This is completed by 1 April, and parts of April, May, and June are devoted to research. Problems encountered during the typhoon season are always greater in number than those solved during the research period. Research may be divided into two types: that leading to simplification of the forecast problem, and that research designed to improve the forecast. Projects are listed and discussed in this chapter.
B. A TEST OF THE MILLER-MOORE METHOD OF FORECASTING HURRICANE MOVEMENT AS APPLIED TO PACIFIC TYPHOONS OF 1960

While it is generally agreed that the motion of a typhoon or hurricane is not determined by the characteristics of the circulation at any one level, a number of objective forecasting methods have used this approach for sake of simplicity and useability.

One of the more recent studies of this type was made by B.I. Miller and P.L. Moore of the U.S. Weather Bureau and published in the February 1960 issue of the "Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society".

Briefly, the method consisted of correlating the storm movement with a mean geostrophic wind and the past 12 hour storm movement. Somewhat surprisingly this method, based upon 18 hurricanes and 127 forecast cases, showed better results using 700 mb data than either 500 or 300 mb data.

The method involves separate determination of meridional and zonal forecasts of storm movement. The equations developed by Miller-Moore are:

Initial latitude equal to or less than $27.5^{\circ}$
$\bar{V}=0.23 v_{7}+0.65 \mathrm{Py}+2.3$
$\bar{U}=0.42 u_{7}+0.54 P x-2.4$
Initial latitude more than $27.5^{\circ}$
$\overline{\mathrm{V}}=0.71 v_{7}+0.40 \mathrm{Py}+3.0$
$\overline{\mathrm{U}}=0.61 \mathrm{u}_{7}+0.48 \mathrm{Px}-3.8$
$\overline{\mathrm{U}}=$ forecast mean 24 hour zonal speed of center movement (kts)
$\overline{\mathrm{V}}=$ forecast mean 24 hour meridional speed of center movement (kts)
$v_{7}=$ first approximation: mean 700 mb geostrophic wind between five pairs of points 7.5 degrees $E$ and 7.5 degrees $W$ of the storm center and extending from 5 degrees $S$ to 5 degrees $N$ of the center. If southward movement results - no further computation.
-second approximation: add points 7.5 degrees $N$ of center to first calculation. If both of the first
two approximations are less than 6.5 kts , use the largest - no further computation.
third approximation: same as second but adding points 10 degrees $N$ of center to second approximation. Use the largest of the three approximations.
$u_{7}=$ mean 700 mb geostrophic wind between seven pairs of points 5 degrees $S$ of the initial position of the storm center and 5 degrees $N$ of the latitude the $\bar{V}$ computation indicates the center will reach 12 hours after chart time.
$P y=$ mean meridional speed of movement of center for the 12 hours prior to chart time (kts).
$P x=$ mean zonal speed of movement of center for the 12 hours prior to chart time (kts).
$u_{7}$ and $v_{7}$ are computed from the latest 700 mb chart on the Miller-Moore Grid shown herein. Heights are tabulated for every $21 / 2$ degrees. In the case of the meridional component, the average height difference is computed between 5 degrees $N$ and $S$ of the center; however, depending upon the resulting northward speed of the storm, this grid may be extended to $7 / 2$ or 10 degrees $N$ of the center in ac-. cordance with the specified criteria. In the case of the zonal component, the average height difference between the two horizontal rows is computed; the bottom row being 5 degrees $S$ of the initial surface position of the storm and the top row being 5 degrees $N$ of the 12 hour meridional forecast position of the center. The average meridional and zonal height differences are then reduced to meters per degree and converted to geostrophic wind for the central latitude using the graph shown herein. $\bar{U}$ and $\bar{V}$ are then solved for, using the equations (1) or (2).

The above method was tested at the conclusion of the 1960 Typhoon Season, and the 24 hour forecast errors are shown on two scatter diagrams in this Section. Forecasts were made on Typhoons OLIVE through PHYLLIS. There were 29 cases $N$ of 27.5 degrees $N$ and 173 cases at or $S$ of 27.5 degrees $N$. The cases used were based on forecasts made whenever the circulation was of tropical storm or typhoon intensity. The best tracks (shown in Chapter V) were used to obtain the data for Px and Py. The meridional and zonal 24 hour forecasts were applied to the best track position, and the error thus obtained is the difference between the 24 hour forecast position and the corresponding best track position.

The scatter diagram for cases $N$ of 27.5 degrees $N$ has little significance because the errors appear to be fairly well distributed; probably due to the limited number of cases available. In the scatter diagram for cases at or $S$ of 27.5 degrees $N$, the majority of the cases are in the northeast quadrant. This indicates that the Miller-Moore method can be corrected to better distribute the forecast error; however, this assumption is based on data for one year, which includes many unusual tracks. The Miller-Moore method will be further evaluated on the data available for 1959, which was a season with more normal typhoon tracks and on the data that becomes available during 1961.

Tables in this Section show the 24 hour Miller-Moore forecast errors for Typhoons OLIVE through PHYLLIS. The distance, and meridional and zonal forecast errors are in nautical miles. The distance error is the difference between the forecast position and the actual position of the circulation. The meridional and zonal forecast error is the distance the forecast position is $N, E, S$, or $W$ of the actual position of the circulation. The average distance error of all forecasts was 138 mi .

It should be emphasized that the Miller-Moore forecasts, as presented herein, cannot be compared with the operational forecasts made by the JTWC for the following reasons:
(1) JTWC forecasts are issued every 6 hours. Seven hundred millibar data, the bases for the Miller-Moore forecasts, are available in the Pacific only every 12 hours.
(2) The JTWC 24 hour forecasts are valid for a full 24 hours from the time they are transmitted. These forecasts are therefore often based on surface data as much as 6 hours old, and upper air data as much as 12 hours old. Due to the time required to process and analyze the 700 mb data, Miller-Moore forecasts are valid for a period of less than 20 hours from the time of preparation.
(3) In this evaluation the Miller-Moore $P_{x}$ and Py (past 12 hour movement factors) were obtained from best tracks. The JTWC forecasts were of course prepared from the best known positions of the typhoon or tropical storm at the time the forecasts (warnings) were prepared.

MILLER-MOORE GRID




MILLER-MOORE 24 HOUR FORECAST ERRORS
AT OR SOUTH OF $27.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$


MILLER-MOORE 24 HOUR FORECAST ERRORS

OLIVE


POLLY

|  | DISTANCE | MERIDIONAL <br> ERROR |  | ZONAL ERROR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VERIFYING TIME | ERROR | N | S | E |  | W |
| 19002 | 55 | 52 | - | - |  | 24 |
| $1912 Z$ | 40 | 36 | - | - |  | 22 |
| 20002 | 44 | 39 | - | - |  | 19 |
| 20122 | 49 | 49 | - | - |  | 1 |
| 21002 | 20 | 15 | - | 10 |  | - |
| 21122 | 85 | - | 6 | 84 |  | - |
| 22002 | 98 | 42 | - | 87 |  | - |
| 22127 | 89 | 79 | - | 41 |  | - |
| 23002 | 74 | 44 | - | 61 |  | - |
| 23127 | 85 | 79 | - | 32 |  | - |
| 24002 | 101 | 97 | - | 29 |  | - |
| 2412 Z | 12 | - | 2 | 11 |  | - |
| 25002 | 134 | 3 | - | 133 |  | - |
| 25122 | 100 | 21 | - | 99 |  | - |



TRIX


MILLER-MOORE 24 HOUR FORECAST ERRORS


|  | DISTANCE | MERIDIONAL ERROR |  | ZONAL ERROR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VERIFYING TIME | ERROR | N | 5 | E | W |
| 09122 | 47 | - | 45 | 15 |  |
| 10002 | 251 | - | 192 | 217 | - |
| 1012 Z | 178 | - | 56 | 171 | - |
| 11002 | 103 | 86 | - | 46 | - |
| 1112 Z | 148 | 103 | - | - | 102 |
| 12002 | 255 | - | 43 | $240^{\circ}$ | - |
| Average | 164 |  |  |  |  |



MILLER-MOORE 24 HOUR FORECAST ERRORS

WENDY (CONT'D)

| VERIFYING TIME | $\begin{gathered} \text { DISTANCE } \\ \text { EKROR } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MERIDIONAL ERROR |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZONAL } \\ & \text { ERROR } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | S | E | W |
| 12122 | 59 | 47 | - | - | 37 |
| 13002 | 133 | 37 | - | 112 | - |
| Average | 131 |  |  |  |  |

BESS

|  | DISTANCE | MERIDIONAL ERROR |  | ZONAL ERROR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VERIFYING TIME | ERROR | N | S | E | W |
| 18002 | 162 | 30 | - | 159 | - |
| 18122 | 190 | 16 | - | 190 | - |
| 19002 | 91 | 68 | - | - | 51 |
| 19122 | 105 | - | 96 | - | 34 |
| 20002 | 60 | 51 | - | - | 40 |
| 20122 | 226 | 122 | - | - | 190 |
| 21002 | 264 | 59 | - | - | 258 |
| 21127 | 205 | 93 | - | - | 183 |
| 2300Z | 90 | 62 | - |  | 76 |
| 23122 | 356 | 72 | - | 346 | - |
| 24002 | 401 | - | 12 | 400 | - |
| 2412 Z | 210 | 74 | - | 193 | - |
| Average | 197 |  |  |  |  |

GARMEN

|  | DISTANCE | MERIDIONAL ERROR |  | ZONAL ERROR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VERIFYING TIME | ERROR | N | S | E | W |
| 1800Z | 102 | 74 | - | 73 | - |
| 18122 | 108 | 99 | - | 47 | - |
| $1900 Z$ | 105 | 108 | - | 14 | - |
| 19122 | 65 | 58 | - | - | 30 |

## MILLER-MOORE 24 HOUR FORECAST ERRORS

GARMEN (CONTID)


DELLA

| VERIFYING TIME | DISTANCEERROR | MERIDIONALERROR |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZONAL } \\ & \text { ERROR } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | S | E | W |
| 19002 | 240 | 98 | - | 222 | - |
| 22002 | 230 | 44 | - | 215 | - |
| 22128 | 60 | 22 | - | 54 | - |
| 23002 | 146 | 110 | - | 100 | - |
| 23122 | 191 | 163 | - | 106 | - |
| 24002 | 157 | 148 | - | - | 42 |
| 2412 Z | 11 | 11 | - | 6 | - |
| 25002 | 56 | 29 | - | 48 | - |
| 25122 | 136 | 47 | - | 125 | - |
| 26002 | 176 | 19 | - | 185 | - |
| 26122 | 184 | 27 | - | 175 | - |
| 27002 | 96 | - | 2 | 90 | - |
| 27122 | 57 | - | 15 | 55 | - |
| 28002 | 22 | 22 | - | - | 2 |
| 28122 | 133 | 131 | - | 5 | - |
| 29002 | 89 | 76 | $\square$ | 42 | - |
| $2912 Z$ | 47 | - | 12 | - | 45 |

MILLER-MOORE 24 HOUR FORECAST ERRORS

ELAINE

| VERIFYTNG TTME | $\underset{\substack{\text { DISTANCE } \\ \text { ERROR }}}{ }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MERIDIONAL } \\ & \text { ERROR } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZONAL } \\ & \text { ERROR } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | S | E | W |
| 21127 | 138 | 27 | - | 132 | - |
| 22002 | 117 | 4 | - | 1116 | - |
| 22122 | 137 | 30 | - | 133 | - |
| 23002 | 252 | - | 66 | - | 240 |
| 23122 | 421 | 199 | - | 302 | - |
| 24002 | 294 | 236 | - | 180 | - |
| 24122 | 75 | - | 67 | 28 | - |
| 25002 | 155 | 65 | - | 138 | - |
| Average | 199 |  |  |  |  |

## FAYE

|  | DISTANCE | MERIDIONAL ERROR |  | ZONAL ERROR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VERIFYING TIME | ERROR | N | S | E | W |
| 24002 | 40 | 33 | - | - | 25 |
| 24122 | 197 | 83 | - | 183 | - |
| 25002 | 133 | 50 | - | 122 | - |
| 25122 | 180 | 133 | - | 118 | - |
| 26002 | 222 | 190 | - | 119 | - |
| 26122 | 310 | 183 | - | 256 | - |
| 27002 | 273 | 202 | - | 183 | - |
| 27122 | 258 | 153 | - | 206 | - |
| 28002 | 193 | - | 40 | 193 | - |
| 2812 Z | 100 | - | 17 | 98 | - |
| 29002 | 154 | - | 74 | 140 | - |
| 2912 Z | 97 | - | 82 | 56 | - |
| $3000 Z$ | 12 | - | 12 | - | - |
| 3012 Z | 70 | - | 70 | - | 25 |
| 31002 | 36 | - | 10 | 36 | - |
| 31122 | 90 | 41 | - | - | 87 |
| Average | 148 |  |  |  |  |

MILJER-MOORE 24 HOUR FORECAST ERRORS

KIT


LOLA

| VERIFYING TTME | $\begin{gathered} \text { DISTANCE } \\ \text { ERROR } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MERIDIONAL ERROR |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZONAL } \\ & \text { ERROR } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | S | E | W |
| 10002 | 197 | 186 | - | - | 68 |
| 10122 | 205 | 181 | - | - | 97 |
| 11002 | 180 | 163 | - | 85 | - |
| 11122 | 284 | 220 | - | 180 | - |
| $1200 Z$ | 114 | 77 | - | 88 | - |
| 12127 | 149 | - | 125 | 80 | - |
| 13002 | 270 | - | 15 | 270 | - |
| 13122 | 255 | - | 10 | 255 | - |
| 14002 | 183 | 82 |  | 145 | - |
| 14122 | 22 | - | 22 |  | - |

MILEER-MOORE 24 HOUR FORECAST ERRORS

LOLA ( $\operatorname{CONT}^{1} \mathrm{D}$ )

| VERIFYING TIME | DISTANCEERROR | MERIDIONAL ERROR |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ZONAL } \\ & \text { ERRRR } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | S | E | W |
| 15002 | 252 | 54 | - | 245 | - |
| 15122 | 188 | 136 | - | 127 | - |
| 16002 | 12 | 7 | - | 9 | - |
| 16122 | 28 | 6 | - | 28 | - |
| 17002 | 131 | 58 | - | 117 | - |
| Average | 165 |  |  |  |  |

MAMIE

| VERIFYING TTME | $\begin{gathered} \text { DISTANCE } \\ \text { ERROR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MERIDIONAL } \\ & \text { ERROR } \end{aligned}$ |  | ZONAL ERROR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | S | E | W |
| 15122 | 60 | - | 35 | 45 | - |
| 16002 | 17 | - | 7 | - | 15 |
| 16122 | 107 | 100 | - | 32 | - |
| 17002 | 84 | 62 | - | 62 | - |
| 17122 | 110 | 96 | - | - | 52 |
| 18002 | 112 | 79 | - | - | 76 |
| 18122 | 25 | 5 | - | - | 25 |
| 1900Z | 83 | - | 83 | - | - |
| $1912 Z$ | 97 | - | 193 | 34 | - |
| 20002 | 221 | - | 178 | 140 | - |
| 20122 | 58 | 29 | - | - | 54 |
| 21002 | 89 | 23 | - | - | 80 |
| Average | 89 |  |  |  |  |

NINA

|  | DISTANCE | MERIDIONAL ERROR |  | ZONAL ERROR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VERIFYING TIME | ERROR | N | S | E |  | W |
| 25002 | 85 | 42 | - | 70 |  | - |

201

| VEPIFYING TIME | MILIER-MOORE 24 HOUR FORECAST ERRORS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NINA (CONT'D) |  |  |  |  |
|  | DISTANCE |  |  |  |  |
|  | ERROR | N | S | E | W |
| 25122 | 65 | 54 | - | 42 | - |
| 26002 | 90 | 84 | - | 41 | - |
| 26122 | 297 | 243 | - | 179 | - |
| 27002 | 282 | 263 | - | 113 | - |
| 27122 | 340 | 262 | - | 212 | - |
| Average | 193 |  |  |  |  |

OPHELIA

| VERIFYING TIME | $\begin{gathered} \text { DISTANCE } \\ \text { ERROR } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | MERIDIONALERROR |  | ZONAL ERROR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | S | E | W |
| 28002 | 119 | 68 | - | - | 97 |
| 28122 | 114 | 65 | - | 100 | - |
| 29002 | 137 | 75 | $\cdots$ | - | 110 |
| 2912 Z | 343 | - | 50 | 341 | - |
| 30002 | 427 | - | 5 | 427 | - |
| 01002 | 118 | - | 87 | 81 | - |
| 01122 | 137 | - | 58 | - | 121 |
| 02002 | 89 | - | 29 | - | 85 |
| 02122 | 198 | . 23 | - | - | 196 |
| 03002 | 107 | 78 | - | - | 76 |
| $0312 Z$ | 151 | - | 3 | 151 | - |
| 04002 | 184 | - | 5 | 184 | - |
| 04122 | 83 | 60 | - | - | 62 |
| 05002 | 422 | - | 256 | - | 349 |
| 05122 | 500 | - | 395 | - | 320 |
| 06002 | 340 | - | 50 | - | 335 |
| Average | 217 |  |  | - | 33 |

## MILIER-MOORE 24 HOUR FORECAST ERRORS

## PHYLLITS

| VERIFYING TTME | DISTANCEERROR | MERIDIONAI ERROR |  | ZONAL ERROR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N | S | E | W |
| 14002 | 126 | 9 | - | - | 128 |
| 1412 Z | 131 | 40 | - | - | 123 |
| 15002 | 74 | 74 | - | 2 | - |
| $1512 Z$ | 88 | 87 | - | 2 | - |
| 16002 | 147 | 77 | - | 125 | - |
| 16122 | 216 | 70 | - | 203 | - |
| 17002 | 243 | 71 | - | 238 | - |
| 17122 | 15 | - | 10 | 13 | - |
| 18002 | 53 | 38 | - | - | 42 |
| 19002 | 65 | 65 | - | - | 125 |
| 1912 Z | 95 | 95 | - | - | 3 |
| 20002 | 83 | 83 | - | 15 | - |
| Average | 111 |  |  |  |  |

AVERAGE 24 HOUR DISTANCE ERROR 138 MI (OLIVE-PHYLIIS)

## C. WACHHOLZ GRAPHS

These graphs were compiled by Captain Edward R. Wachholz, USAF, in April and May of 1960 after a season of forecasting typhoons in 1959 at FWC/JTWC. The graphs are compiled from reconnaissance data for 1957, 1958, and 1959, and were tested on 1956 data. The three years used provided more complete information than any other period due to the availability of flight level winds determined by the APN-82, Doppler wind measuring equipment.

The first chart, called a coordination chart, is based on the theory that all typhoons develop in homogeneous air over areas of similar characteristics, and that typhoons are similar thermodynamically except for differences in intensity. These variations of intensity are due to seasonal heat differences, differences in spawning areas, and geography.

The graphs are as follows:
(1) The first graph (see this chapter) relates minimum 700 mb height ( ft ), maximum 700 mb temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), and minimum surface pressure ( mb ), as modified by latitude, to maximum 700 mb wind speed (kts) and maximum surface wind (kts). The graph is based on the following formulas:

Sfc wind max $=\left[17-\left(\frac{\theta-15}{5}\right)\right] \sqrt{372-\frac{7 \mathrm{hm}}{28}}$

700 mb wind $\max =50+\left(.5+\frac{\mathrm{Sfcm}}{500}\right)(\mathrm{Sfcm}-50)$
$\theta$ represents the latitude of the typhoon eye
7 hm is the 700 mb minimum height of the eye in ft Sfcm is the surface wind max around the eye in kts

The basis of these formulas is the original formula by Dr. Robert Fletcher who is presently Director of Scientific Services, Air Weather Service. This formula is shown below:

Sfc wind max $=16 \sqrt{1010-P_{c}}$
1010 represents the pressure in mb at the "bar" of the typhoon, and may be adjusted if the "bar" pressure differs.
$P_{C}$ is the center pressure of the typhoon or hurricane in mb 。

Note on the coordination chart that the 700 mb-surface wind relationship is direct (they are the same at 50 and 250 kts only), and that the surface pressure-700 mb height is also directly related. When the surface pressure is known, find it on the graph then follow horizontally to the correct latitude; from there extend vertically to the surface wind or to the 700 mb wind.

This chart was used through the 1960 season and found to be quite reliable. Information most frequently used to determine the surface wind was the 700 mb height and the 700 mb wind which are accurately measured by the aircraft. The surface pressure was most frequently obtained from dropsonde equipment and available as raw uncorrected data at time of chart use. Its value varied at times from the corrected pressure available later. The 700 mb temperature parameter was found to be least useful due to the fact that it is reported in whole degrees, and a small variation in temperature represents a large variation of other features in the graph. This graph works only for circulations thermodynamically classified as typhoons. The coordination graph is also used as an overlay for the climatological graphs discussed below.
(2) Three graphs of typhoon track climatology, using time and the 700 mb height as ordinates, are shown herein. The charts are for the periods June-15 August, 15 AugustDecember, and November-May, for the western North Pacific and the South China Sea only. These graphs are scaled to the height values of the coordination graph. The time varies horizontally and the space between two vertical lines represents 6 hours. These graphs are "folded" at $A$ and $A^{\prime}$. There is an upper, lower and median line for each graph. Heavy hatching is placed between the upper and lower limits. Behavior of typhoons of various source regions is indicated on the graphs. The upper limit line on the June-15 August graph for the folded part beginning with A' starts 18-24 hours after $A^{\prime}$. This is because those circulations that are weak (have height values that are near $10000 f t$ ) usually do not re-intensify. For this reason, no upper limit is drawn in for the second intensification part of the graph.

The June-15 August trace is 7 days in length and the other traces are $91 / 2$ to 10 days long. The double minimums on the June-15 August, November-May charts represent weakening, and re-intensification as a result of passage over land, or through the ridge line. The midseason 15

August-December trace reflects some of this variation between the 12-18 hour period after $A^{\prime}$.

These charts are useful aids in forecasting typhoon intensity trends, but may not be used to forecast recurvature, minimum height/pressure, lifetime of the typhoon, or other implied features. To clarify the climatological aspect, the typhoons (including T.S. LUCILLE and NADINE) of this season averaged 7 days 17 hours, from first to last warning, comparing favorably with the climatology of the 3 charts. The life of two typhoons were $21 / 2$ and $153 / 4$ days respectively; neither the $21 / 2$ day nor the $153 / 4$ day typhoon would fit these charts due to the time difference, even though the curves would be similar; however, variation of intensity could be forecast reasonably for each.

In summation, these graphs were used during the 1960 typhoon season and found to be very successful operational tools.






## APPENDIX A

## DEFINITIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

1. Certain words that appear frequently in this report are abbreviated as follows:
feet - ft
knot(s) - kt(s)
millibar or millibars - mb
nautical miles - mi
Weather Reconnaissance Squadron - WRS
2. Points of the compass are abbreviated: $N, S E, W N W$, etc.
3. Latitude and longitude are abbreviated: $30 N 140 \mathrm{E}$, etc.
4. An investigation is the traverse of a reconnaissance aircraft over an area containing a suspected circulation that has been assigned a cyclone number.
5. A fix is the determination of the position of a tropical cyclone at a precise time. Generally, the term "fix" is used when the position of the cyclone has been determined by a reconnaissance aircraft penetration or by airborne, land or ship radar. In the case of a reconnaissance aircraft penetration, the actual fix may be based on one or all of the following: yisual observation, radar, surface pressure, surface or upper level winds, constant pressure height, and temperature/dew point.
6. A sortie is defined as a flight by one aircraft with one or more objectives, i.e., it may make one or more fixes and/or one or more investigations on one or more tropical cyclones.
7. The term "tropical cyclone" or "cyclone" as used in this publication has two definitions dependent upon usage.
a. "Tropical cyclone" or "cyclone" is used to describe a suspected tropical cyclonic circulation which appears capable of intensification, and to which has been assigned a "cyclone number" for the purposes of reconnaissance and to assure that records regarding it are not confused with those of another circulation.
b. "Tropical cyclone" or "cyclone" is used in the general sense, e.g., "Typhoon JOAN was the most intense tropical cyclone of $1959^{\prime \prime}$, or, "Tropical cyclones most frequently develop during August and September".
8. A tropical depression is a tropical cyclone with a confirmed cyclonic circulation, for which warnings are being issued and whose surface wind speeds do not exceed 33 kts . The numbering of tropical depressions is not related to the numbering of tropical cyclones.
9. Peel Island, located at 27.1N, 142.2E, is also known as Chichi Shima or Chichi Jima. In this report, only the name "Peel Island" is used.
10. The following define and clarify certain words and phrases that appear in the Tables, "Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes", Chapter V.
a. FIX NO. - This number corresponds to the number of the fix plotted on the "Best Track Chart".
b. TIME - The date-time group of the fix.
c. LAT. - Latitude of the fix.
d. LONG. - Longitude of the fix.
e. UNIT METHOD \& ACCY -
(1) UNIT - The unit that made the fix: 56-56th Weather Reconnaissance Squadron; 315-315th Air Division; VWl - VW-1 Early Warning Squadron.
(2) METHOD - The method used to make the fix: P penetration; $R$ - radar; $T$ - triangulation.
(3) ACCY - The estimated accuracy of the fix in nautical miles.
f. MIN SLP MBS - The minimum sea level pressure in millibars.
g. MAX SFC WND - The maximum observed surface wind in kts.
h. MIN 700 MB HGT - The minimum 700 mb height in ft.
i. MAX 700 MB WND - The maximum 700 mb wind in kts.
j. $700 \mathrm{MB} \mathrm{TT} / \mathrm{Td}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ - The maximum 700 mb temperature and dewpoint in degrees centigrade..
k. EYE CHARACTERISTICS - Selected remarks on the characteristics of the eye.

| SC - strato-cumulus | INDEF - indefinite |
| :--- | :--- |
| CIRC - circular | ORIEN - oriented |
| CLD $(S)$ - cloud $(s)$ | QUAD $(S)$ - quadrant $(s)$ |
| CTR - center | RAD - radius |
| DIA - diameter | SFC - surface |
| ELLIP - elliptical | WND - wind |
| ELONG - elongated |  |

11. A "Stidd Diagram" is a chart on which a continuous plot of surface observations is maintained for a series of stations. The observations for each individual station are plotted in either a horizontal or vertical line.
12. The "M2 Field" (referred to in Chapter IV, Section B) is the correction for the coriolis parameter applied to the 500 mb double space mean.
13.- The "Bar" (referred to in Chapter VII, Section C) is the heavy bank of clouds that appears on the horizon with the approach of an intense tropical cyclone.

## APPENDIX B

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS



## APPENDIX B <br> LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONT'D)

| NAME OR S | SUBJECT | TITLE |  | PAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TR IX |  | - Best Track | - | 77 |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes | - | 78 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast Verification Data | - | 79 |
|  |  | 24 Hr Forecast Posits | - | 80 |
| VIRGINIA |  | - Best Track | - | 82 |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes | - | 83 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast |  |  |
|  |  | Verification Data | - | 84 |
|  |  | 24 Hr Forecast Posits | - | 85 |
| WENDY |  | - Best Track | - | 87 |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes | - | 88 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast |  |  |
|  |  | Verification Data | - | 89 |
|  |  | 24 Hr Forecast Posits | - | 90 |
| BESS |  | - Best Track | - | 97-98 |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes | - | 99 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast |  |  |
|  |  | Verification Data | - | 100-101 |
|  |  | 24 Hr Forecast Posits | - | 102 |
|  |  | Surface Chart 2006002 Aug 1960 | - | 93 |
|  |  | Surface Chart 2112002 Aug 1960 | - | 94 |
|  |  | Surface Chart 2206002 Aug 1960 | - | 95 |
|  |  | Surface Chart 241800 Z Aug 1960 | - | 96 |
| CARMEN |  | - Best Track | - | 105-106 |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes | - | 107 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast |  |  |
|  |  | Verification Data | - | 108 |
|  |  | 24 Hr Forecast Posits |  | 109-110 |
|  |  | Photograph, Radar Scope |  |  |
|  |  | Kadena, Okinawa | - | 104 |
| DELLA |  | - Best Track |  | 113-114 |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes |  | 115-116 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast |  |  |
|  |  | Verification Data |  | 117-118 |
|  |  | 24 Hr Forecast Posits |  | 119-120 |

## APPENDIX B

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS (CONT ${ }^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{D}$ )

| NAME OR | SUBJECT | TITLE |  | PAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELAINE |  | - Best Track |  | 122 |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes | - | 123 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast |  |  |
|  |  | Verification Data | - | 124 |
|  |  | 24 Hr Forecast Posits | - | 125 |
|  |  | Best Track and |  |  |
|  |  | Track of 1924 Typhoon | - | 18 |
| FAYE |  | - Best Track | - | 128 |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes |  | 129-130 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast |  |  |
|  |  | Verification Data |  | 131-132 |
|  |  | 24 Hr Forecast Posits | - | 133 |
| KIT |  | - Best Track | - | 135 |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes | - | 136 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast <br> Verification Data |  |  |
|  |  | Verification Data 24 Hr Forecast Posits | - | $\begin{array}{r} 137-138 \\ 139 \end{array}$ |
| LOLA |  | - Best Track | - | 141 |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes | - | 142 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast |  | 142 |
|  |  | Verification Data |  | 143-144 |
|  |  | 24 Hr Forecast Posits | - | 145 |
| MAMIE |  | Best Track | - | $147$ |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes |  | 148-149 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast |  |  |
|  |  | Verification Data | - | 150 |
|  |  | 24 Hr Forecast Posits | - | 151 |
| NINA |  | Best Track | - | 154 |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes | - | 155 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast |  |  |
|  |  | Verification Data | - | 156 |
|  |  | 24 Hr Forecast Posits | - | 157 |
| OPHELIA |  | Best Track |  | 161-162 |
|  |  | Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes |  | 163-164 |
|  |  | Position and Forecast |  |  |
|  |  | Verification Data |  | 165-166 |
|  |  | 24 Hr Forecast Posits | - | 167 |
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OPHELIA . - Pressure Trace $\quad$ USCGLORSTA, Ulithi. 159
Pressure Trace
USCGLORSTA, Ulithi
Photograph of Damage at Ulithi - 184
PHYLLIS - Best Track - 169
Reconnaissance Aircraft Fixes - 170-171
Position and Forecast
Verification Data - 172-173
24 Hr Forecast Posits . - 174
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